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## Pledge of Allegiance - Moment of Silence

## 6:30PM Executive Session:

1. Victor Cornelius- Endeavor Municipal Development, Inc., Contractual

## Town Board Work Session:

- Review of Town Board Minutes - October 19, 2016

1. Jeff Contelmo, PE, Insite Engineering - Review of Full EAF - Proposed Community Center, Sycamore Park
2. Consider Additions to the Active List of the Mahopac Volunteer Fire Department
3. Michael Carnazza, Building and Codes Enforcer - Consider Request to Attend Annual Training Seminar 11/2 through 11/4/2016 at the Best Western Plus Kingston, NY (\$325)
4. Consider Amendment to Lease Agreement (NICE) for Recorder in the Town of Carmel Police Department
5. Consider Accepting Commitment Letter from Local Initiatives Support Corporation Program Action \#47377-000 (\$169,700.00) Zombie Home Remediation Grant
6. Councilman John Lupinacci - Discussion on a Proposed Local Law Regarding Ice Retardant Systems
7. Councilman Frank Lombardi - Discussion of Proposed Local Law Regarding Alarm Devices and Systems

- Public Comment (Three (3) Minutes on Agenda Items Only)
- Town Board Member Comments


## Open Forum:

- Public Comments on New Town Related Business (Three (3) Minutes Maximum per Speaker for Town Residents, Property Owners \& Business Owners Only)
- Town Board Member Comments
- Adjournment


## Executive Session:

1. Michael Carnazza, Building \& Codes Enforcer - Personnel Budget Y/E 2017
2. Glenn Droese, Town Assessor - Personnel Budget Y/E 2017
Town of Carmel
Town Board
Town Hall, 60 McAlpin Avenue
Mahopac, New York 10541

## RE: Sycamore Park <br> Community Recreation Center

Dear Supervisor Schmitt and Members of the Board:

Our office has advanced the site plans and the analysis of the project within Parts 1,2 and 3 of the Environmental Assessment Form (EAF).

Enclosed please find six (6) copies of the Full Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) and Supplemental Reports prepared for the project. This information is submitted for your Board's use as Lead Agency for SEQRA review of the project. It is our opinion that the submitted information is adequate for the Board to make a determination of significance under SEQRA. This is an important next step to advance the project and related permitting.

Please place the project on the Board's October 26, 2016 meeting agenda.
Should you have any questions or comments regarding this information, please feel free to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

INSITE ENGINEERING, SURVEYING \& LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, P.C.

By:


Jeffrey J. Gontelmo, PE
Senior-Principal Engineer

JJC/amh
Enclosures
cc: Richard Franzetti, P.E. w/enclosure Jim Gilchrist w/enclosure
Insite File No. 14112.100

> 3 Garrett Place, Carmel, New York 10512 (845) 225-9690 Fax (845) 225-9717
> www.insite-eng.com

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

# \& <br> SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTS 

For<br>Community Recreation Center<br>at Sycamore Park<br>790 Long Pond Road<br>Town of Carmel

October 19, 2016

Owner / Applicant:<br>Town of Carmel<br>60 McAlpin Avenue<br>Mahopac, NY 10541<br>c/o Jim Gilchrist<br>845-628-7888<br>jrg@ci.carmel.ny.us<br>\section*{Engineer, Surveyor and Landscape Architect:}<br>Insite Engineering, Surveying \& Landscape Architecture, P.C.<br>3 Garrett Place<br>Carmel, New York 10512<br>845-225-9690

Prepared by:
Insite Engineering, Surveying \& Landscape Architecture, P.C.
3 Garrett Place
Carmel, New York 10512

## CONTENTS

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 1

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 2

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 3

APPENDIX A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Narrative dated October 14, 2016
APPENDIX B Wastewater and Water Facilities Engineer's Report dated September 2, 2016
APPENDIX C NYSDEC New York Natural Heritage Program Letter dated September 10, 2015
APPENDIX D New York Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation Letter dated August 22, 2016

## DRAWINGS

(11X 17 not to scale copies of the following drawings have been included for informational purposes.)

- Site Plan Drawing Set (5 sheets)
- Architectural Drawings (2 sheets)


## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 1

## Full Environmental Assessment Form <br> Part 1 - Project and Setting

## Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A \& B. In Sections C, D \& E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section $G$ requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

## A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:
Community Recreation Center at Sycamore Park
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):
790 Long Pond Road (County Rd \# 32), Putnam County, Town of Carmel
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):
Town of Carmel is planning the development of a Community Recreation Center and related site improvements at Sycamore Park, in Mahopac. The project site is on the 40 acre property located on Long Pond Road (County Route 32). The site is currently developed as a Town Park that includes natural turf multipurpose athletic fields, park support buildings, children's playground, picnic area, parking areas, entrance drives, accessible paths to facilities and other park amenities. The Town seeks to take the next step in implementing the Sycamore Park Site Master Plan. This plan includes the following improvements:

- Relocation/realignment of the west park entrance drive at its intersection with Long Pond Road (County Route 32).
- Construction of a new maintenance drive connection at the west side of the park.
- Construction of a new 18,000 sf Community Recreation Center building and supporting water supply system, wastewater disposal system, and stormwater management system.
- Construction of new and expanded parking areas to support the new Community Recreation Center building (approximately 91 parking spaces) and supporting stormwater management system.
- Demolition and removal of existing small maintenance buildings and siting of future $3,000 \mathrm{sf}$ maintenance building.

| Name of Applicant/Sponsor: <br> Town of Carmel | Telephone: 845-628-7888 (Jim Gilchrist) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E-Mail: jrg@ci.carmel.ny.us |  |
| Address: 60 McAlpin Avenue |  |  |
| City/PO: ${ }_{\text {Mahopac }}$ | State: ${ }^{\text {New }}$ York | Zip Code: ${ }_{10541}$ |
| Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): | Telephone: 845-225-9690 |  |
| Jeffrey J. Contelmo, P.E. / Insite Engineering, Surveying \& Landscape Architecture, PC | E-Mail: jcontelmo@insite-eng.com |  |
| Address: 3 Garrett Place |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { City/PO: } \\ & \text { Carmel } \end{aligned}$ | State: <br> New York | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zip Code: } \\ & 10512 \end{aligned}$ |
| Property Owner (if not same as sponsor): | Telephone: |  |
| Town of Carmel | E-Mail: |  |
| Address: |  |  |
| City/PO: | State: | Zip Code: |

B. Government Approvals
B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)

| Government Entity | If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required | Application Date (Actual or projected) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. City Council, Town Board, $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No or Village Board of Trustees | Project Funding |  |
| b. City, Town or Village $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ Planning Board or Commission |  |  |
| c. City Council, Town or $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ <br> Village Zoning Board of Appeals |  |  |
| d. Other local agencies $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No |  |  |
| e. County agencies $\quad$ ¢Yes $\square$ No | PCDOH - water and sewer <br> PCH\&F - County Rd Work Permit |  |
| f . Regional agencies $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ | NYCDEP - SPPPP; Sewer |  |
| g. State agencies $\quad$ ØYes $\square \mathrm{No}$ | NYSDEC - GP-0-15-002 Coverage, Freshwater Wetlands Permit, \& Sewer SPDES for Wastewater |  |
| h. Federal agencies $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No |  |  |
| i. Coastal Resources. <br> $i$. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway? <br> ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? <br> iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? |  | Yes $\quad$ DNo Yes $\sqrt{\square}$ No Yes $\square$ No |

## C. Planning and Zoning

## C.1. Planning and zoning actions.

Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?

- If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.
- If No, proceed to question C. 2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1


## C.2. Adopted land use plans.

a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No would be located?
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)
If Yes, identify the plan(s):
NYC Watershed Boundary
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?
If Yes, identify the plan(s):
Town of Carmel Recreation and Parks Master Plan

| C.3. Zoning |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. <br> If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? <br> site is located in both the Residential Zone and the Recreation / Trailway Zone | $\boxed{\text { Yes } \square \text { No }}$ |
|  |  |
| b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? | $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? <br> If Yes, <br> i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? | $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |
| C.4. Existing community services. |  |
| a. In what school district is the project site located? Mahopac Central School District |  |
| b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? |  |
| Carmel Police Department |  |

## D. Project Details

| D.1. Proposed and Potential Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? Recreational |  |
| b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? <br> b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? <br> c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? | $40.9 \pm$ acres $5.4 \pm$ acres $40.9 \pm$ acres |
| c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion an square feet)? $\% 0$-Action is within existing developed area Units: 21,000 | and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, 00 sf building and 91 parking spaces |
| d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? <br> If Yes, <br> i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; <br> ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? <br> iii. Number of lots proposed? <br> $i v$. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum $\qquad$ M |  $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ <br>   <br> Maximum $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No |
| e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? <br> i. If No , anticipated period of construction: <br> ii. If Yes: <br> - Total number of phases anticipated <br> - Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) <br> - Anticipated completion date of final phase <br> - Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, inclu determine timing or duration of future phases: |  |



## D.2. Project Operations

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)
If Yes:
$i$.What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging? Site preparation
ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?

- Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): $20,000 \mathrm{cy}$
- Over what duration of time? 3 months
iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them.
iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials?
$\mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ If yes, describe. Temporary dewatering and processing of rock for onsite use.
$v$. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? $5.4 \pm$ acres
$v i$. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? 5.0 acres
vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? $18 \pm$ feet
viii. Will the excavation require blasting?
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No
$i x$. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan:
Process rock for subbase, crushed stone and rip rap for onsite use. Excess to be trucked off site.
b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area?
If Yes:
$i$. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): 100' Town regulated watercourse buffer and 100' adjacent area of NYSDEC FWW LC-22. No disturbance to wetland or watercourse.
ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
Site grading, but no wetland disturbance.
iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If Yes, describe:
$i v$. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?
If Yes:
- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):
- proposed method of plant removal:
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):
$v$. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:
Reclamation will be with paved surfaces and landscaping as depicted on the site plans
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?

If Yes:
$i$. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:
Approximately 1,000 gallons/day
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If Yes:

- Name of district or service area:
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?
- Is the project site in the existing district?
- Is expansion of the district needed?
- Do existing lines serve the project site?
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?


If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:
- Source(s) of supply for the district:
$i v$. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If, Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district:
- Date application submitted or anticipated:
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:
$v$. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: New well
$v i$. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity:
10 gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?

Yes $\square$ No
If Yes:
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:_ 1,000 gallons/day
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each): Sanitary wastewater
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:

- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:
- Name of district:
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?
- Is the project site in the existing district?
- Is expansion of the district needed?
- Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?
- Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? If Yes:
- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:
$\nu$. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?
If Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district:
- Date application submitted or anticipated:
- What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?
$v$. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):
New subsurface sewage treatment system discharging to groundwater.
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: None
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?
If Yes:
$i$. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?
Square feet or
Square feet or
ii. Describe types of new point sources. New recreation building and parking area; new maintenance building and driveway improvements.
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?
On-site stormwater management facilities / structures.
- If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: Long Pond, NYSDEC WL \#LC-22
- Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?
$i v$. Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?
If Yes, identify:
$i$. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)
Heavy equipment during construction.
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)

Crushers during construction.
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation) Boiler for recreation center.
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, $\square$ Yes $\square$ No or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?
If Yes:
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:

- _Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$
- T_ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$
- Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- __Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right)$
- ___Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)
- Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)
h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?
If Yes:
$i$. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations?
If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?
If Yes:
$i$. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): $\square$ Morning $\quad \square$ Evening $\quad \square$ Weekend $\square$ Randomly between hours of $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .
ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day: $\qquad$
iii. Parking spaces: Existing 135 Proposed 91 new Net increase/decrease 84
$i v$. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?
$v$. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: Western entry drive will be widened to accommodate 2 way traffic. Eastern entrance will be changed to right turn only. Western entrance will be simplified by the elimination of separate maintenance entrance.
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed site?
vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No pedestrian or bicycle routes?
k . Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?
If Yes:
$i$. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: TBD
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):

Local utility
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation?

1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.
i. During Construction:

- Monday - Friday:

7 am to 6 pm

- Saturday: 8 am to 5 pm
- Sunday: $\qquad$
- Holidays: $\qquad$
0
ii. During Operations:
- Monday - Friday: 8 am to 10 pm
- Saturday: 8 am to 10 pm
- Sunday: 8 am to 10 pm
- Holidays: 8 am to 10 pm
m . Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both?
If yes:
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:

During construction only for construction equipment during noted construction hours.
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?

Describe: Perimeter vegetation will be retained to greatest extent practicable. Earthen barriers will be removed.
n.. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?

If yes:
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: Building mounted and pole lighting, full cut off LED fixtures. Nearest residence 100 feet $\pm$ to closest lighting.
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Describe: Perimeter vegetation will be retained to greatest extent practicable. Earthen barriers will be removed.
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?
If Yes:
i. Product(s) to be stored
ii. Volume(s) per unit time___ (e.g., month, year)
iii. Generally describe proposed storage facilities: $\qquad$
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, $\square$ Yes $\square$ No insecticides) during construction or operation?
If Yes:
$i$. Describe proposed treatment(s):
In accordance with Town standards pesticides used only when needed.
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?
$\square$ Yes $\square \mathrm{No}$
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?
If Yes:
N/A - Recreation Project
$i$. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:

- Construction: $\qquad$ tons per $\qquad$ (unit of time)
- Operation : tons per (unit of time)
ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:
- Construction:
- Operation:
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:
- Construction:
- Operation:
s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?

If Yes:
i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:

- Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
- Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: ___ years
t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous $\square$ Yes $\square$ No waste?
If Yes:
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: $\qquad$
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated $\qquad$ tons/month
$i v$. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:
$v$. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:


## E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

## E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

a. Existing land uses.
$i$. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.
$\square$ Urban $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial $\quad \square$ Residential (suburban) $\square$ Rural (non-farm)
$\square$ Forest $\square$ Agriculture $\square$ Aquatic
■ Other (specify): recreational
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.

| Land use or Covertype | Current Acreage | Acreage After Project Completion | Change (Acres +/-) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces | 3.0 | 4.0 | $\pm 1.0$ |
| - Forested | 5.3 | 4.5 | -0.8 |
| - Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) | 1.0 | 1.0 | --- |
| - Agricultural <br> (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) | 0 | 0 | ---- |
| - Surface water features <br> (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) | 0.1 | 0.1 | --- |
| - Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) | 20.9 | 20.9 | ---- |
| - Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill) | 0.8 | 0.8 | ---- |
| - Other <br> Describe: Gravel Lawn | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 9.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \\ & 9.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1 \\ & -0.1 \end{aligned}$ |

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation?
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site?
If Yes,
i. Identify Facilities:

McDonough Park (Town Park)
Rock Hill Program Center (Girl Scout Facility)
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam?

If Yes:
$i$. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:

- Dam height: feet
- Dam length: feet
- Surface area: acres
- Volume impounded: $\qquad$ gallons OR acre-feet
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, $\square$ Yes $\square$ No or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility?
If Yes:
$i$. Has the facility been formally closed?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
- If yes, cite sources/documentation:
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: $\qquad$
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?
If Yes:
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?


## If Yes:

$i$. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:
$\square$ Yes - Spills Incidents database
Provide DEC ID number(s):
$\square$ Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database
Provide DEC ID number(s):
$\square$ Neither database
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:
$\qquad$
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?

If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):
$i v$. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):
$v$. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?

- If yes, DEC site ID number:
- Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement):
- Describe any use limitations:
- Describe any engineering controls:
- Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?
- Explain: $\qquad$


## E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site

a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? Surface to 10 '+ feet
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No

If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? 5 \%

| c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: | Charlton-Chatfield (CrC) |  | $17 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Leicester Loam | $5 \%$ | Charlton-Hollis Rock (CtC) |  |
| Charlton Loam | $5 \%$ | Udorthents (Uc) | $-30 \%$ |
| Fluvaquents-Udifluvents (Ff) | $5 \%$ |  |  |

d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: 10 _ feet

g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?

If Yes, describe:
h. Surface water features.
$i$ Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?
$i i$. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?
If Yes to either $i$ or $i i$, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal,
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No state or local agency?
$i v$. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:

- Streams: Name 864-227 Classification C
- Lakes or Ponds: Name Long Pond
- Wetlands: Name Federal Waters, Federal Waters, Federal Waters,... Classification
- Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) LC-22 Approximate Size NYS Wetland (in a...
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies?
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:

| i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ ( ${ }^{\text {No }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ ПNo |
| k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$, ${ }^{\text {No }}$ |
| 1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? If Yes: <br> i. Name of aquifer: Principal Aquifer | $\square \mathrm{Y}$ Yes $\square$ No |

m . Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:

| $\frac{\text { White-tailed deer }}{}$ |  | Racoon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| American Crow |  | Eastern Chipmunk |
| Black-capped chicodee |  |  |

$\qquad$
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \sqrt{\square} \mathrm{No}$
n . Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community?
If Yes:
$i$. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:
iii. Extent of community/habitat:

- Currently: $\quad$ acres
- Following completion of project as proposed: ___ acres
- Gain or loss (indicate + or -):
acres
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as $\square$ Yes $\square$ No endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species?
Per correspondence with NYSDEC New York Natural Heritage Program, the bog turtle has been documented generally within 0.5 miles and the Northern Longeared Bat has been documented within 5 miles of the project site. See attached correspondence.
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern?
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No

If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: Proposed project will not impact
fishing opportunities. There are fishing opportunities for residents on Long Pond but, fishing is not permitting at Sycamore Park.

## E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site

a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No
$i$. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? $\qquad$
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark?

## If Yes:

i. Nature of the natural landmark: $\quad \square$ Biological Community $\quad \square$ Geological Feature
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area?

If Yes:
i. CEA name:
ii. Basis for designation:
iii. Designating agency and date:
e. Does the project site contain, or is it tantially contiguous to, a building, archaeology site, or district $\square$ Yes $\square \square$ No which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places?
If Yes: Per NYSOPRHP CRIS Website - Correspondence with NYSOPRHP is pending written response.
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: $\square$ Archaeological site $\square$ Historic Building or District ii. Name:
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?
g. Have additional archaeological or historic sites) or resources been identified on the project site? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If Yes:
i. Describe possible resources):
ii. Basis for identification:
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource?

Taconic State Parkway/NYS Scenic Byway $- \pm 5$ miles.
If Yes:
$i$. Identify resource:
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.):
iii. Distance between project and resource: ___ miles.
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666?

## If Yes:

i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$

## F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.
If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

## G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.


Tile Sr. Principal Engineer


| B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area] | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area] | No |
| C.2.b. [Special Planning District] | Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. <br> Refer to EAF Workbook. |
| C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name] | NYC Watershed Boundary |
| E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - <br> Potential Contamination History] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF <br> Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - <br> Listed] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF <br> Workbook. |
| E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - <br> Environmental Site Remediation Database] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF <br> Workbook. |
| E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation <br> Site] | No |
| E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features] | No |
| E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features] | Yes |
| E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features] | Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and |
| waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook. |  |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream | $864-227$ |
| Name] | C |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream <br> Classification] | C |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands | Federal Waters, NYS Wetland |
| Name] | E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands | NYS Wetland (in acres):75.3 | Size] |
| :--- |
| E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - DEC |
| Letlands Number] |


| E.2.h.v [lmpaired Water Bodies] | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| E.2.i. [Floodway] | No |
| E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain] | No |
| E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain] | No |
| E.2.I. [Aquifers] | Yes |
| E.2.I. [Aquifer Names] | Principal Aquifer |
| E.2.n. [Natural Communities] | No |
| E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species] | Yes |
| E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals] | No |
| E.3.a. [Agricultural District] | No |
| E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark] | No |
| E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area] | No |
| E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places] | Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF |
| E.3.f. [Archeological Sites] | No |
| E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor] | No |

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 2

## Full Environmental Assessment Form <br> Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

## Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of,


】 YES the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions $a-j$. If "No", move on to Section 2.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is <br> less than 3 feet. | E2d | $\square$ |  |
| b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15\% or greater. | E2f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or <br> generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface. | E2a | $\square$ |  |
| d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons <br> of natural material. | D2a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year <br> or in multiple phases. | D1e | $\square$ |  |
| f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical <br> disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides). | D2e, D2q | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area. | B1i | $\square$ |  |
| h. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

2. Impact on Geological Features

The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, 7 NO $\square \mathrm{YES}$ minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-c$. If "No", move on to Section 3.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: | E2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a <br> registered National Natural Landmark. <br> Specific feature: | E3c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 3. Impacts on Surface Water

The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water
$\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions $a-l$. If "No", move on to Section 4.

|  | Relevant Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may create a new water body. | D2b, D1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over $10 \%$ or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water. | D2b | V | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body. | D2a | 7 | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body. | E2h | $\square$ | 7 |
| e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments. | D2a, D2h | $\square$ | จ |
| f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water. | D2c | 7 | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s). | D2d | 7 | $\square$ |
| h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies. | D2e | $\square$ | 7 |
| i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. | E2h | $\square$ | V |
| j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body. | D2q, E2h | $\square$ | จ |
| k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities. | D1a, D2d | $\square$ | 7 |

$\qquad$

| $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

4. Impact on groundwater

The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or
$\square \mathrm{NO}$ YES may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer.
(See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)
If "Yes", answer questions a -h. If "No", move on to Section 5.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells. | D2c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. <br> Cite Source: $\qquad$ | D2c | 7 | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services. | D1a, D2c | 7 | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater. | D2d, E2l | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated. | D2c, E1f, <br> E1g, E1h | , | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer. | D2p, E21 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E2h, D2q, } \\ & \text { E21, D2c } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| 5. Impact on Flooding <br> The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) <br> If "Yes", answer questions $a-g$. If "No", move on to Section 6. | $\square \mathrm{NO}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway. | E2i | , | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain. | E2j | ■ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain. | E2k | 7 | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns. | D2b, D2e | $\square$ | , |
| e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D2b, E2i, } \\ & \text { E2j, E2k } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\square$ |
| f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade? | E1e | - | $\square$ |

## 6. Impacts on Air

The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.

(See Part 1. D.2.f., D,2,h, D.2.g)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-f$. If "No", move on to Section 7.

|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <br> i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ <br> ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ <br> iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) <br> iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride ( $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ ) <br> v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions <br> vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane | D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2g <br> D2h |  | $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants. | D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs . per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | D2f, D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may reach $50 \%$ of any of the thresholds in "a" through " c ", above. | D2g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | D2s | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 7. Impact on Plants and Animals

The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.)

$\square \mathrm{YES}$ If "Yes", answer questions $a-j$. If "No", move on to Section 8.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any <br> threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal <br> government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2o | $\square$ |  |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by <br> any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal <br> government. | E2o | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any <br> species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the <br> Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site. | E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by <br> any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or <br> the Federal government. | E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural <br> Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect. | E3c | $\square$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any <br> portion of a designated significant natural community. <br> Source: | E2n | $\square$ |  |
| g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or <br> over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. | E2m | $\square$ |  |
| h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, <br> grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. <br> Habitat type \& information source: <br> - | E1b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of <br> herbicides or pesticides. | D2q | $\square$ |  |
| j. Other impacts: | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |


| 8. Impact on Agricultural Resources <br> The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. If "Yes", answer questions $a-h$. If "No", move on to Section 9. | b.) | $\boxed{\nabla} \mathrm{NO}$ | $\square \mathrm{YES}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. | E2c, E3b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). | E1a, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. | E3b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. | E1b, E3a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. | El a, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{C} 3, \\ & \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. | C 2 c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources

The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in $\square \mathrm{NO}$ , ${ }^{\text {YES }}$ sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions $a-g$. If "No", go to Section 10 .

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource. | E3h | - | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | 7 | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) <br> ii. Year round | E3h | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |
| d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: <br> i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work <br> ii. Recreational or tourism based activities | E3h <br> E2q, <br> E1c | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | E3h | $\square$ | ■ |
| f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: <br> 0-1/2 mile <br> $1 / 2-3$ mile <br> 3-5 mile <br> $5+$ mile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D1a, E1a, } \\ & \text { D1f, D1g } \end{aligned}$ | V | $\square$ |
| g. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources

The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological
resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-e$. If "No", go to Section 11 .

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been <br> nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or <br> National Register of Historic Places. | E3e | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic <br> Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous <br> to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. <br> Source: | E3g | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| d. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3: |  |  |  |
| i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E3e, E3g, } \\ & \text { E3f } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. | E3e, E3f, <br> E3g, E1a, E1b | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting. | E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3 | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation

The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.
(See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-e$. If "No", go to Section 12.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat. | D2e, E1b <br> E2h, <br> E2m, E2o, <br> E2n, E2p | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{c}, \\ & \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{q} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | , |
| c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{c} \\ & \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{q} \end{aligned}$ | จ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. | C2c, E1c | $\square$ | , |
| e. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas

The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical $\square$ NO $\quad \square$ YES environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-c$. If "No", go to Section 13.

|  | Relevant <br> Part I <br> Question(s) | No, or <br> small <br> impact <br> may occur | Moderate <br> to large <br> impact may <br> occur |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or <br> characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | $\square$ |  |
| b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or <br> characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA. | E3d | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| 13. Impact on Transportation <br> The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation system (See Part 1. D.2.j) <br> If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14. | YES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network. | D2j | V | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles. | D2j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access. | D2j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. | D2j | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods. | D2j | $\square$ | 7 |
| f. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| 14. Impact on Energy <br> The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) <br> If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15. | $\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { No, or } \\ \text { small } \\ \text { impact } \\ \text { may occur } \end{gathered}$ | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation. | D2k | V | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D1f, } \\ & \text { D1q, D2k } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity. | D2k | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed. | D1g | , | $\square$ |
| e. Other Impacts: |  | $\square$ | 7 |


| The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. $\square$ NO YES (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) <br> If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation. | D2m | , | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home. | D2m, E1d | $\square$ | V |
| c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day. | D2o | 7 | $\square$ |


| d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties. | D2n | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing <br> area conditions. | D2n, E1a | $\square$ |  |
| f. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |


| 16. Impact on Human Health <br> The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17. | h.) $\square$ |  | YES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No,or small impact may cccur | $\qquad$ <br> rate to large impact may occur |
| a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. | E1d | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. | E1g, E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. | E1g, E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). | E1g, E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. | E1g, E1h | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. | D2t | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. | D2q, E1f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. | D2q, E1f | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. | D2r, D2s | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E1f, E1g } \\ & \text { E1h } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures. | E1f, E1g | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D2s, E1f, } \\ & \text { D2r } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| m. Other impacts: |  |  |  |

17. Consistency with Community Plans

The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.
$\boxed{\square} \mathrm{NO}$

(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { No, or } \\ \text { small } \\ \text { impact } \\ \text { may occur } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s). | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C2, C3, D1a } \\ & \text { E1a, E1b } \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than $5 \%$. | C2 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations. | C2, C2, C3 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans. | C2, C2 | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure. | C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, <br> D1d, Elb | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \mathrm{D} 2 \mathrm{j} \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action) | C 2 a | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| h. Other: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## 18. Consistency with Community Character

The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.
$\square \mathrm{NO} \quad \square \mathrm{YES}$
(See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)
If "Yes", answer questions $a-g$. If "No", proceed to Part 3.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relevant } \\ \text { Part I } \\ \text { Question(s) } \end{gathered}$ | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. | E3e, E3f, E3g | , | $\square$ |
| b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) | C4 | $\square$ | , |
| c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3, \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{f} \\ & \mathrm{D} 1 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\square$ |
| d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. | C2, E3 | 7 | $\square$ |
| e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character. | C2, C3 | $\square$ | 7 |
| f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3 \\ & \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E} 1 \mathrm{~b} \\ & \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{E} 2 \mathrm{~h} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | , | $\square$ |
| g. Other impacts: |  | $\square$ | $\square$ |

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 3

## FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM PART 3

# EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS COMMUNITY RECREATION CENTER AT SYCAMORE PARK 790 LONG POND ROAD TOWN OF CARMEL, NEW YORK 

October 12, 2016

## 1. IMPACT ON LAND <br> Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site.

b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of $15 \%$ or greater.

Portions of construction fall within existing slopes of $15 \%$ or greater, which includes the grading for the new access driveway, the maintenance access driveway relocation and for the new recreation building. The project has been designed to the greatest extent practical within areas of previous development in order to minimize site disturbance, to preserve potentially sensitive areas and to minimize impacts to on-going park operations. An erosion and sediment control plan has been prepared to assure proper management of exposed soils and to minimize erosion, as detailed below.

During construction activity, to mitigate the impact of construction on slopes of $15 \%$ or greater, all erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented in accordance with the design drawings and the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP - plans and report) which will follow guidelines set forth by the Town of Carmel and NYSDEC.

These measures include diverting clean water away from construction areas; containing sediment through the use of stabilized construction entrances, silt fence and temporary sediment basins; minimizing the areas of disturbance; and timely stabilization of final graded areas. In addition, all proposed slopes greater than $3 \mathrm{H}: 1 \mathrm{~V}$ will be supplemented with erosion control blankets.

The impacts associated with construction on slopes greater than $15 \%$ is not considered significant due to the design of the site to minimize disturbance of these areas and the immediate temporary and permanent mitigation measures proposed as part of the erosion and sediment control plan.
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.

Bedrock outcrops are more prevalent in the area of the proposed community recreation building and parking lot. The building and parking lot were set at an elevation as high as possible in an effort to minimize rock removal, while taking into consideration the grades of the proposed access driveways and the existing grades at the administration building. It is anticipated that bedrock will be encountered and rock removal will be necessary. When small amounts of rock removal is required during construction, mechanical means (i.e. ripping, chipping) would be employed. In areas of larger
rock removal, where mechanical means are not effective, blasting and rock hammering will be employed.

Blasting at the site will be in compliance with Town Code requirements for blasting operations (Chapter 53. Blasting) which requires the blasting contractor to hold a blaster's license issued by the State of New York and such contractor to obtain a permit for the proposed work from the Building Inspector of the Town of Carmel. The Blasting Permit would include, but not be limited to the following:

- Determination of a radius of sensitive receptors to the blasting site.
- Notification of property owners within the radius of sensitive receptors. This notification would provide warning that blasting will occur and the dates it is planned to start and finish.
- Conducting pre-blasting inspections for buildings within the radius of sensitive receptors. This will be completed by the Blasting Contractor.
- Conducting post-blasting inspections of the buildings within the specified radius.

Any necessary blasting would only be carried out in conformance with an approved Blasting Plan, specific to this project, developed between the blasting contractor and the Town. The Blasting Plan would be developed in full conformance with the Town of Carmel's Building Code and in accordance with New York State blasting law.

The Blasting Plan would include (as deemed necessary by the Building Inspector), but not be limited to the following:

- A performance specification outlining the spacing, diameter and depth of drill holes; number of drill holes to be loaded during any blast; caps, delays, charge weight and sequence per blast; peak particle velocity computations; vibration monitoring program; removal methods; safety measures to protect vehicles and pedestrians; and impacts and mitigation proposed to neighboring properties due to noise, dust, traffic and blasts.
- The design of exposed rock faces based on factual representation of bedrock stability as determined by a geotechnical consultant. The design should include profiles of existing and proposed conditions; location of varying stability of bedrock; improvements to control drainage and groundwater; and details of walls, cribbing, rock pinning or other methods proposed to stabilize the face.
Blasting would only be conducted during specified hours in conformance with the Town of Carmel Building Code (9:00 AM to 3:00 PM, with blasting prohibited on holidays, Saturday or Sunday, except with the approval of the Building Inspector).

The contractor's blasting contract would be based on site specific blasting requirements, and would be submitted to the Town for approval in advance of any site work activity. In accordance with the Town Building Code, the Building Inspector shall not issue a permit for blasting unless the contractor has filed with the Building Inspector a certificate of insurance evidencing comprehensive general liability insurance.
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.

An earthwork analysis was conducted to determine the mass balance (cut/fill volumes for the project). It is anticipated that the project will generate an excess of approximately $20,000 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{y}$. of soil cut. The earthwork analysis included the cuts and fills of soil from mass earthwork, and also included adjustments for foundation excavations, road and parking cross sections.

The earthwork analysis determines that there will be an excess of materials from the site work, requiring an export of materials from the site. To minimize the impact, the project includes processing of excavated rock for reuse on-site as base material for roads, parking area and foundation of buildings, reducing the need for truck trips to haul this material onto the site, and for hauling excess material from the site.

In addition, the project drawings contain an erosion and sediment control (E\&SC) plan developed to mitigate impacts associated with performing the site excavation. The E\&SC plan has been developed to mitigate the potential for erosion and construction site runoff to ensure downstream properties / environmental features are not impacted. The E\&SC plan has been developed in accordance with the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control. Specific items cited in the erosion and sediment control plan that will mitigate impacts associated with excavation are: provision of silt fence, providing stabilized construction entrances at the site entrance, minimizing the amount of time areas can be disturbed before temporary stabilization must be provided, providing erosion control blankets on steep slopes, provision of diversion swales, and providing temporary sediment traps.

The anticipated construction traffic will be less than the proposed peak traffic generated by the project. Therefore, the truck traffic is not anticipated to create any impacts beyond those already contemplated and evaluated for the project.

Based upon the mitigation discussed above the potentially moderate to large impact has been mitigated, and will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.

It is envisioned that the project will be constructed in multiple phases. The phases of construction have been established to minimize impacts to everyday park operations, while taking into consideration the requirements of construction (such as mobilization of the site including access, staging, erosion and sediment control), the plan of development for the site (infrastructure, operating), the area of land disturbance, and the earthwork required to accomplish each phase. A phasing plan has been developed which provides details of the sequence of construction.

In addition, construction has been shown to be constructed in multiple phases, in order to limit the amount of disturbance to 5 acres or less per phase and comply with the requirements of the NYSDEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity, General Permit GP-0-15-002 (GP-0-15-002). This permit allows phases to exceed 5 acres of disturbance with written permission of the local MS4 and heightened inspection requirements. The majority of the disturbance is contained in one isolated portion of the site. The mass earthwork for the site is anticipated to be completed within a six-month period. At that point construction of the community recreation center building will commence. Once building construction commences site work activities are expected to be minimal. As such this project is similar to a single phase project, and site work activity will initially be continuous, but then intermittent as the project transitions to building construction. As such this project is similar to a single phase project and no, or small impact may occur was selected.

As stated in Response 1.f., removal of vegetation and physical disturbance of the site will be limited to within the current phase of construction. Existing vegetation in development areas will be retained as long as possible and all exposed soil within each phase must be stabilized in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Notes and the construction sequence before work may be commenced in the next phase of construction.

In addition, winter stabilization notes for temporary project shutdown during construction have been provided on the site plans depicting requirements to temporarily stabilize the site.

Proceeding with the construction schedule on a timely basis, conducting construction and stabilization of the site in phases, utilizing the erosion and sediment control devices set forth in the
plans and report will provide a reduction in potential impacts due to construction continuing for more than one year and being conducted in multiple phases.
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).

As noted in Response 1.b., the project has been designed to concentrate development to the greatest extent practical in areas of previous disturbance, to minimize disturbance and to retain existing natural vegetation to the greatest extent practicable.

The proposed improvements will remove vegetation and expose soil by way of site grading during construction of the proposed access road, buildings, parking, the installation of the site infrastructure and the construction of the stormwater management facilities. The project will be constructed in phases. Therefore, removal of vegetation and physical disturbance of the site will be limited to the current phase of construction. Existing vegetation in development areas will be retained as long as possible. All exposed soil within each phase must be stabilized in accordance with the Erosion and Sediment Control Notes before work may be commenced in the next phase of construction.

All disturbed areas will be protected during construction with approved sediment and erosion control techniques in accordance with the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control. Erosion and sediment control should be accomplished by four basic principles: diversion of clean water, containment of sediment, treatment of dirty water, and stabilization of disturbed areas. Diversion of clean water will be accomplished with swales. This diverted water will be safely conveyed around the construction area as necessary and discharged downstream of the disturbed areas. Sediment will be contained with the use of silt fence at the toe of disturbed slopes and excavation of the temporary sediment basin. Disturbed areas should be permanently stabilized within 7 days of final grading to limit the required length of time that the temporary facilities must be utilized.

Permanent erosion and sediment control will be accomplished by diverting stormwater runoff from steep slopes, controlling/reducing stormwater runoff velocities and volumes, and vegetative and structural surface stabilization. The temporary sediment trap shall be cleaned of all sediment and debris, excavated to its final elevations and dimensions, and stabilized with the vegetation as indicated on the plans. Rip rap aprons will be used at the discharge end of all piped drainage systems. Runoff velocities will be reduced to levels that are non-erosive to the receiving waterbodies through use of these aprons. Other than the building and paved surfaces, disturbed surfaces will be stabilized with vegetation. The vegetation will control stormwater runoff by preventing soil erosion, reducing runoff volume and velocities, and providing a filter medium.

With proper design and implementation of erosion control and drainage improvements, the temporary stabilization measures required during construction and the permanent stabilization of disturbed areas and exposed soils within 7 days of final grading, and construction of the site in phases, it is expected that there will be an insignificant impact to the site and surroundings as a result of erosion from physical disturbance or vegetation removal.
3. IMPACTS ON SURFACE WATER

Proposed action will affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g. streams, rivers, ponds or lakes).
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other waterbody.

The project does not propose disturbance to the onsite wetlands or waterbodies. The eastern half of the property is comprised of a New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) wetland identified as LC-22, and the western half of the site contains the existing park facilities, with Long Pond, a NYSDEC regulated waterbody, along its southern boundary. A small portion of NYSDEC LC-22 is located on-site along the southwestern property line. A portion of NYSDEC LC-22 is located on the northerly side of Long Pond Road across from the westerly
entrance to the park. A finger of LC-22 is located on-site just south of the westerly park entrance on the east side of the existing park access driveway. Disturbance to the wetlands adjacent areas are proposed, therefore a NYSDEC Freshwater Wetland Permit is required. No disturbance is proposed to the bed or banks of Long Pond. The applicant seeks a wetland permit for site grading associated with the project, proposed on-site stormwater management facilities, removal of a portion of the existing maintenance driveway, proposed drainage improvements at the existing westerly park entrance, and widening of the westerly park access driveway to accommodate 2-way traffic, including relocation of the entrance to the maintenance access driveway, within the NYSDEC regulated 100' wetland adjacent area of NYSDEC LC-22.

All site work for the project is proposed to occur within previously developed / disturbed areas of the site, outside of the wetlands and watercourses. It is anticipated that approximately 33,500 s.f. of disturbance will occur within the NYSDEC regulated 100' wetland adjacent area, including approximately 13,300 s.f. of disturbance and a net decrease of approx. 500 s.f. of impervious cover for proposed driveway improvements, drainage and stormwater management at the westerly park entrance, and approx. 20,200 s.f. of disturbance, with no net increase in impervious cover, in the south westerly corner of the site for site grading, drainage improvements and stormwater management.

## How the Site Design for the Project Avoids/Minimizes Impacts to the Wetland Area:

1. All proposed disturbance within the 100 ' NYSDEC wetland Adjacent Area (AA) is within areas of previous disturbance / development. The site plan was prepared such that no proposed land disturbance is located within the limits of NYSDEC wetland LC-22 and disturbance within 100' adjacent area has been minimized to only what is necessary for site access and Stormwater management. In an effort to minimize proposed impervious surfaces at the site, several curbed planted islands are proposed within the parking areas and plantings are proposed adjacent to the proposed buildings. In addition, the existing access / maintenance drives will be maintained and utilized where practical to provide access throughout the park in the post development condition, further reducing the creation of proposed impervious surfaces.
2. In addition, no disturbance is proposed to Long Pond.
3. A complete Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) designed in accordance with requirements of the Town of Carmel, NYSDEC and the New York City Department of Environment Protection (NYCDEP) has been prepared for the project as depicted on the site plans and in the SWPPP report for the project. The SWPPP outlines Better Site Design techniques evaluated and incorporated into the design of the project to avoid/minimize impacts to the wetland, including: preservation of undisturbed areas, preservation of buffers, reduction of clearing and grading, locating sites in less sensitive areas, and infiltration. Refer to the SWPPP for detailed information.

## How the Site Design for the Project Mitigates Impacts to the Wetland Area:

1. The erosion and sediment control plan has been prepared for the project in accordance with the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control to protect existing water bodies and drainage features during construction activities. Temporary measures include a stabilized construction entrance / anti-tracking pad, silt fence between the area of disturbance and the wetland, phasing of construction to reduce exposed areas to no more than 5 acres at one time, prescribed inspection protocol and timing, and other measures and practices. Refer to the Erosion \& Sediment Control Plan and the SWPPP for detailed information.
2. Disturbed areas within the wetland buffers will be topsoiled and revegetated with a lawn seed mix in the areas along the driveways, at the park entrance and around the Community Center
building and parking area and with a Native Steep Slope or meadow Seed Mix in the stormwater basins and more naturalized areas.
3. Stormwater runoff from the proposed site improvements will be captured and treated in two infiltration basins and one surface sand filter sized in accordance to meet both the RRv and Water Quality Volume (WQv) requirements. It should be noted that stormwater treatment will be provided for the portion of the existing park access drive to remain where none previously existed.
4. A complete SWPPP was prepared to address the local, regional, and state regulatory requirements for the subject project. Chapter 3 of the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual (Design Manual) specifies a five-step site planning and stormwater management practice selection process, which must be addressed. The five steps are as follows:
5. Site planning to preserve natural features and reduce impervious cover.
6. Calculation of the Water Quality Volume (WQv) for the site.
7. Incorporation of runoff reduction techniques and standard SMP's with Runoff Reduction Volume (RRv) Capacity.
8. Use of Standard SMP's, where applicable, to treat the portion of water quality volume not addressed by runoff reduction techniques and standard SMP's with RRv capacity.
9. Design of volume and peak rate control practices where required.
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.

With proper implementation of the project plans, it is not anticipated that the proposed action will create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.

No disturbance is proposed in an onsite water body, therefore there will be no direct disturbance of bottom sediments that could potentially create turbidity.

The SWPPP for the project has been designed to prevent upland erosion both during construction and once construction has been completed, and to protect receiving waters with temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control practices should upland erosion occur. In addition, the SWPPP has been designed to maintain existing drainage patterns and to avoid the concentration of stormwater runoff which may cause erosion. Responses to Items 1.b. and 1.f. above describe the measures proposed to prevent upland erosion and concentrated runoff which would have the potential to create turbidity in receiving water bodies.

Based upon detailed SWPPP and inspection protocols required by the various agencies, the potentially moderate to large impact has been mitigated, and will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.

The proposed improvements will remove vegetation and expose soil by way of site grading during construction of the proposed buildings, parking and modifications to the existing access driveways, the installation of the site infrastructure and the construction of the stormwater management facilities. All disturbed areas will be protected during construction with approved sediment and erosion control techniques in accordance with the New York State Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control. Erosion and sediment control should be accomplished by four basic principles: diversion of clean water, containment of sediment, treatment of dirty water, and stabilization of disturbed areas. Diversion of clean water will be accomplished with swales. This diverted water will be safely conveyed around the construction area as necessary and discharged downstream of the disturbed
areas. Sediment will be contained with the use of silt fence at the toe of disturbed slopes and excavation of the temporary sediment basin. Disturbed areas should be permanently stabilized within 7 days of final grading to limit the required length of time that the temporary facilities must be utilized.

Permanent erosion and sediment control will be accomplished by diverting stormwater runoff from steep slopes, controlling/reducing stormwater runoff velocities and volumes, and vegetative and structural surface stabilization. The temporary sediment trap shall be cleaned of all sediment and debris, excavated to its final elevations and dimensions, and stabilized with the vegetation as indicated on the plans. Rip rap aprons will be used at the discharge end of all piped drainage systems. Runoff velocities will be reduced to levels that are non-erosive to the receiving waterbodies through use of these aprons. Other than the buildings and paved surfaces, disturbed surfaces will be stabilized with vegetation. The vegetation will control stormwater runoff by preventing soil erosion, reducing runoff volume and velocities, and providing a filter medium.

With proper design and implementation of erosion control and drainage improvements, which include the avoidance of concentrated surface runoff and the provision of adequate stabilization of construction activity areas and regular inspections, the proposed action is not anticipated to have impacts from soil erosion or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.

Potential impacts to water quality of water bodies onsite and / or downstream of the site would include increased erosion from physical disturbance or vegetation removal; construction within or adjoining a freshwater wetland or in the beds or banks of Long Pond; creation of turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments; construction of an outfall for discharge of wastewater to surface water; and / or soil erosion or the creation of a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving waters.

As stated in responses 1.f., 3.d., 3.e., and 3.h. above, it is not anticipated that the proposed action will affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action due to the potential impacts listed.
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.

Neither pesticides nor heibicides will be utilitized during construction of the project. In addition, neither pesticides nor herbicides will be used by the Town of Carmel Recreation Department at the Park. The Recreation Department has a turf management program (TMP) which it utilizes at all Town recreation facilities. The TMP utilizes best management practices to maintain healthy turf, including appropriate mowing techniques, timing and mowing heights; annual soil testing for pH and general nutrient levels; applications of lime and/or fertilizer based on results of soil testing; aerification; overseeding; and regular monitoring of general health/condition of fields.

Based on past practices at this site and experience at other Town park sites, it is not anticipated that the proposed action will affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action due to the potential impacts of pesticide application at the site.
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.
The subject project will require the construction of a new subsurface sewage treatment system (SSTS) to provide wastewater treatment for the proposed community center building. The proposed SSTS is subject to review and approval by Putnam County Department of Health and NYCDEP. The groundwater discharge from the proposed SSTS will require a SPDES permit approval from the

NYSDEC. Soil testing and design of the system indicates all regulatory requirements can be met. No significant impacts will be created by gthe new wastewater treatment facility.

## 4. IMPACT ON GROUNDWATER

The proposed action may result in a new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer.
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.

There is one existing well on the site which currently services the existing administration / community room building. Based upon preliminary estimates, the existing well yields in excess of 5 gpm. The existing well will remain to service the existing administration building, and a new well will be drilled on the north side of the proposed community center building.

The proposed community center will require an estimated water demand of approximately 1,200 gallons per day (gpd) based on hydraulic loading rates specified in the NYSDEC publication New York State Standards for Intermediate Sized Wastewater Treatment Systems, dated March 5, 2014 (DEC 2014). (see project Engineer's Report - Wastewater and Water Facilities). In accordance with NYSDOH requirements, the proposed well will be designed as a public water supply well, as it is anticipated that the well will serve 25 individuals at least 60 days out of the year. The public water supply well will require a disinfection system in accordance with NYSDOH requirements and is subject to PCDOH review and approval. The details of the water supply disinfection system will be developed throughout the permitting process.

It should be noted that the use of subsurface wastewater disposal would return approximately 85 percent of the withdrawn water back to the groundwater. This would reduce the consumptive water use by the community center to approximately 180 gpd .

Based upon the anticipated demand and contributing recharge estimates, the water supply demand from the proposed community center is not expected to exceed the safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local aquifer.

As described above, the relatively low average water withdrawal for the community center indicates a low likelihood of significant mutual interference between on-site wells and existing off-site neighboring wells. The drilling and pump testing of the proposed water supply well will provide definitive information regarding groundwater availability.

## d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.

As noted previously, the proposed community center building will require the construction of a new on-site SSTS. The SSTS is designed per NYSDOH and PCDOH standards and will discharge the wastewater generated by the proposed community center to the groundwater. The system is subject to review and approval by Putnam County Department of Health and NYCDEP and the discharge is permitted by the NYSDEC. Therefore, with the installation of an appropriately designed, approved and constructed SSTS for the project, no impact is anticipated from the discharge of wastewater to groundwater as part of the proposed action.

As previously noted, wastewater design flow for the proposed community center is based on hydraulic loading rates specified in DEC 2014 and is estimated at 1,200 gallons per day (gpd). Soil testing for the SSTS area has been completed by the project engineer and witnessed by representatives of the PCDOH and NYCDEP. Suitable soils for the SSTS areas were observed. No portion of the proposed SSTS will impact wetlands or wetland buffer. The site constraints and soil testing indicate that the on-site soils in the proposed SSTS area can accommodate a SSTS to support a wastewater design flow of up to $1,200 \mathrm{gpd}$. Application has been made to the PCDOH and NYCDEP for a SSTS construction permit approval. The application is currently under review and approval is pending.
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.
The proposed community recreation building will be heated with propane and therefore the proposed action will not involve the bulk storage of petroleum such as fuel oil. The storage of the propane will meet all state and local regulations and not have any significant impact on the environment.
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.

The proposed action will not involve the commercial application of pesticieds within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources. Refer to Response 3.j. for additional information.

## 5. IMPACT ON FLOODING The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding.

d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.

A comprehensive Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) designed in accordance with requirements of the Town of Carmel, NYSDEC and the New York City Department of Environment Protection (NYCDEP) has been prepared for the project as depicted on the site plans and in the SWPPP report for the project. Designed in accordance with the Design Manual , the project SWPPP has replicated the pre-development hydrology to the maximum extent practicable. Therefore, no impacts to downstream hydrology are anticipated as a result of the proposed project. Refer to the Respone 3.d. above and the SWPPP for detailed information.

## 7. IMPACTS ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS

 The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna.a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.

Per correspondence with the New York Natural Heritage Program dated September 10, 2015, the following species, habitats and / or communities are considered rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government:

- Bog Turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii) - listed by NYS as an Endangered species and by the Federal government as a Threatened species. NYNHP records state that this species has been documented within 0.5 mile of the project site. There are no records that this specie has been documented on-site.

No development or disturbance is proposed in the wetland. As stated in responses 1.f., 3.d., 3.e. and 3.h. above, the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action will be protected during and post-construction by the measures proposed in the SWPPP, as required by NYSDEC.

- Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis) - listed by NYS and by the Federal government as a Threatened species. NYNHP records state that this species has been documented within 5 miles of the project site. The potential to harm this bat species occurs when forest is cleared outside of the hibernation period when the species are using the forest. To avoid impacts to the bat specie, clearing will be restricted to between October 1 and March 31. In addition, as recommended by the US Fish and Wildlife Service that orange construction fencing and or silt fence will be placed between the areas to be cleared and the areas that will be left undisturbed. A note has been added to
to the site plans stating that all tree clearing for the project shall be conducted during the period between October $1^{\text {st }}$ and March $31^{\text {st }}$ to prevent harm to this specie.

Therefore, it is anticipated that the proposed action will not cause a significant reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of this NYS and Federally listed Threatened / Endangered species.
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.

Per correspondence with the NYNHP dated September 10, 2015, the following species, habitats and / or communities are considered rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government:

- Bog Turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii) - listed by NYS as an Endangered species and by the Federal government as a Threatened species. NYNHP records state that this species has been documented within 0.5 mile of the project site, with none documented at the site.
In addition, no development or disturbance is proposed in the wet meadow or within 150' of the wet meadow for the project. As stated responses 1.f., 3.d., 3.e. and 3.h. above, the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action (this includes the on-site and off-site wetlands) will be protected during and postconstruction by the measures proposed in the SWPPP, as required by NYSDEC.
- Northern Long-eared Bat (Myotis septentrionalis) - listed by NYS and by the Federal government as a Threatened species. NYNHP records state that this species has been documented within 5 miles of the project site. The potential to harm this bat species occurs when forest is cleared outside of the hibernation period when the species are using the forest. To avoid impacts to the bat specie, clearing will be restricted to between October 1 and March 31. In addition, as recommended by the US Fish and Wildlife Service that orange construction fencing and or silt fence will be placed between the areas to be cleared and the areas that will be left undisturbed. As previously noted, areas of proposed development have been concentrated in areas previous development at the park to the greatest extend practical, with limited removal of existing forest. The majority of the forest at the park is outside of the area of proposed development and will remain undisturbed, thus retaining significant habitat at the site.

Therefore, it is anticipated that the proposed action will not result in a significant reduction or degradation of any habitat used by this NYS and federally listed Threatened / Endangered species.
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.

Neither pesticides nor heibicides will be utilitized during construction of the project. In addition, neither pesticides nor herbicides will be used by the Town of Carmel Recreation Department at the Park during site operation. The proposed action will not result in impacts to threatened or endangered species or their habitat because the proposed action does not involve the use of pesticides or herbicides. Refer to Response 3.j. for additional information.

## 9. IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES

The land use of the proposed action are obviously_different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource.
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:

Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons).

The proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open space with smaller maintenance buildings at the park. The new building and parking area will be seasonally visible from other areas of the park and from the lake, which could be considered publically accessible vantage points. Existing vegetation around the new building is proposed to be retained to the greatest extent possible and additional landscaping is proposed within the parking area and around the new building, consistant with the existing character of the park. In addition, because the new building is being constructed within the existing park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan, the land use of the proposed action is consistent with the current land use patterns at the site, even when viewed seasonally from publicly accessible vantage points.
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:

## i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work

As stated in Response 9.c. above, the proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park. Based on topography and existing vegetation to remain as part of the project, it is unlikely that the new bulding will be visible to residents travelling on Long Pond Road during routine travel. In the event that the new building is visible seasonally to residents, a significant impact is unlikely because the proposed land use is consistent with the current park land use patterns at the site, with the new building being constructed within the existing park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan.
ii. Recreational or tourism based activities.

As stated in Response 9.c and d. above, the proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open space with smaller maintenance buildings at the park. The new building and parking area will be visible from other areas of recreational activities at the park. Because the new building is being constructed as a place for community members to engage in recreational activities within the existing park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan, the land use of the proposed action is consistent with the current land use patterns at the site, therefore, it is not anticipated that the proposed action will have a significant visual impact to viewers of the proposed action while engaged in recreational based activities.
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.

The proposed action is further development of an existing park / recreation facility to provide better, safer access and improvements to existing facilities, with the new building constructed as a place for community members to engage in recreational activities within the existing park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan. As previously stated, the land use of the proposed action is consistent with the current land use patterns at the site, therefore, it is not anticipated that the proposed action will cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of a designated aesthetic resource.

## 11. IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan

a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services," provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.

The proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open lawn area. The project also includes the removal of some wooded areas for the construction of the building and the proposed stormwater management areas. Most of the proposed improvements are to be within areas of previous development at the park, including the lawn area to be removed, the driveways to be widened / relocated and the stormwater management areas. No activities are proposed within the wetlands and limited project improvements are proposed within the wetland buffer areas. In addition, large undeveloped areas of the site are not impacted by the proposed action. Therefore, it is not anticipated that the project will result in impairment of natural functions or ecosystem services provided by an undeveloped area, since the proposed action is to be located within previously developed areas of the park to the greatest extent practical.
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of current or future recreational resource.

The proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open lawn area with some smaller maintenance buildings at the perimeter. The new building is being constructed to provide better facilities for existing park recreation activities and valuable space for new recreational / community activities at the park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan. An area of open space at the park is being removed, but additional aeras of open space at the park shall remain. Therefore, the proposed action will not result in the loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource.
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.

It is not anticipated that the proposed action will result a significant impact due to the loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. The proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open lawn area with some smaller maintenance buildings at the perimeter. The new building is being constructed to provide better facilities for existing park recreation activities and valuable space for new recreational / community activities at the park as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan. In addition, other areas at the park will still be available to be used informally by the community as open space resources.

## 13. IMPACT OF TRANSPORTATION

 The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems.a. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people and goods.

As part of the park improvement project, the park access driveways and entrances from Long Pond Road (County Route 32) are being revised to provide improved safety and access to the site. Proposed revisions to site circulation include:

- The widening of the existing westerly park access road and conversion to two-way traffic.
- The demolition of the existing maintenance entrance and access drive adjacent to the westerly park entrance, and the construction of a new maintenance drive to connect to the existing park access road onsite.
- The addition of traffic controls at the existing easterly park exit road to convert to a eastbound only exit. Proposed traffic controls to include signage and striping.

Although the proposed action includes changes to the existing park entrance and exit roads which will alter the present pattern of movement of people and goods, the proposed improvements are expected to provide better site circulation and improved safety and access to the site.

## 14. IMPACT ON ENERGY <br> The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.

## e. The proposed recreation building and site lighting will result in additional demands for energy.

The proposed community recreation building and parking area are proposed to be located in the center of the existing park and will replace some existing buildings and recreation facilities at the site. The building and site lighting for the parking area will be designed to meet or exceed New York State energy code standards. The proposed site lighting will be designed to meet the site's required light levels for safety and security, while utilizing energy efficient fixtures and features including power controls such as photocells, and dimmers, as practical.

## 15. IMPACT ON NOISE, ODOR, AND LIGHT The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting.

a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.

Ambient daytime noise levels will increase in the immediate vicinity of the site during project construction. The closest residential properties to the site are the single family residences across Long Pond Road from the park entrances and the residence on the adjoining property to the west of the park. The nearest residence to on-site grading is approximately 120 feet from the proposed community recreation building.

The level of impacts of these noise sources depends on the type and number of pieces of construction equipment being operated, as well as the distance from the construction site. The noisiest period of construction will occur during site clearing and grading activities and during blasting operations when sections of the site are prepared for internal roads, paved areas, and building pads and during the initial stages of the construction of the recreation building.

Noise levels due to construction activities will vary widely, depending on the phase of construction activities, including clearing and grading, delivery of materials, and actual construction of the on-site building. The Town of Carmel Code (Chapter 104 - Noise / Article II. Noise Control) has no specific limits on construction noise levels within the Recreation / Trailway Zoning District where the park is located, but does restrict construction noise levels for projects within the surrounding residentialzoned district. During the hours of 8:00 am to 6:00 pm, noise levels from a construction site shall not exceed an L10 of $70 \mathrm{db}(\mathrm{A})$ 's when measured at a distance of 400 feet from the construction site; during the hours of 6:00 pm to $8: 00 \mathrm{pm}$, nloise levels shall not exceed an L10 of $55 \mathrm{db}(\mathrm{A})$ 's when measured at a distance of 400 feet from the construction site.

It is anticipated that existing residences on the north side of Long Pond Road will experience temporary elevated noise levels at occasional points during the construction of the changes to the westerly driveway entrances and stormwater basin, with most noise resulting from site preparation, such as tree removal and grading activities, and occasional noise from construction traffic entering and exiting the site over the duration of the construction of the recreation building and parking area. The residence on the adjoining property to the west will experience temporary elevated noise levels during construction of the recreation building, the maintenance building and the associated parking area, with most noise resulting from site preparation, such as tree removal, existing building removal and grading activities, and anticipated blasting and rock removal during the portion of the project to establish the pad for the building and parking area. Once earthwork has been completed and construction of the building has commenced, elevated noise levels may be experienced temporarily at occasional points from building construction activities, construction traffic and deliveries, and construction equipment during completion of site work and paving .
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.

Refer to Responses 1.c and 15.a. above.
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.

Site lighting will be provided for the new community recreation center and the associated parking area. The new site lighting will be dark sky compliant lighting and designed to provide the appropriate amount of lighting for safety during night-time activities, then will provide reduced levels of lighting after-hours for security. The carefully designed site lighting is not anticipated to result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions at the park.

## 18. CONSISTENCY WITH COMMUNITY CHARACTER The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.

b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community service (e.g., schools, police, and fire)

The proposed action consists of improvements to the existing park, consistant with the Town's recreation master plan. The proposed action provides better circulation and better accommodations for the current park activities, without providing a higher intensity of peak use, just more instances. Therefore, the proposed action will not create a demand for additional community services.
f. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.

The proposed action includes the construction of a new 18,000 s.f. community recreation building in a location at the existing park that is currently open space with smaller maintenance buildings at the park. The architectural scale of this building is potentially inconsistent with the architectural scale and character of the existing park. Because the new building is being constructed at this location as part of the Town's Recreation Master Plan, it is not anticipated that there will be an impact to the existing community character of the park or the neighborhood.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Project Description:

The Town of Carmel is planning the development of a Community Recreation Center and related site improvements at Sycamore Park, in Mahopac. The project site is located at 790 Long Pond Road (County Route 32), and is currently developed as a Town Park. The park consists of natural turf multipurpose athletic fields, park support buildings, children's playground, picnic area, parking areas, entrance drives, accessible paths to facilities and other park amenities. It should be noted that the limits of disturbance total is in excess of 5 acres, project phasing plans will be included with the final project SWPPP. The Town of Carmel is seeking to take the next step in implementing the Sycamore Park Site Master Plan which includes the following improvements:

- Widening of the existing park access road from Long Pond Road (County Route 32).
- Demolition of the existing maintenance entrance and access drive from Long Pond Road, and the construction of a new maintenance drive to connect to the existing park access road onsite.
- Construction of a new 18,000 sf Community Recreation Center building and supporting water supply system, wastewater disposal system, and stormwater management system.
- Construction of new and expanded parking areas to support the new Community Recreation Center building (approximately 91 parking spaces) and supporting stormwater management system.
- Demolition and removal of existing small maintenance buildings and siting of future $3,000 \mathrm{sf}$ maintenance building.
Stormwater runoff from the areas of proposed development will be captured via an onsite stormwater collection and conveyance system consisting of catch basins, drain inlets, drainage manholes and HDPE pipe. The stormwater collection and conveyance system will convey the stormwater runoff to stormwater management practices (SMP's) for treatment. All components of the stormwater collection, conveyance, and treatment system have been designed to meet the following requirements:
- NYSDEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities, General Permit GP-0-15-002 (GP-0-15-002).
- NYCDEP Rules and Regulations for the Protection from Contamination, Degradation, and Pollution of the New York City Water Supply and its Sources (Rules and Regulations).
- Town of Carmel Town Code, Section 156-81 - Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans

The following permits are required for the subject project:
Route 6 Retail and PCSB Mahopac Branch

| Agency | Approval Required | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New York City Department of <br> Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) | Stormwater Pollution Prevention <br> Plan (SPPP) Approval | Pending |
|  | Subsurface Sewage Treatment <br> System Approval | Not Yet Submitted |
| Putnam County Department of <br> Health | Subsurface Sewage Treatment <br> System and Well Approval | Not Yet Submitted |
| New York State Department of <br> Conservation (NYSDEC) | Freshwater Wetland Permit <br> Coverage Under General Permit <br> GP-0-15-002 <br> SPDES Permit for Groundwater <br> Discharge of Treated Sanitary <br> Sewage | Not Yet Submitted |
| Putnam County Highway \& Facilities | Road Work Permit | Not Yet Submitted |

There are no known enforcement actions, including lawsuits or administrative proceedings, commenced against the applicant, or any principle affiliate of the applicant, for any alleged violations of law related to the applicant of the site, in the five years preceding this application.

### 1.2 Existing Site Conditions

The project site is currently developed as a public park, it consists of approximately 31.6 acres and is located at 790 Long Pond Road (County Route 32) in the Town of Carmel, NY. The Long Pond Road Right of Way forms the northern property boundary, and the shoreline of Long Pond forms the southern property boundary. The eastern half of the property is comprised of a NYSDEC wetland identified as LC22, and the western half of the site contains the existing park facilities. The wetland flows from north to south through an existing watercourse within the wetland limits which discharges to Long Pond. The majority of the property is wooded. Land cover in the vicinity of the park facilities consists of a mix of lawn, wooded, and impervious areas.

Slopes within the project area vary from gentle to steep and generally slope from northwest to southeast towards NYSDEC LC-22 and Long Pond. A NYCDEP regulated watercourse is located in the northwestern portion of the site, flows through the park and ultimately discharges into the NYSDEC wetland. Stormwater runoff generated by the northern portion of the site discharges into the NYSDEC wetland and ultimately into Long Pond. Stormwater runoff generated by the southern portion of the site discharges directly into Long Pond.

The National Resource Conservation Service Soil Survey of Westchester and Putnam Counties has identified onsite soils as Charlton Loam (ChC), Charlton-Chatfield Complex (CrC), Chatfield-Hollis Rock outcrop complex (CtC), Fluvaquents (Ff), Leicester Loam (LcB), Catden Muck (Ce), and Udorthents (Uc). All Charlton and Chatfield soil types are classified as hydrologic soil group "B", all remaining soil types are classified as hydrologic soil group "D".

### 1.3 Proposed Site Conditions

As noted above, the Town of Carmel is seeking to move forward with the next step in the Sycamore Park Master Plan, which consists of the demolition of the existing maintenance access drive, and several existing buildings and sport courts as well as the construction of a new $18,000 \mathrm{sf} \pm$ Community Center and associated site infrastructure improvements. The site infrastructure improvements as described in previous sections of this report include the reconfiguration of the existing site entrance and widening of the existing access road from Long Pond Road as well as the construction of a new parking area, maintenance access drive, and associated Stormwater Management System. Stormwater runoff from the proposed site improvements will be captured and treated in two (2) infiltration basins and one(1) surface sand filter sized in accordance to meet both the $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and Water Quality Volume ( $\mathrm{WQ}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) requirements. It should be noted that stormwater treatment will be provided for the impervious surface ( $0.2 \mathrm{ac} . \pm$ ) associated with the portion of the existing park maintenance access drive to remain where none previously existed.

It should also be noted that runoff generated by approximately 0.2 acres of proposed impervious surface will be directed to the existing porous pavers and Stormwater Filtering practices located in the parking areas to the south of the baseball field. The existing porous pavers and Stormwater filtering practices currently receive runoff from portions of the existing park access road, as well as the existing adjacent building and asphalt play courts to be removed. The proposed impervious surface area tributary to the existing stormwater management practices in the post development condition is approximately equivalent to the area of existing impervious surface to be removed. Therefore, there will be no additional contributing impervious surface to the existing Stormwater management practices. As such there are no adverse impacts anticipated to the existing Stormwater treatment system as a result of the proposed development.

This SWPPP was prepared to address the local, regional, and state regulatory requirements for the subject project. Chapter 3 of the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual (Design Manual) specifies a five-step site planning and stormwater management practice selection process, which must be addressed. The five steps are as follows:

1. Site planning to preserve natural features and reduce impervious cover.
2. Calculation of the Water Quality Volume $\left(W Q_{v}\right)$ for the site.
3. Incorporation of runoff reduction techniques and standard SMP's with Runoff Reduction Volume (RRv) Capacity.
4. Use of Standard SMP's, where applicable, to treat the portion of water quality volume not addressed by runoff reduction techniques and standard SMP's with RRv capacity.
5. Design of volume and peak rate control practices where required.

To address step 1 and for the purpose of preserving existing natural site features and on site critical environmental resources. The site plan was prepared such that no proposed land disturbance is located within the limits of NYSDEC wetland LC-22 and disturbance within 100' adjacent area has been minimized to only what is necessary for site access and Stormwater management. In an effort to minimize proposed impervious surfaces at the site, several curbed planted islands are proposed within the parking areas and plantings are proposed adjacent to the proposed buildings. In addition, the existing access / maintenance drives will be maintained and utilized where practical to provide access throughout the park in the post development condition, further reducing the creation of proposed impervious surfaces.

To address step 2 Water Quality Volume ( $W Q_{v}$ ) calculations were completed for the project site and are included in appendix A , information regarding $\mathrm{WQ}_{\mathrm{v}}$ treatment requirements is provided in later sections of this report.

To address step 3 Runoff Reduction Volume ( $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) techniques are implemented at the project site in the form of infiltration basins. The proposed infiltration basins are classified in the Design Manual as standard SMP's with runoff reduction capacity and have been designed to treat the entire WQv generated by the contributing area to each practice. As a result of infiltrating the entire contributing RRv to each
infiltration basin the $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ minimum requirements have been satisfied at the design point and design line analyzed in this report.

To address step 4 a Surface Sand Filter is proposed to capture and treat the WQv/RRv generated by the portion of the parking area and new access drive, which is not conveyed to the proposed infiltration basins. It was determined that this area was not suitable for an infiltration practice as a result of the shallow depth to bedrock observed during soil testing performed by Insite Engineering and witnessed by representatives of the NYCDEP.

To address step 5 peak rate control practices in addition to the proposed infiltration basins and sand filters are not required. As a result of infiltrating the entire 1 -year runoff volume generated tributary to each infiltration basin and providing a controlled outlet from the proposed sand filter peak flows from the larger storm events are reduced. Thus satisfying the Stream Channel Protection Volume (CPv), Overbank Flood Control ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$, and Extreme Storm Control $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ specified in the Design Manual and discussed in later sections of this report.

As addressed in step 3 and discussed above, the project SWPPP addresses and satisfies the RRv requirements of the Design Manual. These requirements are intended to replicate pre-development hydrology through the implementation of green infrastructure practices. In order to replicate predevelopment hydrology to the maximum extent practicable, the project SWPPP has minimized the creation of impervious surfaces, and utilized infiltration to the maximum extent practicable to satisfy the RRv minimum requirements. The NYCDEP Rules and Regulations encourage the use of infiltration practices "to minimize loss of annual recharge to groundwater by maximizing the use of stormwater infiltration practices where suitable soil conditions exist." Consistent with the Rules and Regulations, the use of infiltration practices has been maximized at the project site where suitable soils exist. Soil testing witnessed by the NYCDEP confirmed the presence of suitable soils to support infiltration in the areas of the proposed infiltration basins, however as noted above a portion of the proposed impervious surfaces will be directed to a surface sand filter for treatment as a result of the shallow bedrock observed in this area during testing. As the project SWPPP is consistent with the requirements of $R_{v}$ per the Design Manual, and maximized the use of infiltration, the project SWPPP has replicated the pre-development hydrology to the maximum extent practicable. Therefore, no impacts to downstream hydrology are anticipated as a result of the proposed project.

### 2.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The proposed stormwater management system for the Sycamore Park Community Center and Site Improvements project has been designed to meet the requirements of local, regional, and state stormwater ordinances and guidelines, including but not limited to those of the Town of Carmel, the NYSDEC, and the NYCDEP.

Since the subject project proposes the disturbance of more than 1 acre, coverage under the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities (GP-0-15-002) is required. It should be noted that there are no existing Stormwater discharges associated with industrial activities or any other activities other than construction.

In order to meet the requirements set forth by GP-0-15-002, and the latest edition of the NYSDEC New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual (Design Manual), including the requirements listed in Chapter 10: Enhanced Phosphorus Removal Standards (Chapter 10). The Design Manual specifies five design criteria that are discussed in detail below. They are Runoff Reduction Volume (RRv), Water Quality Volume (WQv), Stream Channel Protection Volume (CPv), Overbank Flood Control ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), and Extreme Storm Control $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$. The first two requirements relate to treating water quality, while the later pertain to stormwater quantity (peak flow) attenuation.

With regard to NYCDEP requirements, Section 18-39 of the Rules and Regulations requires a SWPPP Approval for this project. For further discussion on NYCDEP requirements, refer to Section 2.5 below. To meet
the above referenced requirements, the following post construction stormwater management practices are proposed for the project:

Table 2.0.1 - Proposed SMP Design Criteria Summary Table

| Proposed/Existing SMP ID | DESIGN MANUAL Ch. <br> 6 Design Designation | NYSDEC Uniform Stormwater Sizing Criteria Satisfied | NYCDEP Quality/Quantity Requirement Satisfied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1P | Proposed Infiltration Basin (I-2) | RRv/ WQv | RRv/ WQv <br> (Since an infiltration practice is proposed, two practices in series are not required) |
| 1.2P | Proposed Dry Swale (O-1) | RRv | RRv/WQv <br> (Since the contributing drainage area is less than 20\% impervious, two practices in series are not required) |
|  | Proposed Surface Sand Filter System (F-1) | WQv |  |
| 2.2 P | Proposed Infiltration Basin (I-2) | RRv/ $\mathrm{WQ}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $R_{v} / W_{v}$ <br> (Since an infiltration practice is proposed, two practices in series are not required) |

To address stormwater quantity requirements of both the NYSDEC and NYCDEP, the "HydroCAD" Stormwater Modeling System," by HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC in Tamworth, New Hampshire, was used to model and assess the peak stormwater flows for the subject project. HydroCAD is a computer aided design program for modeling the hydrology and hydraulics of stormwater runoff. It is based primarily on hydrology techniques developed by the United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (USDA, SCS) TR-20 method combined with standard hydraulic calculations. For details on the input data for the subcatchments and design storms, refer to Appendices A through C:

The input requirements for the HydroCAD computer program are as follows:
Subcatchments (contributing watershed/sub-watersheds)

- Design storm rainfall in inches
- CN (runoff curve number) values which are based on soil type and land use/ground cover
- Tc (time of concentration) flow path information

Flow Splitters / Subsurface Infiltration System

- Surface area at appropriate elevations
- Flood elevation
- Outlet structure information

The following is a general description of the input data used to calculate the pre- and post-development stormwater runoff values. For detailed information for each subcatchment and pond, see Appendices B\&C. The precipitation values for the 1 -Year, $10-Y e a r, 100-Y e a r ~ 24$ hour design storm events and rainfall distribution curves utilized for this report were obtained from the information provided by Northeast Regional Climate Center(NRCC) and the Natural Resources Conservation Service(NRCS) which is available online at www.precip.eas.cornell.edu. The values provided for all design storms analyzed are listed below.

## Design Storm

1-Year
10-Year
100-Year

## 24-Hour Rainfall

2.74"
4.92"
8.75"

The CN (runoff curve number) values utilized in this report were referenced from the USDA, SCS publication Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds.

### 2.1 Chapter 10: Enhanced Phosphorus Removal Standards (Chapter 10)

As noted above, the New York City East of Hudson Watershed has been identified in the SPDES General Permit GP-0-15-002 as a watershed requiring compliance with the Enhanced Phosphorus Removal Standards when post-construction stormwater management practices are proposed. Chapter 10 establishes four goals to meet sizing performance standards:

- Goal 1: Reducing Runoff Volumes
- Goal 2: Effective Bypass Treatment
- Goal 3: Achieving Effluent Concentrations for Particulate Phosphorus
- Goal 4: Achieving Effluent Concentrations for Dissolved Phosphorus

In order to achieve the first goal, the site design shall," assess the feasibility of hydrological source controls and reduce the total water quality volume by source control, implementation of green infrastructure, or standard SMP's with RRv capacity, according to the process defined in Chapters 3 and 4 of the Design Manual. Each plan must include a rationale for acceptance and rejection of the various controls." A discussion on $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ can be found in section 2.2 below. Based upon the results of onsite soil testing, the soils onsite in select areas are suitable for infiltration. Therefore, the use of infiltration practices (classified as Standard SMP's with RRv capacity) maximized, and infiltration basins were utilized in the select areas identified where suitable soils exist to treat runoff from portions of the proposed impervious surfaces and satisfy RRv minimum requirements. As such, Goal 1 has been achieved in this SWPPP.

Goal 2 cites that proposed stormwater management practices should achieve less than 15\% effective treatment bypass of the long-term runoff volume. Chapter 10 further notes this goal is satisfied by capturing and treating the 1 -year 24 -hour design storm. The NYSDEC stormwater quality treatment practices proposed for this have been designed in accordance with Chapter 10 by utilizing the $1-\mathrm{yr}$, 24hour design storm to generate the $W_{\mathrm{v}}$ / RRv. As such, Goal 2 has been achieved in this SWPPP.

Achieving effluent concentrations for particulate phosphorus, Goal 3, is satisfied by achieving an $80 \%$ net removal of particulate phosphorus for a median influent concentration of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Chapter 10 states that through designing proposed SMP's in accordance with Section 10.4 this goal will be achieved. The proposed infiltration systems have been designed in accordance with Section 10.4.4 of Chapter 10 thus satisfying the requirements of this goal.

Goal 4, achieving effluent concentration for dissolved phosphorus, is achieved by obtaining a $60 \%$ net removal of dissolved phosphorus given a median influent concentration of $0.15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. As with Goal 3, Goal 4 is achieved by designing the proposed SMP's in accordance with Section 10.4 of Chapter 10. As noted above the proposed infiltration systems have been designed in accordance with section 10.4.4 of Chapter 10 thus satisfying the requirements of this goal.

### 2.2 Runoff Reduction Volume ( $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ) and Water Quality Volume (WQv)

The Runoff Reduction Volume (RRv) criterion is intended to replicate pre-development hydrology by maintaining preconstruction infiltration, peak flow runoff, discharge volume, as well as minimizing concentrated stormwater flow. As stated in Chapter 4 of the Design Manual, $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ may be treated with standard SMP's with runoff reduction capacity sized in accordance with the Chapter $4 / 6$ requirements, or with green infrastructure practices (GIP's) sized in accordance with the requirements set forth for each GIP in Chapter 5. Runoff reduction is achieved when runoff from a site is captured, directed to a SMP or a GIP, infiltrated to the ground, reused, or removed by evapotranspiration, so it does not contribute to the stormwater discharge from the site. The goal for each site is to reduce the entire $W_{\mathrm{v}}(100 \%)$ through the implementation of GIP's and standard SMP's with runoff reduction capacity. However, if $100 \%$ of the WQv cannot be reduced by applying a combination of green infrastructure techniques and standard SMP's with RRiv capacity, "they must, at a minimum, reduce runoff from a percentage of the impervious area constructed as part of the project using the green infrastructure techniques and standard SMPs with RRv capacity. In addition, the designer must provide justification in the SWPPP that evaluates each of the green infrastructure techniques listed in Table 3.2 and identify the specific site limitations that make application of the technique(s) infeasible.

The RRv Calculations are provided in Appendix A and are summarized as follows:

| Initial $W Q_{v}(0.428$ acre-feet $)$ | $=$ | 17,380 c.f. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RRvv Minimum | $=$ | 8,126 c.f. |
| RRvv Provided | $=$ | 16,466 c.f. |
| Remaining $W Q_{v}$ to be treated | $=$ | 914 c.f. |

The project SWPPP provides treatment for $96 \%$ of the WQv through the implementation of one(1) Dry Swale (O-1) and two(2) infiltration basins(I-2). The dry swale and infiltration basins are classified as standard SMP's with RRv capacity, and as summarized above provide approximately $202 \%$ of the required RRv minimum. The infiltration basins have been sized to capture and infiltrate $100 \%$ of the $R R_{v} / W_{v}$ generated by their contributing areas by providing storage for $100 \%$ of the contributing $R_{v} / W_{v}$ to each infiltration basin below the secondary outlet in each flowsplitter. The HydroCAD routing contained in Appendix C accounts for infiltration out the bottom of each proposed infiltration basin (identified as exfiltration in the HydroCAD output). The exfiltration rate utilized in the HydroCAD modeling contained in Appendix C of this report is calculated by converting the measured infiltration rate at each proposed SMP location (as observed by this office and a representative of the NYCDEP during previously completed infiltration testing) to a rate measured in feet / second. Then multiplying this value by the bottom area of each subsurface infiltration system. This ensures only the bottom area is accounted for in the exfiltration modeling. Pretreatment for each infiltration basin and $s$ will be provided through the use of hydrodynamic separators sized based on the WQv peak flow, and a permanent stone check dam will provide the required pretreatment for the proposed dry swale.

For a calculation of the Initial $W Q_{v} / R R_{v}$, the $R R_{v}$ minimum, the $R R_{v} / W_{v}$ required and the $R R_{v}$ provided, refer to Appendix A. In calculating the RR ${ }_{v}$ minimum, all soils within the proposed limits of disturbance belong to the Hydrologic Soil Group B. The table below summarizes the $\mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{v}}$ requirements for the site, as calculated in Appendix A.

Table 2.2.1 Summary of $W_{Q_{v}} / R_{v}$

| Design Line / Design Point | Initial $W^{W} Q_{v} / R_{v}$ (c.f.) | $\mathbf{R R}_{\mathrm{v}}$ Minimum ${ }^{2}$ (c.f.) | RR provided through GIP with Area Reduction (c.f.) | $W^{W} Q_{v} / R_{v}$ Required <br> (Project WQ ${ }_{v}$ / RRvivinus RRv provided through GIP with Area Reduction) (c.f.) ${ }^{1}$ | $R^{2}$ Provided <br> (c.f.) | Percentage of RR ${ }_{\mathrm{v}}$ Minimum Provided. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sucatchment 1.1 S | 4,443 c.f. | 2,268 c.f. | $0 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{f}$. | 4,443.f. | 4,443 c.f. | 196\% |
| Subcatchment 1.2 S | 1,525 c.f. | 567 c.f. | $0 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{f}$. | 1,525 c.f. | 610 c.f. | 108\% |
| Subcatchment 2.1 S | 11,413 c.f. | 5,291 c.f. | $0 \mathrm{c.f}$ | 11,413 c.f. | 11,413 | 216\% |

1 In accordance with the sizing requirements specified in the Enhanced Phosphorus Removal Standards provided in chapter 10 of the Design Manual the initial WQv is equivalent to the runoff volume generated by the 1 year 24 hour storm event by the contributing area to the stormwater management practice. The initial required $W Q v$ provided in the table above is obtained from the Post Development HydroCAD output contained in appendix C of the enclosed SWPPP.

2 RRv minimum calculations are provided in Appendix A of the enclosed SWPPP. In accordance the latest version of the Design Manual (January 2015) the Method described in chapter 4 of the Design Manual was utilized to calculate the RRv Minimum.

As noted in the table above the project has provided Stormwater treatment in excess of the RRv minimum through the implementation of standard Stormwater management practices with RRv capacity, and has maximized the use of infiltration where suitable soils exist thus satisfying the NYSDEC Runoff Reduction Volume requirements.

As noted previously two (2) infiltration basins, one (1) dry swale, one (1) surface sand filter are proposed to provide the required RRv/WQv treatment required by the Design Manual. The following tables summarize the dry swale and sand filter sizing requirements:

Table 2.2.3 Dry Swale Water Quality Volume Summary

| Subcatchment | WQ <br> v <br> (cf) | NYSDEC <br> Practice <br> Designation | Required <br> Detention Time <br> (min.) | Provided <br> Detention Time <br> (min.) | \% WQv <br> Storage <br> Provided in <br> Swale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1 S | 2,614 | $\mathrm{O}-1$ | 30 min. | 338 min | $100 \%+$ |

The Sand Filter has been sized to hold $75 \%$ of the Required $W_{v}$ / RRv, and provide the filter bed area as required by Section 6.4 .4 of the Design Manual. A hydrodynamic separator is proposed for pretreatment, and a flow splitter is provided upstream, placing the sand filter offline. The flow splitter and sand filter routings are contained in the HydroCAD output in Appendix C. The following tables summarizes the Sand Filter sizing:

Table 2.2.2 Sand Filter Volume Sizing Summary

| Subcatchment | Contributing $W_{v}$ (c.f.) | PreTreatment ${ }^{1}$ | Minimum <br> \% WQv <br> Storage <br> Required <br> In Sand Filter | Minimum Storage Volume Required (c.f.) | Storage Provided in Sand Filter ${ }^{2}$ (c.f.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2S | 1,525 | hydrodynamic separator | 75\% | 1,144 | 3,284 |

[^0]Table 2.2.2 Sand Filter Area Calculations

$$
A_{f}=\left(W Q_{v}\right)\left(d_{f}\right) /\left[(k)\left(h_{f}+d_{f}\right)\left(t_{f}\right)\right]
$$

| Subcatchment | Contributing WQv (c.f.) | $D_{f}$ (depth of filter, feet) | K (Permeability in feet / day) | $h_{f}$ <br> (Avg height of water above filter bed, feet) | (Design filter bed drain time, days) | Required $A_{f}$ <br> (Area of filter, s.f.) | Provided $A_{f}$ <br> (Area of filter, s.f.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.25 | 1,525 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 2 | 1.67 | 112 | 500 |

### 2.3 NYSDEC Stream Channel Protection Volume, CPv

The Stream Channel Protection (CPv) criterion is intended to protect stream channels from erosion and is accomplished providing 24 -hours Center-of-Mass or Plug Flow Detention Time for the runoff volume generated by the1-Year, 24 -hour storm event, or infiltration of the entire runoff volume generated by the 1-year 24 -hour storm event. For this project, the $\mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{v}}$ requirements are satisfied by infiltrating the entire 1 Year Storm Runoff Volume tributary to each infiltration basin, and providing a combined 1,768 minutes of Center of Mass detention time in the proposed dry swale and surface sand filter. This can be verified in the HydroCAD model in Appendix C.

### 2.4 NYSDEC Overbank Flood Control, $Q_{p}$, and Extreme Storm Control, $Q_{\mathrm{f}}$

The Overbank Flood Control $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ requirement is intended to prevent an increase in the frequency and magnitude of out-of-bank flooding events generated by urban development. Overbank control requires storage to attenuate the post-development 10 -year, 24 -hour peak discharge to pre-development rates. The Extreme Storm Control $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ requirement is intended to prevent the increased risk of flood damage from large storm events, maintain the boundaries of the pre-development 100-year flood plain, and protect the physical integrity of SMP's. Extreme Storm Control requires storage to attenuate the postdevelopment 100-year, 24-hour peak discharge to pre-development rates.

The pre versus post-development analysis is contained in Appendix B and C. As a result of infiltrating the entire runoff volume generated by the 1 -year design storm peak flows from the larger storm events are reduced. A summary of the pre-development versus post-development peak flows for the site specific analysis contained herein are provided in the Table below:

Table 2.5.1 Pre and Post-Development Peak Flows at Design Line 1

| 24-HOUR DESIGN STORM PEAK FLOWS (c.f.s.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10-YEAR <br> (Overbank Flood Control) | 100-YEAR <br> (Extreme Flood Control) |  |  |
|  | Pre | Post | Pre | Post |
|  | 11.7 | 10.6 | 29.6 | 29.0 |
|  | 17.5 | 15.9 | 41.9 | 38.1 |

### 2.5 NYCDEP Requirements

The subject project is located within the NYC East of Hudson Watershed and a NYCDEP SWPPP approval is required as it meets or exceeds the following thresholds listed in the Rules and Regulations described below.
§18-39(b)(3)(iii): Construction of a new industrial, institutional, municipal, commercial, or multifamily residential project that will result in the creation of an impervious surface totaling over 40,000 square feet in size.

As described in previous sections of this report the subject project proposed several improvements the existing park facility, which consist of the demolition of several existing buildings, parking areas, and play courts as well as the construction of a new 18,000 sf community center, associated parking area and access roads. The proposed site improvements will result in the creation of approximately 76,000 sf of new impervious surface thus exceeding the threshold specified in §18-39(b)(3)(iii) of the Rules and Regulations.

## §18-39(b)(3)(iv): A land clearing or land grading project, involving two (2) or more acres, located at least in part within the limiting distance of 100 feet of a watercourse or wetland, or within the limiting distance of 300 feet of a reservoir, reservoir stem or controlled lake or on a slope exceeding 15 percent.

The proposed site improvements will result in a total of 5.4 acres of land disturbance, which will include land clearing and land grading within the limiting distance of 100 feet to a NYCDEP perennial watercourse, as well as on slopes exceeding $15 \%$ thus exceeding the threshold specified in §18-39(b)(3)(iv) of the Rules and Regulations.

It should be noted that as a part of the site improvements it is proposed to widen the existing park access road, which is currently located within the limiting distance of 100' to a NYCDEP perennial watercourse. As specified in §18-39(a)(4)(i) and §18-39(a)(6)(iv) of the Rules and Regulations the widening of an existing road located within the limiting distance of 100 ' to a perennial stream is not prohibited. Provided that the road widening be performed on the side of the existing road which is furthest from the watercourse, as is the case for the subject project.

In addition to the proposed road widening, a new maintenance access drive will be constructed as a part of the site improvements. A portion of the proposed maintenance access drive will be located within the limiting distance of 100 ' to the perennial watercourse, therefore it is proposed to install pervious Turf Stone Pavers over all parts of the proposed maintenance access road located within limiting distances.

The NYCDEP Rules and Regulations parallel the requirements of the NYSDEC and the Town of Carmel, with two (2) exceptions. The first exception being that two different NYSDEC standard SMP's are required in series when the drainage area to a SMP is greater than $20 \%$ impervious or an infiltration practice is not provided. Infiltration basins have been utilized for treatment of runoff from all subcatchments in excess of $20 \%$ impervious. The contributing area to the proposed dry swale and sand filter contains less than $20 \%$ percent impervious therefore only one treatment practice is required for Stormwater runoff generated by their contributing area.

The second exception being due to the fact that the site is located in the West Branch Reservoir Basin which is considered a terminal reservoir, a total coliform analysis is required for the pre and post development conditions at the project site. The Coliform analysis prepared for the project and a summary of its subsequent results are provided in section 2.6 of this report.

The NYCDEP requires that the stormwater treatment volume used shall be the greater of the runoff volume from the 1 -year, 24 -hour storm event or the volume generated by the $90 \%$ storm. Runoff volumes for each of the subcatchments for the 1 -year 24 -hour design storm are found in Appendix C . The following equation, per Chapter 4, was used to determine the water quality volume for the $90 \%$ storm for each of the post-construction subcatchment areas discharging to a stormwater treatment practice:

The water quality volume shall be $W Q_{v}=\frac{(P)\left(R_{v}\right)(A)}{12}$
Where,
WQv90 = water quality volume (in acre-feet)
P $\quad=90 \%$ Rainfall Event Number
$R_{v} \quad=0.05+0.009(\mathrm{I})$, where I is percent impervious cover
A $\quad=$ site area in acres
Table 2.5.1 - Water Quality Volume Calculation Summary 90\% Storm vs. 1-Year Storm Comparison

| Subcatchments | P <br> (in.) | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{A}^{1} \\ (\mathrm{ac} .) \end{gathered}$ | WQv90 (ac-ft) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{WQ}{ }^{2} \\ \text { 1-year (ac-ft) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1S | 1.5 | 0.60 | 1.10 | 0.083 | 0.102 |
| 1.2S |  | 0.21 | 0.85 | 0.022 | 0.035 |
| 2.1S |  | 0.75 | 1.80 | 0.169 | 0.262 |

${ }^{1}$ Information regarding contributing areas for the 1-year 24-hour storm event is shown in Appendix C.
${ }^{2}$ Refer to Appendix C for 1-year 24-hour water quality volume calculation.
As shown in table 2.5.1 above, the volume produced by the 1-year, 24 -hour design storm for each of the subcatchments is larger than the volume produced by the $90 \%$ storm, therefore the 1 -year, 24 -hour design storm volumes shall be used for the WQv sizing for all of the proposed stormwater management practices.

Since infiltration is being provided for subcatchments 1.1 S , and 2.1 S and subcatchment 1.2 S is less than $20 \%$ impervious surface no secondary practice is required per the Rules and Regulations.

### 2.6 NYCDEP Coliform Analysis

As required by section 18-39 (c)(1) of the Rules and Regulations an analysis of the coliform in the pre and post development condition must be included in the project SWPPP as the subject project is located in the West Branch Reservoir Basin, a terminal reservoir. The pollutant loading coefficient method was utilized to calculate the annual export of coliform (FC) for the proposed project area. The publication Fundamentals of Urban Runoff Management: Technical and Institutional Issues produced by the Terrene Institute was referenced to determine the appropriate loading rates FC.

The appropriate loading rates were then utilized to calculate the annual pollutant export values. Variables involved with this calculation include land use/ground cover characteristics. Further details for each subcatchment and the pollutant removal efficiency for each practice may be found in Appendix J.

Table 2.6.1 Summary of Pollutant Loading Rates (\#/ha/yr)

| Land Use/Ground Cover | FC |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pavement / Impervious | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| Grass | $1.6 \mathrm{E}+10$ |
| Forest | $4.0 \mathrm{E}+09$ |

The following table summarizes the estimated pre-development and post-development annual pollutant loads (calculated in Appendix E) for the subject project.

Table 2.6.2 Annual Pollutant Summary

|  | Annual FC Loads (\#/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Existing Condition Pollutant Loads | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+10$ <br> Final Condition Pollutant Loads$4.80 \mathrm{E}+10$ <br> to <br> $5.01 \mathrm{E}+10$ |

As seen by the above summary, the post-development pollutant loading for coliform are approximately equal to or less than the pre-development loads as required by the NYCDEP regulations.

### 3.0 STORMWATER CONVEYANCE SYSTEM

The stormwater collection and conveyance systems for the project will consist of catch basins and HDPE pipe. As required by the Town of Carmel and NYCDEP the pipe system will be sized to collect and convey at minimum the 10 -year, 1 -hour design storm using the Rational Method. The Rational Method is a standard method used by engineers to develop flow rates for sizing collection systems. The Rational Method calculates flows based on a onehour design storm. Sizing calculations for all proposed conveyance systems will be provided in the final project SWPPP.

### 4.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Erosion and sediment control should be accomplished by four basic principles: diversion of clean water, containment of sediment, treatment of dirty water, and stabilization of disturbed areas. Diversion of clean water should be accomplished with swales. This diverted water should be safely conveyed around the construction area as necessary and discharged downstream of the disturbed areas. Sediment should be contained with the use of silt fence at the toe of disturbed slopes. Disturbed areas should be permanently stabilized within 7 days of final grading to limit the required length of time that the temporary facilities must be utilized. The owner will be responsible for the maintenance of the temporary erosion control facilities. Refer to the Project Drawings for further information implementation of the Erosion Control Plan and Construction Sequence.

### 4.1 Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Facilities

Temporary erosion and sediment control facilities should be installed and maintained as required to reduce the impacts to off-site properties. The owner will be required to provide maintenance for the
temporary erosion and sediment control facilities. In general, the following temporary methods and materials should be used to control erosion and sedimentation from the project site:

- Stabilized Construction Entrance
- Silt Fence Barriers
- Storm Drain Inlet Protection
- Temporary Soil Stabilization

All temporary erosion control measures shall be maintained in accordance with the Erosion \& Sediment Control Maintenance Schedule contained on the Project Drawings, and as discussed below.

A stabilized construction entrance should be installed at the site entrance as shown on the project plans. The design drawings will include details to guide the contractor in the construction of this entrance. The intent of the stabilized construction entrance is to prevent the "tracking" of soil from the site. Dust control should be accomplished with water sprinkling trucks if required. During dry periods, sprinkler trucks should wet all exposed earth surfaces as required to prevent the transport of air-borne particles to adjoining areas.

Siltation barriers constructed of geosynthetic filter cloth should be installed at the toe of all disturbed slopes. The intent of these barriers is to contain silt and sediment at the source and inhibit its transport by stormwater runoff. The siltation barriers will also help reduce the rate of runoff by creating filters through which the stormwater must pass. During construction, the siltation barriers shall be inspected weekly and after a rainfall event and shall be cleaned/replaced when needed.

Storm drain inlet protection in the form of stone drop inlet protection will be installed around all proposed inlets. The stone drop inlet protection will serve to filter stormwater runoff before it enters the collection system. Throughout construction the concrete drainage structures, associated piping and inlet protections shall be inspected weekly and after a rainfall event. These items shall be cleaned, repaired and/or replaced when needed.

When land is exposed during development, the exposure shall be kept to the shortest practical period, but in no case more than 7 days. Temporary grass seed and mulch shall be applied to any construction area idle for two weeks. The temporary seeding and mulching shall be performed in accordance with the seeding notes illustrated on the project drawings. Disturbance shall be minimized in the areas required to perform construction. Upon completion of final grading topsoil, permanent seeding and mulch shall be applied in accordance with the project drawings.

The stormwater runoff will be managed by the temporary erosion and sediment control facilities during construction. As discussed in the construction sequences provided the project plans the stabilized construction entrance shall be installed at the site entrance and silt fence shall be installed along the down hill perimeter of where soil disturbing activities will occur containing sediment laden stormwater runoff on-site.

### 4.2 Permanent Erosion and Sediment Control Facilities

Permanent erosion and sediment control will be accomplished by diverting stormwater runoff from steep slopes, controlling/reducing stormwater runoff velocities and volumes, and vegetative and structural surface stabilization. All of the permanent facilities are relatively maintenance free and only require periodic inspections. The owner will provide maintenance for all the permanent erosion and sediment control facilities.

Rock outlet protection will be provided at the discharge end of all piped drainage systems, and will be sized in accordance with the Blue Book. The purpose of the rock outlet protection is to reduce the depth, velocity, and energy of water, such that the flow will not erode the receiving downstream reach. The rock outlet protection shall be inspected for evidence of scour beneath the riprap and/or for any dislodged stones. Inspections of the rock outlet protection shall be performed during the inspections of the post-construction SMP's for the project.

Other than the buildings and paved surfaces, disturbed surfaces will be stabilized with vegetation within 10 days of final grading. Permanent seed mix and mulch shall be applied to idle areas to minimize the amount of exposed soil. Permanent seed mixtures are proposed for the project and illustrated on project drawings. Application rates for the seed and mulch are provided on the project drawings. The vegetation will control stormwater runoff by preventing soil erosion, reducing runoff volume and velocities, and providing a filter medium. Permanent seeding should optimally be undertaken in the spring from March $21^{\text {st }}$ through May $20^{\text {th }}$ and in late summer from August $15^{\text {th }}$ to October $15^{\text {th }}$.

### 5.0 IMPLEMENTATION, MAINTENANCE \& GENERAL HOUSEKEEPING

### 5.1 Construction Phase

Details associated with the implementation and maintenance of the proposed stormwater facilities and erosion control measures during construction are shown on the project drawings. Soil disturbance for both the subject project shall not exceed five acres at any given time. The erosion control plan will include associated details and notes to aid the contractor in implementing the plan. Construction is anticipated to begin in the summer of 2017 and anticipated to be completed by the summer of 2018.

During construction, a Site Log Book, Appendix E, is required to be kept per NYSDEC SPDES General Permit GP-0-15-002. Erosion and sediment control inspections are required to be conducted as necessary under coverage of the permit (minimum once a week) and an updated logbook and a copy of the SWPPP is required to be kept on site for the duration of the construction activities. The Construction Site Log Book is an appendix taken from the New York Standards and Specifications for Erosion and Sediment Control (Blue Book).

In addition to the proposed erosion and sediment control facilities, the following good housekeeping best management practices shall be implemented to mitigate potential pollution during the construction phase of the project. The general contractor overseeing the day-to-day site operation shall be responsible for the good housekeeping best management practices included in the following general categories:

- Material Handling and Waste Management
- Establishment of Building Material Staging Areas
- Establishment of Washout Areas
- Proper Equipment Fueling and Maintenance Practices
- Spill Prevention and Control Plan

All construction waste materials shall be collected and removed from the site regularly by the general contractor. The general contractor shall supply waste barrels for proper disposal of waste materials. All personnel working on the site shall be instructed of the proper procedures for construction waste disposal.

Although it is not anticipated any hazardous waste materials will be utilized during construction, any hazardous waste materials shall be disposed of in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations. No hazardous waste shall be disposed of on-site. Hazardous waste materials shall be stored in appropriate and clearly marked containers and segregated from the other non-waste materials. All hazardous waste shall be stored in a structurally sound and sealed shipping containers located in the staging areas. Material safety data sheets, material inventory, and emergency contact numbers will be maintained in the office trailer. All personnel working on the site shall be instructed of the proper procedures for hazardous waste disposal.

Temporary sanitary facilities (portable toilets) shall be provided on site during the entire length of construction. The sanitary facilities shall be located in the project staging area, or in an alternate area away from the construction activities on the site. The portable toilets shall be inspected weekly for evidence of leaking holding tanks.

All recyclables, including wood pallets, cardboard boxes, and all other recyclable construction scraps shall be disposed of in a designated recycling barrel provided by the contractor and removed from the site regularly. All personnel working on the site shall be instructed of the proper procedures for construction waste recycling.

All construction equipment and maintenance materials shall be stored in a construction staging area. Silt fence shall be installed down gradient of the construction staging area. Shipping containers shall be utilized to store hand tools, small parts, and other construction materials, not taken off site daily. Construction waste barrels, recycling barrels and if necessary hazardous waste containers shall be located within the limits of the construction staging area.

Throughout the construction of the project, several types of vehicles and equipment will be used on-site. Fueling of the equipment shall occur within the limits of the construction staging area. Fuel will be delivered to the site as needed, by the general contractor, or a party chosen by the general contractor. Only minor vehicle equipment maintenance shall occur on-site, all major maintenance shall be performed off-site. All equipment fluids generated from minor maintenance activities shall be disposed of into designated drums and stored in accordance with the hazardous waste storage as previously discussed.

Vehicles and equipment shall be inspected on each day of use. Any leak discovered shall be repaired immediately. All leaking equipment unable to be repaired shall be removed from the site. Ample supplies of absorbent, spill-cleanup materials, and spill kits shall be located in the construction staging area. All spills shall be cleaned up immediately upon discovery. Spent absorbent materials and rags shall be hauled off-site immediately after the spill is cleaned for disposal at a local landfill. All personnel working on the site shall be instructed of the proper procedures for spill prevention and control. Any spill large enough to discharge to surface water will be immediately reported to the local fire / police departments, NYCDEP, and the National Response Center 1-800-424-8802.

Vegetation should be inspected every 30 days and after every major storm event until established, after which inspections should take place on a quarterly basis and after every large storm event. Damaged areas should be immediately re-seeded and re-mulched.

### 5.2 Soil Restoration

Soil Restoration is required to be applied across areas of the development site where soils have been disturbed and will be vegetated. The purpose is to recover the original properties and porosity of the soil compacted during construction activity. Soil Restoration is applied in the cleanup, restoration, and landscaping phase of construction followed by the permanent establishment of an appropriate, deeprooted groundcover to help maintain the restored soil structure. Soil restoration includes mechanical decompaction and compost amendment. The table below describes various soil disturbance activities related to land development, soil types and the requirements for soil restoration for each activity as identified in the Design Manual. Restoration is applied across areas of a development site where soils have been compacted and will be vegetated according to the criteria defined in the table below:

| Soil Restoration Requirements ${ }^{1,24^{4}}$ (Onsite soils within the limit of disturbance belong to Hydrologic Soil Groups (HSG) B) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Soil Disturbance | Soil Restoration Requirement |  | Comments/Examples |
| No soil disturbance | Restoration not permitted |  | Preservation of Natural Features |
| Minimal soil disturbance | Restoration not required |  | Clearing and grubbing |
| Areas where topsoil is stripped only - no change in grade | HSG A \& B | HSGC\&D | Protect area from any ongoing construction activities. |
|  | Apply 6 inches of topsoil | Aerate ${ }^{3}$ and apply 6 inchos of topsoil |  |
|  | HSG A \& B | HSGC\&D |  |
| Areas of cut or fill | Aerate ${ }^{1}$ and apply 6 inches of topsoil | Apply full Soil Restoration? |  |
| Heavy traffic areas on site (especially in a zone 5-25 feet around buildings but not within a 5 foot perimeter around foundation walls) | Apply full Soil Restoration (decompaction and compost Enhancement ${ }^{6}$ ) |  |  |
| Areas where Runoff Reduction and/or Infiltration practices are applied | Restoration not required, but may be applied to enhance the reduction specified for appropriate practices. |  | Keep construction equipment from crossing these areas. To protect newly installed practice from any ongoing construction activities construct a single phase operation fence area |
| Redovelopment projects | Soil Restoration is required on redovelopment projects in areas where existing impervious area will be converted to pervious area. |  |  |

1. Aeration includes the use of machines such as tractor-drawn implements with coulters making a narrow slit in the soil, a roller with many spikes making indentations in the soil, or prongs, which function like a mini-subsoiler.
2. Per "Deep Ripping and De-compaction, DEC 2008".
3. Aeration includes the use of machines such as tractor-drawn implements with coulters making a narrow slit in the soil, a roller with many spikes making indentations in the soil, or prongs that functions like a mini-subsoiler.
4. During periods of relatively low to moderate subsoil moisture, the disturbed soils are returned to rough grade and the following Soil Restoration steps applied:
5.1. Apply 3 inches of compost over subsoil.
5.2. Till compost into subsoil to a depth of at least 12 inches using a cat-mounted ripper, tractor-mounted disc, or tiller, mixing, and circulating air and compost into subsoils.
5.3. Rock-pick until uplifted stone/rock materials of four inches and larger size area cleaned off the site.
5.4. Apply topsoil to a depth of 6 inches.
5.5. Vegetate as required by seeding notes located on the project drawings.
5.6. Tilling should not be performed within the drip line of any existing trees or over any utility installations that are within 24 inches of the surface.
5. Compost shall be aged, from plant derived materials, free of viable weed seeds, have no visible free water or dust produced when handling, pass through a half inch screen and have a pH suitable to grow desired plants.

After soil restoration is completed, an inspector should be able to push a $3 / 8$ " metal bar twelve inches into the soil with just body weight. Following decompaction/soil restoration activities, the following maintenance is anticipated during the first year:

- Initial inspections for the first six months (once after each storm greater than a half-inch).
- Reseeding to repair bare or eroding areas to assure grass stabilization.
- Water once every three days for first month, and then provide a half inch of water per week during first year. Irrigation plan may be adjusted according to the rain event.
- Fertilization may be needed in the fall after the first growing season to increase plant vigor.

In order to ensure the soil remains decompacted the following ongoing maintenance is recommended:

- Planting the appropriate ground cover with deep roots to maintain the soil structure.
- Keeping the site free of vehicular and foot traffic or other weight loads. Consider pedestrian footpaths (sometimes it may be necessary to de-thatch the turf every few years).


### 5.3 Long Term Maintenance Plan

The owner will be responsible for the maintenance of the permanent erosion control and stormwater facilities. Each spring the paved areas should be cleaned to remove the winter's accumulation of traction sand. After this is completed, all catch basin sumps and pipes should be checked for debris and blockages and cleaned as required. During the cleaning process, the catch basins and pipes should be inspected for structural integrity and overall condition; repairs and/or replacement will be made as required.

Once the desired vegetative cover is established, only limited maintenance is required. The infiltration system should be inspected after major storm events and semi-annually. During the inspections, the following should be checked:

- Evidence of clogging in the outlet structures / flow splitters.
- Drain down times exceeding 48 hours in the infiltration basins
- Adequacy of upstream/downstream channel erosion control measures.
- Sources of erosion in the contributory drainage, which should be stabilized

In addition to guidelines discussed above all maintenance requirements outlined in the Design Manual shall be followed.

## APPENDIX B

WASTEWATER AND WATER FACILITIES ENGINEER'S REPORT

ENGINEER'S REPORT

# Wastewater and Water Facilities 

Prepared for
Sycamore Park Community Center
790 Long Pond Road,
Town of Carmel, New York
September 2, 2016

## INTRODUCTION

The Town of Carmel is planning the development of a Community Recreation Center and related site improvements at Sycamore Park, in Mahopac. The project site is located at 790 Long Pond Road (County Route 32), and is currently developed as a Town Park. The park consists of natural turf multipurpose athletic fields, park support buildings, children's playground, picnic area, parking areas, entrance drives, accessible paths to facilities and other park amenities.

The Town of Carmel is seeking to take the next step in implementing the Sycamore Park Site Master Plan which includes the following improvements:

- Widening of the existing park access road from Long Pond Road (County Route 32).
- Demolition of the existing maintenance entrance and access drive from Long Pond Road, and the construction of a new maintenance drive to connect to the existing park access road onsite.
- Construction of a new 18,000 sf Community Recreation Center building and supporting water supply system, wastewater disposal system, and stormwater management system.
- Construction of new and expanded parking areas to support the new Community Recreation Center building (approximately 91 parking spaces) and supporting stormwater management system.
- Demolition and removal of existing small maintenance buildings and siting of future 3,000 sf maintenance building.


## SEWAGE DISPOSAL

As described above the subject property consists of an active public town park. The existing park administration building / community center is served by an existing SSTS which is located approximately 75 feet to the south of the proposed SSTS area serving the proposed community center building. The existing SSTS consists of approximately 800 linear feet of absorption trench and will remain to serve the existing administration building. It should be noted that the existing SSTS has no known issues relative to its absorptive capacity and historically has adequately functioned without any observed signs of problems.

It is proposed to construct a new SSTS for the proposed community center, which will be separate from the existing administration building SSTS. Hydraulic loading rates discussed in later sections of this report and utilized for the SSTS design described herein are what is anticipated for the new community center building only. The wastewater treatment capacity provided by the proposed SSTS will be in addition to what is currently existing for the park, and the existing administration building SSTS will remain as it is currently to serve the existing administration building.

## TESTING

Percolation and deep test holes were conducted to determine the soil characteristics in the proposed SSTS area. The test locations and descriptions are shown on the enclosed construction drawings.

## Percolation Tests

Acceptable percolation tests were performed and witnessed by representatives of the Putnam County Department of Health (PCDOH) on June 21, 2016 (results enclosed). The tests yielded stabilized percolation rates of 3,4 and 5 min ./in. As such, a design percolation rate of 1-7 min./in. was utilized for the proposed SSTS.

## Deep Hole Tests

Acceptable deep test holes were completed in the proposed SSTS area and witnessed by representatives of the PCDOH and New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP) on June 21, 2016 (results enclosed). The soil profile generally consists of 12 " of topsoil, moderately compacted yellow brown fine sandy loam to depths ranging from 66" to 78 ". No groundwater or mottling was encountered in any of the test pits. Rock was encountered at 66 " in two (2) of the test pits.

## Design Flow

The daily design flow for the proposed community center is based on the hydraulic loading rates listed in documents referenced in the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's (NYSDEC) publication New York State Design Standards for Intermediate Sized Wastewater Treatment Systems, dated March 5, 2014 (DEC 2014). The following table lists the associated hydraulic loading rates, and the design flow rates (gallons per day or gpd) for the proposed community center. The NYSDEC publication allows for a $20 \%$ decrease in hydraulic loading rates for premises equipped with water saving plumbing fixtures. Since current standards dictate, that water saving devices be used in all new construction, this 20\% reduction is reflected in the table below. Flow rates for the anticipated uses at the proposed park and the design flow for the proposed SSTS are listed in the tables below.

It should be noted that the proposed community center is anticipated to experience variable use as the site will be primarily used as a town park for the majority of the year. Below are three (3) scenarios outlining the anticipated community center use and their subsequent hydraulic loading:

After school / nighttime activities are anticipated to consist of organized recreational sports or other recreational activities. The participants and associated staff/organizers are expected to use the facility for only a portion of the evening. As such a typical public park hydraulic loading rate of $4 \mathrm{gpd} /$ person as specified in DEC 2014 was utilized for this scenario.

| Scenario 1-After School / Nighttime Recreational Activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Use | \# of Units | Flow Rate <br> (gpd/unit) | Design Flow (gpd) |  |  |
| Public Park | 75 people | 4 | 300 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ |

It is expected that the town may elect to use the community center for special events such as town meetings, work sessions, program sign ups, etc. As noted below, event participants are expected to use the building for only a portion of the evening. As such a typical public park hydraulic loading rate of 4 gpd/person as specified in DEC 2014 was utilized for this scenario.

| Scenario 2 - Special Functions / Events |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Use | \# of Units | Flow Rate <br> (gpd/unit) | Design Flow (gpd) |
| Public Park | 150 people | 4 | 600 |
| Total |  |  |  |

The Town of Carmel operates a day camp at the park during the summer months. It is expected that during these months the proposed community center will experience the most frequent use, as such the hydraulic loading rates listed below are representative of the anticipated peak daily water usage for the proposed building; it should be noted that wastewater for the existing administration building will be treated in the existing SSTS described in previous sections of this report.

| Scenario 3 - Town Day Camp |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Use | \# of Units | Flow Rate <br> (gpd/unit) | Design Flow (gpd) |
| Camp Attendees and Staff | 100 people | 12 | 1,200 |
| Total |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0}$ |  |  |  |

## Absorption Trenches

As noted above the absorption system has been sized to accommodate a maximum daily design flow of $1,200 \mathrm{gpd}$. Utilizing a design percolation rate of $1-7 \mathrm{~min}$. in . $600 \mathrm{l} . \mathrm{f}$. of 2 -foot wide absorption trench is required. A total of 608 I.f. of absorption trench is provided. Sufficient area for $100 \%$ expansion absorption trenches is also provided ( 608 I.f.) as shown on the enclosed SSTS Construction Drawings.

## Septic Tank

A new 2,000-gallon precast concrete septic tank, $\mathrm{H}-20$ load rated, with cast-iron frame and cover is proposed to service the community center. The septic tank has been sized for a volume in excess of 1.5 times the design flow of $1,200 \mathrm{gpd}$.

## Dosing Tank

A dosing tank is provided and has been sized to provide the required dose volume to the proposed SSTS with a 13 " drawdown (refer to the sizing calculations provided on the enclosed construction drawings). In addition, an audio / visual high level alarm is proposed within the building. The alarm will be set halfway between the high watermark and the overflow elevation in the dosing tank per NYCDEP requirements.

## WATER SUPPLY

Water supply for the proposed community center building will be provided by a drilled well. The proposed well will be classified as a Transient Non-Community Public water supply well.

As per the NYSDOH requirements a public water supply is defined as either a community, noncommunity, or non transient non-community water supply which serves 25 individuals daily at least 60 days out of the year. Well yield and water quality testing will be provided in a future application for a public water supply.

In addition, a $5 / 8$ " Sensus SR II water meter is proposed to be installed on the supply line from the proposed well, and daily water meter readings will be provided to the Putnam County Department of Health at the end of each month.

## APPENDIX C

## NYSDEC NEW YORK NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM LETTER

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION
Division of Fish, Wildlife and Marine Resources
New York Natural Heritage Program
625 Broadway, 5th Floor, Albany, New York 12233-4757
Phone: (518) 402-8935 • Fax: (518) 402-8925
Website: www.dec.ny.gov

September 10, 2015
Theresa Ryan
Insite Engineering, Surveying, and Landscape Architecture, P.C.
3 Garrett Place
Carmel, NY 10512

Re: Improvements to Sycamore Park, 790 Long Pond Road, Mahopac Town/City: Carmel.

County: Putnam.

Dear Theresa Ryan:

In response to your recent request, we have reviewed the New York Natural Heritage Program database with respect to the above project.

Enclosed is a report of rare or state-listed animals and plants, and significant natural communities that our database indicates occur, or may occur, on your site or in the immediate vicinity of your site.

For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted; the enclosed report only includes records from our database. We cannot provide a definitive statement as to the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species or significant natural communities. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

Our database is continually growing as records are added and updated. If this proposed project is still under development one year from now, we recommend that you contact us again so that we may update this response with the most current information.

The presence of the plants and animals identified in the enclosed report may result in this project requiring additional review or permit conditions. For further guidance, and for information regarding other permits that may be required under state law for regulated areas or activities (e.g., regulated wetlands), please contact the appropriate NYS DEC Regional Office, Division of Environmental Permits, as listed at www.dec.ny.gov/about/39381.html.

Sincerely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Andrea Chalouy } \\
& \text { Andrea Chaloux } \\
& \text { Environmental Review Specialist } \\
& \text { New York Natural Heritage Program }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The following state-listed animals have been documented in the vicinity of your project site.

The following list includes animals that are listed by NYS as Endangered, Threatened, or Special Concern; and/or that are federally listed or are candidates for federal listing.

For information about potential impacts of your project on these populations, how to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any impacts, and any permit considerations, contact the Wildlife Manager or the Fisheries Manager at the NYSDEC Regional Office for the region where the project is located. A listing of Regional Offices is at http://www.dec.ny.gov/about/558.html.

The following species have been documented near the project site, generally within 0.5 mile. Potential onsite and offsite impacts from the project may need to be addressed.

COMMON NAME SCIENTIFIC NAME NY STATE LISTING FEDERAL LISTING
Reptiles
Bog Turtle
Glyptemys muhlenbergii
Endangered
Threatened

The following species have been documented within 5 mi of the project site. Individual animals may travel 5 mi from documented locations.

COMMON NAME SCIENTIFIC NAME NY STATE LISTING FEDERAL LISTING

## Mammals

Northern Long-eared Bat
Hibernaculum

Myotis septentrionalis
Threatened
Threatened

This report only includes records from the NY Natural Heritage database. For most sites, comprehensive field surveys have not been conducted, and we cannot provide a definitive statement as to the presence or absence of all rare or state-listed species. Depending on the nature of the project and the conditions at the project site, further information from on-site surveys or other sources may be required to fully assess impacts on biological resources.

[^1]
## APPENDIX D

NEW YORK PARKS, RECREATION, AND HISTORIC PRESERVATION LETTER


ANDREW M. CUOMO
Governor

Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation

ROSE HARVEY
Commissioner

August 22, 2016

Ms. Dawn McKenzie
Project Landscape Architect
Insite Engineering, Surveying \& Landscape Architecture PC
3 Garrett Place
Carmel, NY 10512

Re: DEC
Sycamore Park Community Recreation Center
790 Long Pond Road, Carmel, NY 10512
16PR04053

Dear Ms. McKenzie:
Thank you for requesting the comments of the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP). We have reviewed the project in accordance with the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 (Section 14.09 of the New York Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law). These comments are those of the OPRHP and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include potential environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project. Such impacts must be considered as part of the environmental review of the project pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (New York Environmental Conservation Law Article 8) and its implementing regulations (6 NYCRR Part 617).

Based upon this review, it is the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation's opinion that your project will have no impact on archaeological and/or historic resources listed in or eligible for the New York State and National Registers of Historic Places.

If further correspondence is required regarding this project, please be sure to refer to the OPRHP Project Review (PR) number noted above.

Sincerely,
Buoker. Perpont
Ruth L. Pierpont
Deputy Commissioner for Historic Preservation
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October 7, 2016
Ann Spofford, Town Clerk
Town of Carmel
60 McAlpin Ave.
Mahopac, New York 10541
Dear Mrs. Spofford:
The following 3 people have been added to the Roles of the Mahopac
Volunteer Fire Department.
Timothy Stasiak

Mahopac, NY 10541

Gerard Supple III
XXXXXYENXXXIXX
Mahopac, NY 10541
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Office of the President

President
Post Office Box 267
Frank Egelsen Ir
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October 7, 2016
Russell Argila Jr. (x)

Mahopac, NY 10541
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| From: | Carnazza, Mike |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Schmitt, Kenneth; Lombardi,Frank; Lupinacci,John; Schneider, Jonathan; McDonough, Suzanne |
| Cc: | Pasquerello,Anne |
| Subject: | Training. |
| Date: | Monday, October 17, 2016 3:37:33 PM |
| Attachments: | $\underline{\text { 20161017152428371.pdf }}$ |

All,

I need to attend my annual training for 2016. I found a three day (18 credit hour) training seminar on November 2 through November 4 in Kingston for $\$ 325.00$ (Copy Attached).

Would it be ok if I attend the course and get board approval after.

Thank you,

Michael Carnazza
Director of Code Enforcement
Building Inspector
Town of Carmel
60 McAlpin Ave.
Mahopac, N.Y. 10541
(845) 628-1500 ext 170


# $10^{\text {th }}$ Annual Last Chance for Hours Conference 

18 Hours In-Service Training Classes

Wednesday, November 2, 2016 thru Friday, November 4, 2016

## Best Western Plus Kingston Hotel and Conference Center

 503 Washington Avenue, Kingston, NY 12401Before October 15, 2016 the cost is $\$ 325.00$ per person After October 15, 2016 the cost is $\$ 375.00$ per person

Sign Up Early!
****Best West Plus Kingston Hotel and Conference Center, Kingston has rooms available **** Use Group Name: NYSBOC

Call 845-338-0400 or visit www.hikingston.com


## Pre-registration and payment is required

To register complete the information below and return it with a voucher, purchase order or check via mail as soon as possible.

## 3 Day Conference - November $2^{\text {nd }}$ thru $4^{\text {th }}, 2016$ Offering 18 hours of continuing education

Name:
Mailing Address:
City, St, Zip:
Michael Compnazzot

Municipality:


Business / Firm:
E-Mail:

(Primary source of contact is email - Please provide one)
Phone: $\square$
$845628 \quad 1500 \times 170$ (Daytime phone \#)

Fax:
FD ID \#:
$845 \quad 628 \quad 7085$ (2nd Communication) 40802 NYS DESC \#: NY 0007310

No refunds for cancellations received after October 14, 2016

Return form and voucher, purchase order or check to:
NYSBOC-Tri County Chapter
c/o BJ Getter
PO Box 222
Kauneonga Lake, NY 12749
845-583-4350 X22 845-583-4710 (fax)
845-807-2273 (c)
Email: info@tricountynysboc.org
Classes are subject to change without notice!

| From: | Tenefrancia,Michelle |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Pasquerello,Anne |
| Cc: | Cazzari, Mike; Dearman, John |
| Subject: | FW: FW: Lease payment schedule |
| Date: | Tuesday, October 18, 2016 12:35:18 PM |
| Attachments: | Town of Carmel - Revised Payment Schedule.pdf |
|  | Interaction Insiaht.pdf |

Hi Anne,
I have attached the information we received for the Police Recorder.
> The $\$ 6,776$ invoice from Interaction Insight is to upgrade the recorder so it will be able to accommodate the voice recordings off the new PRI line
> The 'revised payment schedule' shows the updated figures for Fleetwood Leasing. We are looking at $\$ 6,645.60$ to get out of the lease and purchase the recorder. The vendor's name is not on this payment schedule, please let me know if it needs to be in order to get the resolution passed. The email below is from Fleetwood if that can count as backup too. We will request an actual invoice before any payment is made.
$>$ Once the recorder is ours we will pay \$1,894 annually for maintenance/support (starting 2017 - 2016 has been paid)

Thanks,
~ Michelle ~

From: Scott Miller [mailto:smiller@fleetwoodonline.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 11:32 AM
To: Cazzari, Mike
Cc: Tenefrancia,Michelle; Dearman, J ohn; J oe O'Sullivan; Robert Sponheimer
Subject: Re: FW: Lease payment schedule
Mike,
Per our conversation a few moments ago, I have attached a revised payment schedule showing an updated payoff amount of $\$ 6,645.60$. As you know, this would take care of Fleetwood's portion and you would pay the annual maintenance directly to the vendor moving forward.

Thanks again and enjoy your day!
Sincerely,
Scott M. Miller
Senior Account Executive
Fleetwood Leasing, L.L.C.
382 Springfield Avenue, Suite 409
Summit, NJ 07901
Toll Free: (800) 828-6222 Ext. 220
Tel: (908) 522-6622 Ext. 220
Fax: (908) 522-6620
(800) 285-2950

NICE PRICING QUOTATION
www.interactionic.com

| For: | Carmel Police |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name: | Lieutenant John Dearman |
| Project: | Add 12 E1 channels to existing analog recording system |
| From: | Richard Geremia |
| Description: | Expansion of existing NICE INFORM recording system, with 12 channels of <br> digital PRI recording installed within existing Windows 7 Pro machine. (SEE <br> ADDITIONAL NOTES BELOW) |


| Date: | $14-$-Oct-16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Version: | Carmel PD_10-14-16 |
| Validity: | 90 days |

Part\# Uescription Unit $\quad$ Qty $\quad$ Total Unit Discount Total Price

NICE Products


| Services (Installation/Training/Support/Travel/Shipping) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C36530A | Installation by CT Engineer, per day (normal working hours M-F, 8am - 7pm) | 500 | 1 | 500 | 0\% | 500 |
| NA50200 | Basic Support First Year - Remote Service Agreement Including Enhanced HW Warranty \& SMA, during Regular Business Hours REQUIRED |  | 13\% | 6,350 | 0\% | 826 |
| Total Price - Services 1,326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Investment (incl. 1st year support) |  |  |  |  |  | 6,776 |


| Notes: | Highly recommending the relocation of the call recording server to the telecommunications equipment closet |
| :--- | :--- |
| Delivery of the system is up to 4 weeks from receipt of official purchase order. |  |

# Fleetwood Leasing, LLC. 

INVOICE\#M1007438000

Buy-out Invoice

Town of Carmel 60 Mcalpin Avenue Mahopac, NY 10541 Attention: Accounts Payable

Please remit to:
Fleetwood Leasing, LLC.
382 Springfield Avenue Suite 409
Summit, NJ 07901

Lease \# 20-1007438-000
Equipment Desc: Nice Infrom
Essential


## AMENDMENT TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT LEASE-PURCHASE AGREEMENT NO. $7438-000$ BETWEEN FLEETWOOD LEASING, L.L.C. AS LESSOR AND TOWN OF CARMEL AS LESSEE, DATED FEBRUARY 26; 2015.

The lease payments for years 2 through 5 of the Lease-Purchase Agreement are hereby changed from $\$ 3,120.00$ per year to $\$ 3,414.42$ per year.

The Exhibit " $A$ " (Schedule of Equipment) is hereby revised to include the following:

2 PS-INFRM-ESNT-1CH Audio Recording license, including Inform Essential application support, per channel

1 C36530

1 NA50200
Hour of labor (normal working hours M-F, 8am - 7pm)

Basic Support First Year - Remote Service Agreement Including Enhanced HW Warranty \& SMA, during Regular Business Hours

All other terms and conditions of State and Local Government Lease-Purchase Agreement \# 7438-000 dated February 26, 2015 will remain the same.


|  | Fulf Legat Name Town of Camel |  |  |  | Phonaymber－628－1300 <br> Purchase Order Requisisiton Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DEA Namo（lfany） |  |  |  |  |
|  | Billing Address 60 McAlpin Avenue | $\begin{gathered} \text { City } \\ \text { Mahopac } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { state } \\ & \text { NY } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 10541 \end{array}$ | sendivolcetontention of Baird |



| 5 | Number of Lease Patyments． | Lease Payments： |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\therefore \quad$ FIVE（5） | $\$ 3,120.00$ <br> －．（pluis sppilcable tax） |
| －Why |  | Paymint Frequency： $\square$ Monthly |
| 安受 | SWXTY（60） | Didentarly |
| ¢ |  | $\square$ Semiamually |
| $\underline{3}$ |  | 㮩Annually， |
|  |  | Other |
| jow |  | End of Lease Oplion：${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |


| $\square$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


#### Abstract

By checkho the box below，YOU heraby desfignate thls Lasise as a ＂quatified tax－rxampl obligation＂as defined in Soction 265（b）（3）（B）of the Imbermal Revanua code and represent that the eggregerte tace amount of atl tax－exempt obllationss（excludind private activity bonds pther than qualifiod $50 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{c})(0)$ bonds）issued or to be issued by YOU and YOUJR subordinate entioies during the calandar year in wheon WE Althd inle Lease is not reaspmably expected to exceed $\$ 10,000,000.00$


$\square$ Bank Qualiflcallinn Elected

## TERMS AND CONEITIONS



1．LEASE：WE agree to pase to YOU and YOU agnee to lease from US，the equipment listed above（and on any atrached schedura）Incuring all replacament pata，repaifs additions and anobassories（＂Equipment＂on the terms and corditions of this Lease and on any altached scheodila
 al the end of vour budget year in effect on the Commercement Dase and fray be continued by You for additional one－year renemall terms（＂Ranewal Temms＂）colnalding with your budgal year up to the total number of months Incteated above as the Full Laasa Termy provided，however，that at tha，end of the Original Term and at the and of each Rentewal Term untinthe Full Lease Term has been completed，YOU shall be doemed to have cominued this Lease for the naxd Renawal Temm unless You shall have taminated this Lease pursuant to Section 5 or Section 17．Leasse Payments whll be due upen Commencemant Deite untl the balance of the Lease Payments and any addidfonal Lease Payments or axpensos chargable to you under thls Lease are pald in full，As sat forth in the Lase payment Scheduie，a portion of each Lease Payment pald as，and rapresents payment of，inlerest， YOUR obligation to pay the Lease Paymenls and YOUR Other Lease obligators are absoluta and unconditionsal and are not subject to cancellaztion，reducton，getoff or counterciain excapt as provided in Secton 5 ．THIS LEASE IS NON－CANCELABLE EXCOETT AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 5 ．
3．LATE CHAREES，If a Lease Payment is not made on the date whan due，YOU will pay US a late charge at the rate of $18 \%$ per annam or the maximum amount permitited by law，walchevar tofless，from such date．
 You reascinably bollave that legally avaliable finds In an triount sufficiant to make al hease Faymente during the Full Lease Tem can be obdalnad．Your responsibso financial officar
 extent necassary in each proposed arnual budgel submitted for approval in accondance whin Yotif eppilcable procedures and to exhaust all avaitable reytews ant appeals if hat portion of the budget is not approwsd．Notwithstanding the foregoing，the digcislon whelher to budget or appropitate funds and to extend this Lease for afy Renewal Term ts solety velthin tha discrelion of YOUR governing body．
5．NONAPPROPRLATION．YOU are obligated onty to pay such Lease Paymants under this Lease as may lawfully be made fom funds buidgeded and approprlated for that puipose during YOUR then cirremt budgat year．If YOU fall to appropriate or othewise make avalisble funds to pay tha Lease Payments required to be pald hn that mext octurfing Remewal Term，this Lease shall be damed terminated at the end of the then current Original Tem or Renewai Term．You agree to deliver witten notice to lis of such termination at least go days pritar to the and of the then current Ortginal Term or Renewal Term，but fallure lo give such rotice shall not extend the torm of thist Lease beyond the than current Ofriginal Temm or FRenawal Tem．If this Lasase is tanninated in eccordance with tris Seotion，YOU ageee，at YOUF cost and expense to peaceably doliugr the Equlpment to US at the location or Tocatoris spacino by DS
6．WARRANTESS，WE ITE leasing the Eqziphent to YOU＂AS－IS＂atd WE MAKE NO WARRANTIES，EXPRESS OR IMFLIED，INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERGHANTABILITY，OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE．WE UTansTer to YOU，Without recourse，for the tarm of this Laase all wartindes，if any，mede by the mamfaturer，YOU ALSO ACXNOWLEDGE THAT NO ONE IS ALTHORIZED TO WAIVE OR GHANGE ANY TERM，PROVISION OR CONDTION OF THHS LEASE AHD，EXCEPT FOR THE MANUFACTURER WARFANTIES，MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY ABOUT THIS LEASE OR THE EQUEPMENT．WE SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR SPECIAL RESULTING OR CONSEOUENTIAL DAGAGES OR LOSS OF PROFIT OCCASIONED BY ANY BREAGH OF WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION OR RESURTING FROM THE USE OR PERFORMANCE OF THE EQUIPMENT．YOUR OBLIGATION TO PAY IN FULL ANY AMOUNZ DUE UNDER THE LEASE WILL NOT BE AFFECTED GY ANY DISPUTE，CLAMM，COUNTERCLAIM，OEFENSE OR OTHER REHTT WHICH YOU MAY HAVE OR ASSERT AGANST THE SUPPLIER OR THE ECUIPMENT MANUFAGTURER，
7．DELIVERY AND ACCEPTANCE，YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE，AT YOUR OWN COST，TO ARRANGE FOR THE OELNERY AND INSTALLATION OF THE EGUIPMENT （LANLESS THOSE COSTE ARE NTCLUDED N THE COSTS OF THE EQUPMENT TO US）IF REQLFESED，YOU WHLL SIGN A SEPARATE EOUIPMENT DELIVERY ANV AGCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE，WE MAY AT OLR DISCRETION GONFIRM BY TELEPHONE THAT YOU HAVE ACGEPTED THE EQUIPMENT AND THAT TELEPHONE VERFIGATION OF YOUR ACGEPTANEE OF THE FOUAPMENT SHALL HAVE THE GAME EFFEGT AS A SIGNEO DELIVERY ANO ACCEPTANCE CERTIFICATE


| m． |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  Condiltions． |
|  | You acknowladge that the leased equipment is：图 NEW U USED |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 972＋ |  |
|  | Priatichat cazLar |
|  | Tite Chier |
|  | FOR： |
|  | Legal Nama of Lessee |
|  | TOWN OF CARMEL． |
|  | （LEASE MUST RE SIGNED BY AUTHORITED OFFICIAL OF LESSEES |


8. TITLE, PERSOMAL PROPERTY: LOCATION, LNSPECTION, NO MODIFICATONS OR ALTERATIONS. YOU have tite to the Equipment provided that tita to the Equipment witl immediately and withoul any action by YOU vest in US. and YOU shail immediately surrender possession of the Equipment to US, (e) ugon any tormenation of tils Lease other than ternination pursuant to Section 17 or (b) if any tormenation of this Lhasa oher it inan tenmington porgsani io Secilon 17 or (b) if of fite to us pursuant to his Section shall occur automathally witheut the necassity of any bill of sale, cestificate of title or ather jnstrument of conveyanca. YoU shall, nevertheless, execule and delleer any such tingrumsents as WE may request to evidence such irensfer, As zacurity for YOUV obligations hareunder, WE retain a gecurity Interest in the Equipment and all proceeds thereof, You have the night to use the Equipment during the lem of thls Lease, except as otherwise expresty set forth in thls Lease. Aithough the Equtpmant may become altachad to real astate, it remains personal property. You agree not to altar of modily the Equipment or parmit a lien to be placed upon the Equlpment or to remove the Equipmenf without OUR prior wilten consent. If WE foed it is necessary, YOU agree to provide US with walvers of intorest or liens from anyone dasiming any interesi in the real esleter on whlch any fems of Equipmant is located. WE also have tha right, at raasenable Umes, to hrspect the Equipment.
9. MAINTEMANCE. YOU are requind, at YOUR own cost and expense, to kesp the Equipmant in good repair, condition and working order, except for ordinaty wear and tear, and Youl wifl suppiy all parts and servicing required. All moplacement parts used or insiathed and reppirs mads to the Equipmint will become OUR proparfy.

YOU ACKWOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING ANY REGUIRED MAINTENANGE ANDIOR SERVIGE FOR THE EQUIPMENT. YOU WIL MAKE ALL GLAMMS FOR SERVICE AHOOR MANNTENANCE SOLELY TO THE SUPPLIER ANDIOR MANUFACTURER AND SUCH CLAIMS WIL NOT AFFECT YOUR OBLIGATION TO MAKE ALL REQUIREO LEASE PAYMENTS.
10, ASSIGNMENT. YOU AGREE NOT TO TRANSFER, SELL, SUBLEASE, ASSIGN, PLEDGE OR ENCUMBER EITHER THE EOUIPMENT OR ANY RUGHTS UNDER THIS LEASE WITHOUT OUR PRIOR WRITTEN CCNSENT. YOU agree that WE may sell, assign or fransfer this Lease gnd, If WE do, the new owner witl have the same rathts and benerits that WE now have and will not have to perform any of OUR obligations atd that tights of tha new owntr will not be.subject to any clatims, defenses of set-offe that YOU may have againgt US. Durfrg the term of this Lease; you shail keep, of cause to bel kept, a cormplete and accirate remition ani and all assigntrents in form necessary to comply with section 149 of the intemal Revanue Code of 1986, ass amended (ithe "Code"). YOU egree to acknowledge each such assigninent If writing with the rame end addiress of the asslgnee after request in form assignment in whin.
11. LOSS OR DAMAGE, YOU are reaponsibie for the risk of lose or destruction of, or damage to the Equipment No such loss or dannige follevas YOU from any obligation under thits Laesos, If any of the Equlpment is damaged by fire or other casuatity or fille to, or the ternporary use of, any of the Equipment is taken under the exercise of the power of emineml domaln, the net proceads ("Nat Procaeds") of any Insurance cleim or condemnation award will be appled to the prompt replacemant, repzit, rastoration, modificallon or Improvement of that Equlpment, undess YoU have exercised YOUR aption to purchase the Equipment pursuant to Section 17. Any balance of the Not Proceseds remainiong after such whark has been completod ghall be balance of the
pald
12. INDEMNTTY. WE are not responsible for any losses of injufies caused by the manufacture, acpuirition, dellvery, instailiation, ownarship, use, trase, possesslon, maintenance, operstlon or rejection of this Equipment or dafects in the Equitpment. To the extant pormitted by law, YOU agres to relmburse US for and to defend US zalnst any chatm for tosses or lrifuries relating to the Equiprnant. This indemnity will conlthus even after the termination of this Lasse.
13. TAXES. YOU agree to pay all applicable license and regitstraton fees, sale and use taxes, personel property taxes and all ofher taxes and charges, relating to
 (excepi those based on OUR nat heome). YOU agrsa that if WE pay eny laxas or charges, yOU will reimburse US for all such payments and will pay US intarest and a tate chargs (as calculated th Seclion 3) on suech payments with the naxi Lease Payment, pus a fee for OUR collecting and administerlig any taxes, assessmerits or fees and reatiting them to the appropmate authortias.
14. INSURANCE. During the lem of this Loase, YOU will keep the Equipment insured against all risks of loss or damage in en amoumt not lesis than the replacement cost of the Equfpment, without deductible eind without coinsurance. rapu will also obtain and mainteln for the temm of this Ltwase, comprehenslye pubic Uabtily lisurance covaring both pertonal linjury and property damage of at lisast $\$ 100,000$ par parson and $\$ 300,500$ par occurrence or bodily flary and $\$ 50,000$ for property darnage... WE wid be the sole named loss payee on the property insurance and named as an additional insured on the public liablitity insurance, YOU will pay stl premtums for such insurances and must dejiver proof of insurance covestage satistactory fo US. If YOU do nok provide such insurance, YOU dgres that WE hewe the right but not the obilquation, to oblain such inturance and add an theursnce fee to the amount due from you, on whtch we make a profit.
45. DEFAULT. Sublect to Section 5, YOU are in defaull of this Lasse if bny of the fohowing octurs: (a) YOU fail to pay any Laess Paymenk or other sum when duaf (b) You breath any warrafly or other oltigaztion under this Lease, or any other agreement with US, (c) YOU become insolvent or untable to pay YOUR debts whten dise, you make an assigmment for the benefit of creditors or You undeme a substrential deterforation in YouR franclal condition, or (d) YOU file or hove fled against YOU a petifion for fífuldation, reorganizalion, adjustruent of dept or simplar reliaf under the Feditral Earknuptey Code or any phar prasent or fulturt federal or slats banktuptey or insolvancy law, or E in instea, feceiver or liquldator is appolnted for stata canktuptey or insonvency law, or 8 ins.
YOU or a eubstantlal part of YoUR asssels.
16. REMEDIES. WE have the following remedtes if. YoU ara in defaut of itis Lease: WE may dedara the entire balance of the unpald Lease Paymenta for the then cursent Ontinal Term or Renewal Tem immediataly dua and payable; sita for and receive ail Lease Payments and any other paymentg then acciued of acceferatad under this Leaseif chatge YoU Intarest on all ponles diai US at the rate of elghteen
 the maximum rate permitted by law, charge YOU a rowim-check or non-sufficient
funds charge ("NSF Charge") of $\$ 25.00$ for a chetr that is retumed for any reason: and require thet You relum the Equipment to US and, if You fail to retum the Equipment, enter upon the premuses peaceably willt of without legal process where the Equipnent is located and repossess the Equipment. Such return or repossession of the Equipment will not consinuta a termination of this Lease unjess WE expressfy notfy YOU in witing. if the Equipment is retumed or repossessed by US and unless WE have permirated, this Lease, WE will sail or fe-rent the Equpment to any perberis with emy ternis WE determine, al one
 afler deduciling tria toosis antd expenses of such eate or re-rent to YoUR obligations with You remaining liable for any deficlency and with any excess over the ampounts described in this Section puus the then appllicable Purchase Price to be paid to YOU.
YOU are also required to pay (i) all expenses invarred by US in connection with the enforcemant of any remedies, linciuding afl expensers of repossessing, storing, shipping, repaining and solling tha Equiphont, and dilit teasonable attorneya' fee s.
17. PURCHASE OPTION. Provided YOU are not in dafaut YOU ahall have the option to purthase an but not less than 히 of the Equipment (a) on the date the lest Lease Payment is due fassuming this Lease th renewed lit the end of the Original Temm and each Renewat Term), if this Lease is stil in effect on that day, upon payment In full of Lease Payments and all ofher amounts then due and the payment of Ore Dollar to US; (b) on the last day of that Original Torm or any Renawal Torm then in effect upon at least 60 days prior wittern notite to US and payment in full to US of the Leasa Payments and all onger amounts then due plus the then applicable Purchase Price set forih on the Lease Payment Schedufe; or (c) if substanilai damage fo or destruction or condemnation of subattantilly all of the Equipmant has oceurred, on the day specifad In YOUR writion notlce to US of YOUR exercise of the purchase option upon at lasal 60 dieya' prior notice to US and payment in full to US of the Lease Payments and all other amounls then due ptus the thet applicable Purchase Price set forth on the Leage Payment Schedula.
18. REPPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES. YOU warmant and reprasant as follows: (a) You are a public body corporata and poitic duly organized and extsting under the cornaitution and laws of YOUR Slate with full power zad aunhority to enier into this Lease and the transactions conlemptatad herreby and to parform all of YOUR obligalions hereunder, (b) You have duly euthertzed the exseution and dellyery of this Leesse by proper action by YOUR goveming body at a meetbig duly callod, regularly convened and altionded throughout by the. requisite majority of the members therenf. or by olher appropniate offelal approvel, and ell requifomente have been mat gind procestures have
 complied with such publife biddthg requifements as may be appitiblate to fitts Lease and the acquisilion by YOU of the Equlpment, (d) all authorizations, cansents and approvals of gevernmental bodies or agencies requited in connecion with the execution and defivery by YOU of thls Lease or in connection with the carying out by YOU of YOUR obstigations hareunder hava been obtalned; (e) this Leare congtilutes the legal, valld and bhating obly ation of YOU enforceabila fo eccordarice with tet terms, excapt to the extent limitad Dy
 generallys (f) You have, in accordanca with the requiliements of law, fully budgestad and appropfiated sufficlant funds for the cufrent butgot yeait to make tha Lease Payments scheduled to come due durime the current badget year and to meat YOUR other dbilgatlons under thls Lease for the current budget year, and phose funds have nol baen expended for Other purposes; (g) the Equiprnent is essential to YOUR functions or to the servicas YOL provide to YOUR citizant, YOU have an Immediata nead for the Equlpment and oxpert to make irnmediato use of tire Equipment. YOUR need for the Equipment is not temporaly and wou do not expece the head for sny ltam of the Equipment to olminish in the and You do not expert the head Lor sey Tam and tha Equipment will be usad by Youl
 functions consistent willa the permissbite scope of YOUR authority and will not be used in the trade or business of ary other entity or person: and (h) YOU hove neyer falled to appropriate or otherwise make avalimble funds sufficiont fo pay ranteal or other payments coming dua under any leasa purchase, insilaliment sada or other simallar agreament.
t9. UCC FILINGS AND FRANCIAL STATEWENTS. YOU authorize US to fla a finanoing-statement-with-respect-to-tha- Equpment-II-WE-fer-it-1s-nec
20. UCC - ARTICLE 2A PROVSIONS. YOU agree that this Lease is a Finama Latase as that term is defined in Article 24 of the Unllorm Commerolal Code rucc\% YOU acknowledge that WE have given You the name of the Suppller of fise Equfpment. WE haraby nolify You that YOU may have righte under the contrict with the Supplier and YOU may conlact the Supplier fot a description of any tights or warranlias that YOU may have under thts supply contract YOU slso walue any and al rights and temedies granted YOU under Sectorn 2A-50.5 through 2A-522 of tha UCC.
21. TAX EXEMPTION. YOU wall comply with all applicable provistone of the internal Revenus Code of 1986, as antended, incluoling whout Imitation Seations 103 and 146 thareof, and the applicable regulations chereunder io maintain ithe exclusion of the interest corton of the Lease Payments from gross income for purposea of foderal Income taxation.
2. EANK QUALIFCATION. If YOU checked tha "Eqnt Qualification Electer" box on the front page of this Lease YOU and all YoUR suberdinate enditilas with rot tissur fit excess of $\$ 10,000,000.00$ of quallaed lax-exermpt obtigations (Induding this Lease but exctuding prwate acivity bonds other than qualitied 501(c)(3) bonds) during the calendar year In which WFe tund thls Lease without first obtainang an opinion of nationatly recognized counsel 'hi the area of tax-exempt muncepal obugationa acceptable to US that the destinnation of this-Lease as a "qualifisd-takexempt cthligation" will not be-pdversely affected.
放. CHOICE OF LAW; JURY TRIAL WANER, This Leeso shall be governed and construed in gecondance with the laws of the state where You are located, To the extem pormittad by law, You agree to waive YOUR fights to a trial by Juy.
24. ENTIRE AGREEMENT; SEVERABLLITY; WAIVERS. This Lease contang the entive agregment and understanding. No astrements or mforstandings ate blinding on the parties unless sat forth in writing and stgned by the partles. Any provialar of this Lease which for any reason may be held unenforteable in afy jurisdection sthal, as to such jurfsidiction, be ineffective whinout invaldifing the remalning provislons of this Lease. THIS LUSASE IS NOT INTENDED FOR TRANSACTIONS WITH AN EOUHP:MENT COST OF LESS THAN $\$ 10000$.
25. FACEMME DOCUMENTATION YOU agree that a facsimile copy of this Lease with fecsindte signatures may ba treated as an onfinal and will be aximisgliferis gudence of this Leesé

CERTIFICATE OF CLERK OR SECRETARY

- The undersigned, Ann Spofford do certify that the following named persons) has been designated and appointed to the offices(s) Indicated below, that said persons) continues to hold the office at this time and that the signatures) below are genuine.

NAME
Michael Cazzar
(The person signing the Lease)

SIGNATURE


TITLE


- The persons) designated to serve in the above-entitied capacity and executed the State and Local Government Lease-Purchase Agreement \# 7438-000, ("the Lease") by and between Fleetwood Leasing, L.L.C. ("Lessor") and Town of Carmel ("Lesses") on behalf of Lessee and whose genuine signature appears thereon, is a duly qualified and acting officer of the Lessee as stated beneath his/her signature and has been authorized to execute the Lease.

sex Aus Apophad
OR CLERK PLEASE HAVETHIS SECTION EXECUTED BY A
DEFERENT BOARD MEMBER
$\frac{\text { An spofford, Carmel TownClenk }}{\text { NAME ETTIE-Pinted or Typed }}$
Date: february 262015.

Date of First Payment:

Original Balance:

Total Number of Payments:

Number of Payments per Year:


TOWN OF CARMEL
$x: 201 \quad(42304$
Signature
$\frac{\text { Michael Cazzeri/Chieff }}{\text { Typed Name and Trite }}$

* Purchase Option Price assumes all, payments due to date are made and does not include maintenance.


## ESSENTIAL USE/SOURCD OF FUNDS LETTER

RE: State and Local Government Lease-Purchase Agreement "Lease") dated $2 / 26 / 15$ by and between
FLEETWOOD LEASING, L.L.C. ("Lessor") and TOWN OF CARMEL ("Lessee")

## Ladies and Gentlemen:

This confirms and affirms that the Equipment described in the Lease is essential to the function of the undersigned or. to the service we provide to our citizens.

Further, we have an immediate need for, and expect to make immediate use of, substantially all such Equipment, which need is not temporary or expected to diminish in the foreseeable future. Such Equipment will be wised by us only for the purpose of performing one or more of our governmental or proprietary functions consistent with the permissible scope or our authority. Specifically, such Equipment was selected by us to be used as follows: _record audio ont police dearthonets

The estimated useful life of such Equipment based upon manufacturer's representations and our projected needs is years.
Our source of funds for payments of the rent due under the Lease for the current fiscal year
is equipment line of police budget We expect and anticipate adequate funds to be available for all future payments of rent due after the current fiscal year for the following reasons: police budgeting for payment.

## LESSEE:

TOWN OF CARMEL

## By: X <br> 21 Ca32an <br> (Authorized Signature)


(Name and Title - printed or typed)
Date: $\qquad$


Fleetwood
Leasing, t.LC. EXHIBIT "A" (SCHEDULE OF EQUIPMENT)


DESCRIPTION OF EQUFPMENT. (Describe fully, giving Manufacturer, Equipment, Model and Serial Numbers) Lessee authorizes Lessor to reflect any reconfiguration of the Equipment by altering the Description of Equipment as described herein:

1 PS-INFRM-ESNT-STE Inform Essential Site license including NICE Inform Essental, one recording system interface and one concurrent Reconstruction user license.

7 PS_INERMESNT-1CH
Audlo-Recording-licenserlichuding Inform-Essential-applieationsuppent-perehenhel

2 PS-INFRM-ESNT-VER-1CC NICE Inform Essental Verify concurrent user license

1 PS.NR-ANALOG-LONG Analogue board (w/o BT) for up to 24 channels, 10 m cable (full langth PCl- E slot required)

1 HP420Z HP 4202 Windows 7 Professional 64 bit Intel(9) Xbon@ E5-1603 (2.8 GHz, 10 MB cre he, 4 cores) Chipset: Intere C602
4 GB 1868 MHz DDR3 ECC Unbuffered RAM ( $1 \times 4 \mathrm{~GB}$ )
$2 \times 500 \mathrm{~GB} 7200 \mathrm{rpm} \mathrm{SATA}$

Installation, per day (normal working hours M-F, 8am - 7pm)

User Training, Unlimited

1 NA50200 Basic Support First Year - Remote Service Agreemant Induding Enhanced HW Warranty, SMA, during Regular Business Hours

4 NA50200A Bronze Support - Remote Service Agreement lncluding Enhanced HW Warranty \& SMA, during Regular Business Hours (Already included in Quote)
(MAINTENANCE, 5 YEARS TOTAL)

TOWN OF GARMEL


FLEETWOOD LEASING, L.L.C.



Fleetwood
Leasing, L.L.C.


## Gentlemen:

This is to advise you that


$\frac{845-628-4500}{\text { trisphane no. (Area Code) }}$ is my authorized insurance agent.
The-above-agent-will provide-a-binder-or-Cextificute of Insurance, followed by the original policy showing the coverage provided, the expiration date, policy number and the equipment covered. We understand your insurance requirements are as follows:


- May amour Mist re new is AdDITIONAL INSURED AS ITS INTEREST APPEAR:
Bodily Injury
Property Damage

- Fleetwood Imestro, LL, L.C. and Its assigns as their - interests apo NAMESD AS LOSS PAYEE:

Fire and extended coverage, including theft, vandalism, malicious mischief, etc. This insurance is required in an amount eqcal-to your equipment cost.
 AND CERTIFICATE
(attach copy of schedule A, if applicable).

## NOTICE CE CXNEXATIGY

- Fleetwood Leasing, H.L.C. C. must be notified thirty (30) days prior to cancellation of the policy.
Our insurance agent has been contacted and will provide the above coverage attic evidence of beanie will be Eonwardea to you immediately.


October 11, 2016
Kenneth Schmitt, Supervisor
Town of Carmel
60 McAlpin Avenue
Mahopac, New York 10541
ks@ci.carmel.ny.us

Re: LISC Program Action Number: 47377-0001
Dear Supervisor Schmitt:

## Grant Amount; Purpose of the Grant:

I am pleased to inform you that Local Initiatives Support Corporation ("LISC") hereby agrees to provide a grant in the amount of $\$ 169,700.00$ (the "Grant') to the Town of Carmel (the "Grantee"), for the purposes, and on the terms, set forth below. This Grant is a result of the February 11, 2016 Settlement Agreement between Morgan Stanley and the New York Attorney General. This Grant is being made as part of the activities of the New York State Housing Stabilization Fund, a LISC program that is funding the work of Municipalities or their housing or finance agencies to support Housing Quality Improvement and Enforcement Programs, among other activities.

The Grantee acknowledges that in accepting this Grant, Grantee will use the proceeds of the Grant solely to support Housing Quality Improvement and Enforcement Programs in accordance with a final budget and scope of work which LISC will finalize with you in the coming weeks. Accepting the Grant proceeds will be further acknowledgement of Grantee's agreement to the terms and conditions of this letter.

## Grant Commitment:

This commitment of Grant funds shall be effective upon the receipt by LISC of a copy of this Grant Agreement signed by a representative of the Grantee, returned by email to grants_contracts@lisc.org, with a copy to HCaloir@lisc.org. Ms. Caloir is your primary program contact at LISC regarding the Grant. Please send a hard copy of the signed Grant Agreement to LISC to the attention of Ms. Caloir. Please contact Ms. Caloir if you have any questions about the Grant. Please note - under Sections 501 and 4945 of the Internal

Revenue Code, the Grant may not be used to carry on propaganda, to attempt to influence legislation, or to participate in, intervene in, or attempt to influence the outcome of, political campaigns or elections. By countersigning this Grant Agreement and returning it to LISC, the Grantee agrees to not use the Grant for purposes prohibited by the preceding two sentences. In its use of Grant funds provided by LISC, the Grantee shall fully comply with all applicable federal, state, local (and any other governmental) laws, executive orders, rules, and regulations, including without limitation anti-discrimination laws, executive orders, rules, and regulations.

Please note - this Grant Agreement must be signed and returned to LISC within thirty (30) days after the date of this Grant Agreement. If such deadline passes, LISC reserves the right to withdraw this Grant Agreement and reprogram the funds.

## LOCAL INITIATIVES SUPPORT CORPORATION

Signature:


Title: $\qquad$

Date: October 11,2016

## TERMS OF GRANT ACCEPTED AND AGREED TO:

TOWN OF CARMEL
Authorized Signature: $\qquad$
Name: Kenneth Schmitt
Title: Town Supervisor
Date: October $\qquad$ , 2016

501 Seventh Avenue, $7^{\text {th }}$ Floor • New York, NY 10018 • Phone: 212-455-9800 • Fax: 212-687-1396

This is one "Ice Retardant System" law | found. It is in New Jersey but | think it can be manipulated to work for the Town of Carmel.

## § 137-1Purpose.

It is the intent of this chapter to regulate the installation and use of ice-retardant systems on all waterfront properties within the Borough of Hopatcong for the protection of persons and property and to provide for the public health, safety and welfare of the Borough of Hopatcong and its inhabitants.
§ 137-2 Definitions.
As used in this chapter, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:

## AFFECTED AREA OF ICE

The area of the water body or ice surface disturbed by the operation of an ice-retardant system. Said disturbed or affected area includes open water, weakened ice (excessively cracked), thin ice (less than four inches in depth) and area where adjacent ice is covered by a film of water.

## BULKHEAD LINE

The high-water mark of 10.30 feet above the dam as recorded at Lake Hopatcong State Park or the line established by the Bureau of Navigation, State Department of Environmental Protection, defining the lake's permanent shoreline.

## ICE-RETARDANT SYSTEM

A mechanical device(s) or a series of mechanical devices designed to retard or prevent the formation of ice in or around lakefront structures. Said devices utilize as their mode of operation one or more of the following mechanisms: pumped air, artificial water turbulence or the addition of heat to the water body.

## NORMAL OPERATING CONDITIONS

The average winter conditions to be utilized in the design or operation of an ice-retardant system and to be utilized in gauging compliance with the terms of this chapter. Said "normal operating conditions" is defined as an average of four or more inches of ice on the applicable water body. Such term excludes any time period characterized by unseasonably warm temperature (average daily temperature above 400 F. for three or more consecutive days) or rainfall (greater than $1 / 2$ inch of rainfall per day) and the three dates immediately thereafter. In any enforcement action under the terms of this chapter, the burden is on the operator of the ice-retardant system to establish that normal operating conditions were not existent on the date of the alleged violation.

## OWNER or OPERATOR

The owner of record of a tract of land according to the tax rolls of the Borough of Hopatcong or any person occupying said premises or utilizing the property.

## PERSON

Any individual, association of individuals, corporation or partnership.

## PIERHEAD LINE

A line running parallel to the bulkhead line and extending not more than 50 feet from said bulkhead line, as approved by the Natural Resources Council and Bureau of Navigation, State Department of Environmental Protection, beyond which no dock, pier or marina or other structure, permanent or temporary, floating or affixed to shore or lake bottom, may be located.

PROTECTED STRUCTURE
Any lakefront or within-lake structure which the ice-retardant system is designed to protect. Such protected structures include but are not limited to docks, piers, bulkheads, catwalks, seawalls and boathouses.
§ 137-3 Authorization for use; compliance required.
A. Utilization of ice-retardant systems is hereby authorized within the municipal limits of the Borough of Hopatcong from December 1 of each year through April 1 of the following year.
B. No person shall operate an ice-retardant system within the municipal limits of the Borough of Hopatcong unless said operation is in conformance with this chapter.
§ 137-4Operation requirements.
A. All ice-retardant systems shall be marked with an appropriate sign placed along the shore or on the protected structure specifying "Danger, Thin Ice." Such sign shall be a minimum of two feet by three feet in size and shall feature letters of a minimum height of three inches and colors in such a way as to be clearly visible on the ice from a distance of 100 feet. Where the ice-retardant system will disturb a distance measured horizontally along the shoreline of more than 25 feet, an additional sign shall be required for each 25 feet of shoreline or fraction thereof.
B. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet beyond the protected structure.
C. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet, measured along the shoreline, from the protected structure.
D. Irrespective of the standard appearing in Subsections B and C above, the affected area of ice, under normal operating conditions, should not extend to within 10 feet of a side property line. Said requirement may be waived if the owner and/or operator of the ice-retardant system obtains written permission from the adjacent property owner.
E. Unless the ice-retardant system has been designed and approved in accordance with $\S$ 137-6 of this chapter, no system shall be designed or operated in such a way as to prevent ingress or egress to any portion of the water body or to foreclose the formation of ice across a channel.
§ 137-5 Waiver of requirements.
The Mayor and Council may, by resolution, waive the requirements of this chapter in situations of hardship or exceptional public necessity. "Exceptional public necessity" shall include but not limited to a necessity to maintain an open channel of water for ferry service or access to island lakefront homes. In considering such an application, the Mayor and Council may refer the application to the Lake Hopatcong Regional Planning Board for comment. In adopting the resolution, the Mayor and Council shall specify requirements as to markings and lighting. Such requirements can include but are not limited to requiring buoys to be placed in the frozen water body to clearly mark the approach, requiring nighttime illumination or flashing light to indicate that open water is present, limiting the size of the affected ice area to the minimal necessary for the use and/or publishing public notice of the condition to specify minimal levels of insurance requirements.

## § 137-6 Enforcement; violations and penalties.

A. The Construction Official is hereby designated as the enforcement officer under the terms of this chapter. All complaints received by any municipal agency concerning the operation of ice-retardant systems shall be referred to the Construction Official. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Construction Official shall investigate within five days and, if the complaint is found justified, notify the owner and operator of the terms of this chapter and require compliance within an additional 10 days. The Construction Official may reduce the time period for compliance when the interest of public safety so requires. If the owner or operator of the system fails to undertake the corrections within the specified time frame, the Construction Official may cause a summons to be issued in the Municipal Court of the Borough of Hopatcong to enforce the requirements of this chapter.
B. A violation of the terms of this chapter, including the operational requirements, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $\$ 50$ for the first offense, $\$ 100$ for the second offense and $\$ 250$ for the third and subsequent offenses. Each day that a violation of this chapter continues may be deemed to be a separate offense.
§ 137-7 Liability.
Compliance and/or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter shall not preclude any civil action for damages arising from injuries incurred through the operation of an ice-retardant system.

## § 137-8 Construal of provisions.

Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as repealing any provision of any other municipal ordinance. In the event that any regulation provided above shall vary from the same or a similar regulation of any other municipal ordinance, the more restrictive provision of such ordinance shall be deemed to control.

# LAKE MAHOPAC PARK DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD 

Edward Barnett, Chairman Jay Crawford, Vice Chairman Jim Alaxwell

60 Ahe Alpin Avenue
Martin Greenberg Mahopac, NY 10541 Charles Langlitz

Advisors: Bill Frumkin, William Spain Jr., John Maxwell, Sheila Margolis, Mark Robertson, Joe Massaro

August 17, 2015
Re: Deicing/Winter/Lake Mahopac


There are two types of deicing equipment:

1. Ice eaters are units with propellers.
2. Bubblers consist of perforated tubing and an air compressor.

Both types bring warmer water to the surface.
*Ice Eaters, if not properly placed, can open up larger open water areas than necessary.
*Unit sizing is critical for ice eaters: $1 / 2 \mathrm{hp}$ opens approximately a 50 foot area

| $3 / 4 \mathrm{hp}$ | $"$ | " | " 75 foot area |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 hp | " | " | " 100 foot area |

*A vertically mounted ice eater/deicer opens up a circular area further out in the lake, which may not be desirable.
*A horizontally mounted ice eater can be better focused on an area to be kept ice-free.
Bubblers open up narrow areas generally above the length of the perforated tubes, such as around docks, and other structures. Bubblers are more expensive to purchase but use much less electricity.

## Generally, Municipal Regulations consist of the following:

1. Signage indicating: "Caution: Open Water" or "Danger: Thin Ice."
(Approximately 2 feet by 3 feet.)
2. A white light on at dusk and off at dawn to show open water at night This should not be a problem, as these systems require an electrical connection.
3. Permission from adjacent neighbors, if their property is affected.
4. Generally, a 25 foot limit of open water from the shoreline.
5. Some municipalities issue permits, others don't.

## Horizontal vs. Vertical Deicer positioning:

1. Vertically positioned deicers create a circular ice-free area. This can open up areas that do need to be ice-free, by extending it further out in the lake, where it is not necessary.
2. Horizontally positioned deicers, whether on floats or mounted on a dock provides for a more focused ice-free area.
3. Horizontally positioned deicers on a float can be focused/aimed at areas to be kept ice-free by means of two tag lines affixed to stationary objects. See Photos.

Two popular deicers made by Kasco and Taylor sell floats to support the device. Taylor, at this time, does not make a float for horizontal positioning, only vertical. Kasco makes a float for horizontal positioning that better allows for a more directed flow. See Photos

## References:

www taylormadeproducts.com/
www.kascomarine.com/applications/de-icing/
Ice Eaters Regulations for Lake Hopatcong
www.lakegeorgeassociation.orgl
etc.

## What is a de-icing device?

A de-icing device agitates or warms water to prevent ice from forming and often can also melt existing ice.
The two most common kinds of de-icers are 1) submersible motors with propellers, often called ice eaters, and 2) bubbler systems.

## How daes 初 work?

Ice eaters work by propelling warmer water from the bottom up to the surface, which along with agitation, keeps ice from forming. Ice eaters are commonly hung off of a dock with a rope or other mounting system.


Bubblers consist of an air compressor that forces air through tubing or piping placed on the lake bottom around a dock. The tubing has holes all along it that release tiny air bubbles. The constant water movement caused by the bubbles prevents ice from forming.


The LGA does not necessarily endorse any of the manufacturers of de-icing devices mentioned in this brochure.

www.lakegeorgeassociation.org

## Don't be Eaten Alive!

## Tips for effective and safe use of ice eaters



## The De-icing Debate

## The lssue:

The overuse of ice eaters to protect docks can actually cause dock damage and creates access and safety concerns for lake users in the winter.

## The Players:

- property owners trying to protect their docks and other shoreline structures
- winter recreationists using the frozen lake - manufacturers and retailers of de-icing devices
- property managers installing de-icing devices


## The Solutiom

Safe and effective use of ice eaters. When used properly, ice eaters can protect docks and property from ice damage and not cause unnecessary safety and access concems for winter lake users.
Ahmys use cautfon amornd open water
ever when following guidelines for safe and effective use of fice eaters, safety is importont since an open area of water is still being created. You might want to consider the use of a sign that says "Danger: Thin Fre" or "Gaution: Open Water' as a general precaution if you are concerned about safety or live close to a public access location.

## Overuse of ice eaters to protect docks

 can actually cause dock damage!

## Your dock will thank you

1. One size doesn't fit all.

Ice-eaters comes in different sizes. On average an ice-eater with a...
... $1 / 2$ hp motor opens a $50^{\prime}$ diameter area
... $3 / 4 \mathrm{hp}$ motor opens a $75^{\prime}$ diameter area
... 1 hp motor opens a $90^{\prime}$ diameter area
These numbers can vary with temperature and water depth. But don't just get the 1 hp model when the $1 / 2 \mathrm{hp}$ would do. Bigger isn't better - it's more diangerous for lake users since it opens up larger areas of water.

## 2. Save electricity and money.

 Limit the time that your iceeater runs by using a timer and a thermostat. It doesn't need to run all day -4 hours per day is plenty - even on the coldest days we get on Lake George. There is also no need to have it run when temperatures are above freezing

This is a thmer and thermostat made by Kasco (model $\mathrm{HC}^{2}-20$ ). and ice can't form
By onlly running an ice eater 4 hrs/day you reduce your electrical usage and cost by about $85 \%$

## 3. Keep it to yourselfis

Place your ice eater in the water pointing vertically. You should not point it out towards the middle of the lake or in towards the shoreline unless it is absolutely necessary for dock protection (and in most cases it is not). Angling your ice-eater allows it to open up elongated areas of water which can create dangerous arreas of thin ice around the open water that cannot be seen from the surface.

## A. Timing is eyerything.

Before the ice starts to melt turn off your iceeater (the first week of March is usually good). This allows a thin sheet of ice to connect your dock with the rest of the ise on the fake. Don't worry - the ice is too thin to do any damage and the connection helps keep your dock safe. Open water acts as a runway for thick ice sheets to be blown across and crash into your dock. Having your dock connected to the ice-matrix protects it from moving ice sheets in open water areas. If you keep your ice eater going as the ice melts and breaks up, your dock will be a sitting duck.

## 5. Use only as directed.

Ice-eaters are designed to protect shoreline structures and docks. They are not designed to impede public access to the lake. The misuse of ice-eaters along shorelines where there are no structures to protect creates hazardous condiltions for people accessing the lake from nearby locations. If you are concerned about trespassing, using an ice-eater is not the answer.

## lce Eaters vs. Bubblers

ke eaters cost less initially and need less labor to install and maintain. However they cost more so run, use more energy and are capable of opening up much lager expanses of water (can ceate safety concens and dock damage)

Bubblers cost more to purchase and dire more taborintensive to install and maintain but they use less eneigy, cost less to wan, ond lieep smaller areas of water open (don't create safety concerns and dock damage like ice eaters do).


KASCO HORIZONTAL FLOAT
AND DEICER


Fir bup: edwardbarnett <steady991 everizon.neb
Aure Fwd: Driver
1 Attachment, 3.7 MB

Begin forwarded message:


## edwardbarnett <steady991 @verizon.net>

## Fwd: Deicer Bubblers

Tats: September 20, 2015 12:18:31 PM EDT
Kenneth Schmitt [ks@ci.carmel.ny.us](mailto:ks@ci.carmel.ny.us), Frank Lombardi [suzi5mcdonough@gmail.com](mailto:suzi5mcdonough@gmail.com), Anne Pasquerello [amp2@ci.carmel.ny.us](mailto:amp2@ci.carmel.ny.us), John Lupinacci [jdlup@icloud.com](mailto:jdlup@icloud.com), susi5mcdonough@gmail.com ponybumps@aol.com, jojimmax@comcast.net, joemassaro27@gmail.com, john.maxwell@pavarini.com, bertmelchner@gmail.com, Sheila <s.marg@comcast.net, Jay Crawford [pac.man1@comcast.net](mailto:pac.man1@comcast.net), Charlie Langlitz [charlie@langlitz.org](mailto:charlie@langlitz.org), Martin Greenberg [marting@dominionrisk.com](mailto:marting@dominionrisk.com), wspain@spainandspain.com, wfrumkin@verizon.net
Ecc:
Message-Id:
Content-Type:
X-Smtp-Server:
fime-Version:
Michael Barnett [mbarnett@lieberassociates.com](mailto:mbarnett@lieberassociates.com), rmapnusa@aol.com <7B606B43-7F41-4E6F-8180-7A7B48C35FDA@verizon.net
multipart/alternative; boundary=Apple-Mail-1-602243559 smtp.verizon.net:steady991@verizon.net
1.0 (Apple Message framework v936)

Peforences:

> <D2444EBB2334D5438AF86CA29E3D2C0C304292@TH-4.TOCARMEL.I ocal>

Ken,
The only thing I came across re: Deicers and the NYSDEC, is that they caution people about the presence of Deicers.
I will send what the NYSDEC has on their website on a separate email.
It appears that NYSDEC does not have specific regulations concerning deicers.
The engineering department of the town showed me an aerial photo, taken in winter of Lake Mahopac,
that shows the extent of ice free areas. This should be helpful in any deliberations.

## Ed Barnett

## Begin forwarded message:

From: "Schmitt, Kenneth" [ks@ci.carmel.ny.us](mailto:ks@ci.carmel.ny.us)
Date: September 17, 2015 3:36:27 PM EDT
To: "Flaherty, Michael J (DEC)" [michael.flaherty@dec.ny.gov](mailto:michael.flaherty@dec.ny.gov)
Subject: Deicer Bubblers

Return-Path: [ks@ci.carmel.nv.us](mailto:ks@ci.carmel.nv.us)
Received: from smtp.ci.carmel.ny.us ([96.232.176.42]) by vms172071.mailsrvcs.net (Oracle Communications Messaging Server 7.0.5.34.0 64bit (built Oct 14 2014)) with ESMTPS id [ONUU007H86GSEC91@vms172071.mailsrvcs.net](mailto:ONUU007H86GSEC91@vms172071.mailsrvcs.net) for steady991@verizon.net; Thu, 17 Sep 2015 14:36:34-0500 (CDT)
Received: from TH-4.TOCARMEL.local ([fe80::9d6b:6756:2960:cdcc]) by TH-
4. TOCARMEL.local ([fe80::9d6b:6756:2960:cdcc\%12]) with mapi id 14.03.0235.001; Thu, 17 Sep 2015 15:36:28-0400
X-OriginatIng-Ip: [96.232.176.42]
X-Originating-Ip: [192.168.1.134]
Message-Id: [D2444EBB2334D5438AF86CA29E3D2C0C304292@TH-4.TOCARMEL.local](mailto:D2444EBB2334D5438AF86CA29E3D2C0C304292@TH-4.TOCARMEL.local)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="Boundary_(ID_oDZzodHIWEGyJDAsjr6m5g)"
Content-Language: en-US
Accept-Language: en-US
Thread-Topic: Deicer Bubblers
Thread-Index: AdDxfSx+nMmb2/p6Rm2VOyx2yE2DGg==
X-Cmae-Score: 0
$X$-Cmae-Analysis: $\mathrm{v}=2.1 \mathrm{cV}=\mathrm{V}$ Mwg $517 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{c}=1 \mathrm{sm}=1 \mathrm{tr}=0$
$a=m e Z Z t H P H 0 N Q M 1 J F 23 D A z W g==: 117 a=m e Z Z t H P H O N Q M 1 J F 23 D A z W g==: 17$
$a=o R 5 d m q M z A A A A: 8 a=p Z U 43 R U b-e c A: 10 a=x q W C \_B r 6 k Y 4 A: 10 a=M K t G Q D 3 n 3 T o A: 10$
$a=Z Z n u Y t J k o W o A: 10$ a=ff-B7xzCdYMA:10 a=ORbcMOJv6ngSoWuHTaYA:9
$\mathrm{a}=$ CjulK1q_8ugA:10 $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{VT2BIMrAhAoA:10} \mathrm{a}=$ Qvp6xLOIJ70A:10 $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{yMhMjlubAAAA:8}$
$a=S S m O F E A C A A A A: 8 ~ a=Y T D M Z H y t o J v z p 1 X Z e I E A: 9 a=C-z m m R o 10 S q G S e x M: 21$
$a=g K O 2 H q 4 R S V k A: 10 a=U i C Q 7 L 4-1 S 4 A: 10 \quad a=h T Z e C 7 Y k 6 K 0 A: 10 a=f r z 4 A u C g-h U A: 10$
Original-Recipient: rfc822;steady991@verizon.net
Hello Mike,

Hope that all is well with you, Does the NYSDEC regulate the use of Deicer Bubblers in NYS ?

These are the devices that are used to protect docks, boats and boat houses from ice damage during the winter months.

We are receiving complaints/safety concerns from residents and recreational users of frozen bodies of water (Lake Mahopac) that these deicer bubblers are opening up and or preventing large areas of water from freezing, in some cases the water is open hundreds of feet out into the lake from the dock/boathouse that the property owner seeks to protect from ice damage.

Is there a State Agency that regulates these deicers ? they seem to be getting larger and larger with greater HP.

The safety concern is that people using frozen lakes for recreational activities will accidently fall into the open water created by these deicer bubblers.

Thank you for any feedback on this topic that you can provide.

Regards, Kenny
Kenneth Schneitt,
Town of Carmel Supervisor
60 McAlpin Avenue
Carmel, NY 10541
phone 845.628-1500
fax 845.628.6837

## Fertilizer Ordinances <br> - Jefferson <br> - Mt. Arlington <br> - Hopatcong <br> - Roxbury

## Docks, Piers and Boathouses

- Jefferson
- Mt. Arlington
- Hopatcong
- Roxbury


## Ice-Retardant Systems

## - Township of Jefferson

## Chapter 294: ICE-RETARDANT SYSTEMS

[HISTORY: Adopted by the Township Council of the Township of Jefferson 11-6-2002 by Ord. No. 28-02 (Ch. 52 of the 1967 Code). Amendments noted where applicable.]
© 294-1. Purpose.
It is the intent of this chapter to regulate the installation and use of ice-retardant systems on waterfront properties within the Township of Jefferson for the protection of persons and property and to provide for the public health, safety and welfare of the Township of Jefferson and its inhabitants.

## 294-2. Definitions.

As used in this chapter, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:
AFFECTED AREA OF ICE The area of the water body or ice surface disturbed by the operation of an ice-retardant system. Said disturbed or affected area includes open water, weakened ice (excessively cracked), thin ice (less than four inches in depth) and areas where adjacent ice is covered by a film of water.

BULKHEAD LINE The high-water mark of 10.30 feet above the dam as recorded at Lake Hopatcong State Park or the line established by the Bureau of Navigation, State Department of Environmental Protection, defining the lake's permanent shoreline.

ICE-RETARDANT SYSTEM A mechanical device(s) or a series of mechanical devices designed to retard or prevent the formation of ice in or around lakefront structures. Said devices utilize as their mode of operation one or more of the following mechanisms: pumped air, artificial water turbulence or the addition of heat to the water body.

NORMAL OPERATING CONDITIONS The average winter conditions to be utilized in the design or operation of an ice-retardant system and to be utilized in gauging compliance with the terms of this chapter. Said "normal operating conditions" are defined as an average of four or more inches of ice on the
applicable water body. Such term excludes any time period characterized by unseasonably warm temperature (average daily temperature above $40 \geqslant \mathrm{~F}$. for three or more consecutive days) or rainfall (greater than $1 / 2$ inch of rainfall per day) and the three days immediately thereafter. In any enforcement action under the terms of this chapter, the burden is on the operator of the ice-retardant system to establish that normal operating conditions were not existent on the date of the alleged violation.
OWNER or OPERATOR $\geqslant$ The owner of record of a tract of land according to the tax rolls of the Township of Jefferson or any person occupying said premises or utilizing the property.
PERSON $>$ Any individual, association of individuals, corporation or partnership.
PROTECTED STRUCTURE $\geqslant$ Any lakefront or within lake structure which the ice-retardant system is designed to protect. Such protected structures include but are not limited to docks, piers, bulkheads, catwalks, seawalls and boathouses.

294-3. Authorization for use; compliance required.
A. No person shall operate an ice-retardant system within the municipal limits of the Township of Jefferson unless said operation is in conformance with this chapter.
B. The provisions of this chapter shall only be effective for the operation of an ice-retardant system from December 1 of each year through April I of the following year. Nothing herein shall prevent the operation of an ice-retardant system at other times during the year.

294-4. Operation requirements.
A. All ice-retardant systems shall be marked with an appropriate sign placed along the shore or on the protected structure specifying "Danger, Thin Ice." Such sign shall be a minimum of two feet by three feet in size and shall feature letters of a minimum height of three inches and colors in such a way as to be clearly visible on the ice from a distance of 100 feet. Where the ice-retardant system will disturb a distance measured horizontally along the shoreline of more than 25 feet, an additional sign shall be required for each 25 feet of shoreline or fraction thereof.
B. Under normal operating conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet beyond the protected structure.
C. Under normal operating conditions, the affected area of ice should not extend more than 25 feet, measured along the shoreline, from the protected structure.
D. Irrespective of the standard appearing in Subsections B and C above, the affected area of ice, under normal operating conditions, should not extend beyond the side property lines of the property for which the ice-retardant system is being used, as if said property lines were extended into the lake. Said requirement may be waived if the owner and/or operator of the ice-retardant system obtains written permission from the adjacent property owner.
E. Unless the ice-retardant system has been designed and approved in accordance with $>294-5$ of this chapter, no system shall be designed or operated in such a way as to prevent ingress or egress to any portion of the water body or to foreclose the formation of ice across a channel.

294-5. Waiver of requirements.
The Township Council may, by resolution, waive the requirements of this chapter in situations of hardship or exceptional public necessity. Exceptional public necessity shall include but is not limited to a necessity to maintain an open channel of water for ferry service or access to island lakefront homes. In considering such
an application, the Township Council may refer the application to the Lake Hopatcong Commission for comment. In adopting the resolution, Council shall specify requirements as to markings and lighting. Such requirements can include but are not limited to requiring buoys to be placed in the frozen water body to clearly mark the approach, requiring nighttime illumination or flashing lights to indicate that open water is present, limiting the size of the affected ice area to the minimal necessary for the use and/or publishing public notice of the condition to specify minimal levels of insurance requirements.

294-6. Enforcement; violations and penalties.
A. The Construction Official is hereby designated as the enforcement officer under the terms of this chapter. All complaints received by any municipal agency concerning the operation of ice-retardant systems shall be referred to the Construction Official. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Construction Official shall investigate within five days and, if the complaint is found justified, notify the owner and operator of the terms of this chapter and require compliance within an additional 10 days. The Construction Official may reduce the time period for compliance when the interest of public safety so requires. If the owner or operator of the system fails to undertake the corrections within the specified time frame, the Construction Official may cause a summons to be issued in the Municipal Court of the Township of Jefferson to enforce the requirements of this chapter. [Amended 2-16-2005 by Ord. No. 405]
B. A violation of the terms of this chapter, including the operational requirements, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $\$ 50$ for the first offense, $\$ 100$ for the second offense and $\$ 250$ for the third and subsequent offenses. Each day that a violation of this chapter continues may be deemed to be a separate offense.

## 294-7. Liability.

Compliance and/or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter shall not preclude any civil action for damages arising from injuries incurred through the operation of an ice-retardant system.

294-8. Construal of provisions.
Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as repealing any provision of any other municipal ordinance. In the event that any regulation provided above shall vary from the same or a similar regulation of any other municipal ordinance, the more restrictive provision of such ordinance shall be deemed to control.

## - Borough of Hopatcong

137-3. Authorization for use: comoliance.... CHAPTER 137. ICE-RETARDANT SYSTEMS 备 137-5. Waiver of requirements.

This electronic version is provided for informational purposes only. For the official version please contact the municipality.

## 137-4. Operation requirements.

A. All ice-retardant systems shall be marked with an appropriate sign placed along the shore or on the protected structure specifying "Danger, Thin lce." Such sign shall be a minimum of two feet by three feet in size and shall feature letters of a minimum height of three inches and colors in such a way as to be clearly visible on the ice from a distance of 100 feet. Where the ice-retardant system will disturb a distance measured horizontally along the shoreline of more than $\mathbf{2 5}$ feet, an additional sign shall be required for each $\mathbf{2 5}$ feet of shoreline or fraction thereof.
B. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet beyond the protected structure.
C. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet, measured along the shoreline, from the protected structure.
D. Irrespective of the standard appearing in Subsections B and C above, the affected area of ice, under normal operating conditions, should not extend to within 10 feet of a side property line. Said requirement may be waived if the owner and/or operator of the ice-retardant system obtains written permission from the adjacent property owner.
E. Uniess the ice-retardant system has been designed and approved in accordance with $137-6$ of this chapter, no system shall be designed or operated in such a way as to prevent ingress or egress to any portion of the water body or to foreclose the formation of ice across a channel.

- Borough of Mount Arlington
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## BOROUGH OF MOUNT ARLINGTON

## AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 70 OF THE CODE OF THE BOROUGH OF MOUNT ARLINGTON ENTITLED §DOCKS PIERS AND BULKHEADS $९$ REGULATING ICE RETARDANT SYSTEMS

WHEREAS, the Borough Council of the Borough of Mount Arlington in the County of Morris and State of New Jersey desires to regulate ice retardant systems on all waterfront properties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Governing Body of the Borough of Mount Arlington as follows:

## SECTION ONE

Chapter 70 of the code of the Borough of Mount Arlington entitled 8 Docks Piers and Bulkheads $\rangle$ is hereby amended to include a new section 10 entitled $\geqslant$ Ice Retardant Systems $\geqslant$ which shall provide as follows:

## ICE RETARDANT SYSTEMS

## 70-10.1. Purpose

It is the intent of this chapter to regulate the installation and use of ice-retardant systems on all waterfront properties within the Borough of Mount Arlington for the protection of persons and property and to provide for the public health, safety and welfare of the Borough of Mount Arlington and its inhabitants.

## 870-10.2. Definitions:

As used in this subchapter, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:

## AFFECTED AREA OF ICE

The area of the water body or ice surface disturbed by the operation of an ice-retardant system. Said disturbed or affected area includes open water, weakened ice (excessively cracked), thin ice (less than four inches in depth) and area where adjacent ice is covered by a film of water.

## BULKHEAD LINE

The high-water mark of 10.30 feet above the dam as recorded at Lake Hopatcong State Park or the line established by the Bureau of Navigation, State Department of Environmental Protection, defining the lake's permanent shoreline.

## ICE-RETARDANT SYSTEM

A mechanical device(s) or a series of mechanical devices designed to retard or prevent the formation of ice in or around lakefront structures. Said devices utilize as their mode of operation one or more of the following mechanisms: pumped air, artificial water turbulence or the addition of heat to the water body.

## NORMAL OPERATING CONDITIONS

The average winter conditions to be utilized in the design or operation of an ice-retardant system and to be utilized in gauging compliance with the terms of this chapter. Said "normal operating conditions" is defined as an average of four or more inches of ice on the applicable water body. Such term excludes any time period characterized by unseasonably warm temperature (average daily temperature above $40 \geqslant \mathrm{~F}$. for three or more consecutive days) or rainfall (greater than $1 / 2$ inch of rainfall per day) and the three days immediately thereafter. In any enforcement action under the terms of this chapter, the burden is on the operator of the ice-retardant system to establish that normal operating conditions were not existent on the date of the alleged violation.

## OWNER or OPERATOR

The owner of record of a tract of land according to the tax rolls of the Borough of Mount Arlington or any person occupying said premises or utilizing the property.

## PERSON

Any individual, association of individuals, corporation or partnership.

## PIER HEAD LINE

A line running parallel to the bulkhead line and extending not more than 50 feet from said bulkhead line, as approved by the Natural Resources Council and Bureau of Navigation, State Department of Environmental Protection, beyond which no dock, pier or marina or other structure, permanent or temporary, floating or affixed to shore or lake bottom, may be located.

## PROTECTEDSTRUCTURE

Any lakefront or within-lake structure which the ice-retardant system is designed to protect. Such protected structures include but are not limited to docks, piers, bulkheads, catwalks, seawalls and boathouses.

## (70-103. Authorized Use; compliance required

A. Utilization of ice-retardant systems is hereby authorized within the municipal limits of the Borough of Mount Arlington from December 1 of each year through April 1 of the following year.
B. No person shall operate an ice-retardant system within the municipal limits of the Borough of Mount

Arlington unless said operation is in conformance with this chapter.

## 870-10.4. Operational requirements

A. All ice-retardant systems shall be marked with an appropriate sign placed along the shore or on the protected structure specifying "Danger, Thin Ice." Such sign shall be a minimum of two feet by three feet in size and shall feature letters of a minimum height of three inches and colors in such a way as to be clearly visible on the ice from a distance of 100 feet.
B. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend outward more than 25 feet from the shoreline, or to the outer edge of the protected structure, whichever is less.
C. Under normal operation conditions, the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend horizontally more than 25 feet or to the owner $\$$ s property line, whichever is less, measured along the shoreline, from the protected structure.
D. Unless the ice-retardant system has been designed and approved in accordance with $70-10.6$ of this chapter, no system shall be designed or operated in such a way as to prevent ingress or egress to any portion of the water body or to foreclose the formation of ice across a channel.

## 870-10.5. Waiver of requirements

The Mayor and Council may, by resolution, waive the requirements of this chapter in situations of hardship or exceptional public necessity. "Exceptional public necessity" shall include but not limited to a necessity to maintain an open channel of water for ferry service or access to island lakefront homes. In considering such an application, the Mayor and Council may refer the application to the Lake Hopatcong Regional Planning Board for comment. In adopting the resolution, the Mayor and Council shall specify requirements as to markings and lighting. Such requirements can include but are not limited to requiring buoys to be placed in the frozen water body to clearly mark the approach, requiring nighttime illumination or flashing light to indicate that open water is present, limiting the size of the affected ice area to the minimal necessary for the use and/or publishing public notice of the condition to specify minimal levels of insurance requirements.

## (70-10.6. Enforcement; violations \& penalties

A. The Construction Official is hereby designated as the enforcement officer under the terms of this chapter. All complaints received by any municipal agency concerning the operation of ice-retardant systems shall be referred to the Construction Official. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Construction Official shall investigate within five days and, if the complaint is found justified, notify the owner and operator of the terms of this chapter and require compliance within an additional 5 days. The Construction Official may reduce the time period for compliance when the interest of public safety so requires. If the owner or operator of the system fails to undertake the corrections within the specified time frame, the Construction Official may cause a summons to be issued in the Joint Municipal Court of Dover to enforce the requirements of this chapter.
B. A violation of the terms of this chapter, including the operational requirements, shall be punishable by a fine not to exceed $\$ 100$ for the first offense, $\$ 200$ for the second offense and $\$ 400$ for the third and subsequent offenses. Each day that a violation of this chapter continues may be deemed to be a separate offense.

Compliance and/or noncompliance with the requirements of this chapter shall not preclude any civil action -for damages arising from injuries incurred through the operation of an ice-retardant system.

## 870-10.8. Construal of provisions

Nothing in this chapter shall be construed as repealing any provision of any other municipal ordinance. In the event that any regulation provided above shall vary from the same or a similar regulation of any other municipal ordinance, the more restrictive provision of such ordinance shall be deemed to control.

## SECTION TWO -REPEALER

All Ordinances or parts of Ordinances inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such inconsistency.

## SECTION THREE -SEVERABILITY

Should any section, clause, sentence, phrase provision or application of this Ordinance be declared unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such a decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

SECTION FOUR -EFHECTIVE DATE.
This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its final passage and publication as provided by law.

## Ice Eaters Regulations for Lake Hopatcong

Since the winter of 2010 when Lake Hopatcong did not freeze over despite having a very cold winter, there has been much concern about the use of ice eaters on the lake and the unsafe ice conditions they can potentially create if not operated properly Here on the lake, we use the term ice eaters, but an ice eater is only one type of ice retardant system in use. The use of all types of ice retardant systems are regulated through municipal ordinances in the Boroughs of Hopatcong and Mount Arlington and the Township of Jefferson.
The Lake Hopatcong Commission is working with all four municipalities on the lake to promote the safe and effective use of ice retardant systems. Promoting the proper use of these systems will help prevent winter accidents from occurring on the lake while still being able to protect docks and property from ice damage. Although the majority of the lake was ice-covered by mid to late December in 2009, throughout the remainder of the winter in 2010, large areas of Lake Hopatcong remained open water and did not freeze over. A possible cause for the large areas of open water was the improper use of ice retardant systems exacerbated by windy conditions. Lets work together to prevent ice retardant systems from keeping Lake Hopatcong frozen over during cold winter months which is what happened in 2010.

Lake George located in the Adirondacks Region in upstate New York has been dealing with unsafe ice conditions because of improper use of ice eaters. The Lake George Association (LGA) brochure, "Don't Be Eaten Alive! Tips for effective and safe use of ice eaters" is a valuable guide of simple steps to follow. The LGA News, has a SPECIAL ISSUE on Winter Safety Tips to Live By. Download, read, and pass these on to friends, family and neighbors that use ice eaters and go out on the lake in the winter.

To operate your ice retardant system properly so it does not cause a safety hazard for people and animals on the ice, connect it to a thermostat and timer, don't operate it for more than fours hours a day, have it pointed upwards in vertical position and stop using it before the spring ice melt. Systems with a timer and thermostat to limit the hours of use daily will protect your property while saving money on the electrical costs. During freezing temperature, this will allow the lake to stay frozen in areas of the lake away from your property and will protect the public's safety.

## Q\&As About Ice Retardant Systems

1. What is an ice-retardant system?
2. Who regulates the use of ice retardant systems on Lake Hopatcong?
3. How does improper or excessive use of ice retardant systems impact Lake Hopatcong?
4. What are the proper operating iustructions for ice retardant systems?

## What is an ice retardant system?

An ice-retardant system is a mechanical device or a series of mechanical devices designed to retard or prevent the formation of ice in or around lake front structures. These devices utilize as their mode of operation, one or more of the following mechanisms: pumped air, artificial water turbulence, the addition of
heat to the water body, or other mechanism which impedes or prevents the formation of ice on the lake surface. Around Lake Hopatcong, these devices are commonly referred to as an "ice eater" which refers to a dock de-icer that uses an electric motor and prop to pull warmer water from the depth and direct it towards the surface. Actually, ice eater is a brand name for an ice eater product line produce by The Powerhouse in the United States. Ice eater is the term often used generically to refer to this type of de-icer as one refers to Kleenex to describe facial tissues or Xerox copy to describe a photocopy.

Ice eaters and bubblers are two different types of systems, but ice eaters can open up much larger areas of water which creates public safety concerns and may cause dock damage. Bubblers use less energy and cost less to operate, but are more expensive initially to purchase and more work is involved to install and maintain. Most importantly, bubblers create only a small area of open water.

## Back to Top

## Who regulates the use of ice retardant systems on Lake Hopatcong?

The Lake Hopatcong Commission does not have regulatory or enforcement authority on Lake Hopatcong. However, three of Lake Hopatcong's local municipalities, Mount Arlington, Hopatcong and Jefferson, all have an ordinance in place which regulates the use of ice retardant systems. Roxbury Township is considering adopting a similar ordinance. The current municipal ordinances have the following in common for ice retardant systems operating under normal conditions:

- the affected area of ice created by the ice-retardant system shall not extend more than 25 feet beyond the protected structure or more than 25 feet, measured along the shoreline, from the protected structure.
- no system shall be designed or operated in such a way as to prevent ingress or egress to any portion of the water body or to foreclose the formation of ice across a channel.

Additionally, the ordinances indicate:

- all ice-retardant systems shall be marked with an appropriate sign placed along the shore or on the protected structure specifying "Danger, Thin Ice."
- the municipal construction official is responsible for enforcement of the ordinance.

For complete details on the municipal ice retardant system ordinances, click here or visit your town codebook on your local municipality's webpage. If an ice eater is creating an area of open water larger than $25^{\prime}$, if possible, make the property owner aware of the potential dangers this can cause. As these ordinances indicate, it can be reported to the construction official in Hopatcong, Jefferson or Mount Arlington.

## Back to Top

## How does improper or excessive use of ice retardant systems impact Lake Hopatcong?

Beside the public safety issue of falling through thin ice due to improper or excessive use of ice-eaters, there is another benefit to having snow covered ice on the lake. Large areas of open water in the winter can promote faster weed growth as the warmer season approaches. Snow-covered ice on the lake does not allow the sun to penetrate and warm the water which may promote early season weed growth. Keeping the lake frozen over in the winter may cut down on weed growth.


## Back to Top

## What are the proper operating instructions for ice retardant systems?

Determine system size needed. A $1 / 2 \mathrm{hp}$ motors opens $50^{\prime}$ diameter area and 1 hp opens $90^{\prime}$ diameter area. A motor that is too big will open up an area in excess of the 25 ' allowable area designated in local municipal ordinances.
Go green and save. Get a timer and a thermostat for your system. Save energy and money. Remember 4 hours of operating daily should be sufficient to keep the area by your property from freezing over.

Follow system directions. These systems are not designed to impede public access to lake.
Place the system in the correct direction. In most cases, the device should be positioned vertically and not pointing out to the middle or the lake or in towards the shoreline.

Stop using your system when the ice starts to melt. Watch the ice and when it starts to melt, usually in late February/March, turn your system off. A thin sheet of ice needs to connect to your dock. This should prevent blowing sheets of thicker ice from other areas from crashing into your dock.

## Back to Top



## Chapter 37

## ALARM DEVICES AND SYSTEMS

## § 37-1. Definitions.

For the purpose of this chapter, the following words and phrases shall have the meanings respectively ascribed to them by this section:
ADMINISTRATIVE AUTHORITY - The Town Comptroller's office of the Town of Carmel.[Added 1-19-1994 by L.L. No. 1-1994]

ALARM PERMIT - The written authorization of the permitting authority granted to any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity to install or maintain in a residence or place of business any police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices.

ALARM PERMIT YEAR - The period from January 1 until the next succeeding December 31, except for the first year during which an alarm permit is issued, which year shall commence on the date of permit issuance and shall end on the next succeeding December 31.

CENTRAL ALARM STATION - Any facility operated by a private person, firm or entity which facility receives, records or validates alarm signals and notifies the Police Department and/or Fire Department when appropriate.
FALSE ALARM - Any signal actuated by a police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police or fire alarm devices which is not the result of natural disaster, act of God, a criminal act, fire or other emergency requiring police or fire response. The term "false alarm" shall include human error and equipment malfunction causing the alarm to be activated and which results in police and/or fire response.

PERMITTING AUTHORITY - The Building Department of the Town of Carmel.[Amended 1-19-1994 by L.L. No. 1-1994]

POLICE AND/OR FIRE ALARM DEVICE - Any device which, when activated by fire or other emergency, transmits a prerecorded message or other signal by telephone, radio, central alarm station, audible signal and/or visible signal designed to cause notification of the Police Department and/or Fire Department for a response.

## § 37-2. Alarm permits required.

It shall be a violation of this chapter for any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity to install or maintain in a residence or place of business any police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices without having obtained an alarm permit from the permitting authority.

## § 37-3. Applications for alarm permits. [Amended 1-19-1994 by L.L. No. 1-1994]

All applications for alarm permits shall be submitted to the administrative authority on a form to be supplied by the administrative authority. Said application shall include a description of the police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices installed or maintained or to be installed and such other information as shall be required by the administrative authority.

## § 37-4. Alarm permit fees.

The fees for alarm permits shall be established annually by resolution of the Town Board of the Town of Carmel and shall be on file in the office of the Town Clerk.

## § 37-5. Direct connections prohibited.

It shall be a violation of this chapter for any police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices to be connected to the Police Department. Any such police and/ or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices must be connected to a central alarm station or other answering service.

## § 37-6. Automatic telephone devices.

It shall be a violation of this chapter for any automatic telephone-dialing device to be connected to the telephone lines of the Police Department. Any such automatic telephone-dialing device must be connected to a central alarm station or other answering service.

## § 37-7. Requirement for automatic cutoff system.

No person, business, firm, corporation or other entity shall install, cause to be installed or maintain in a structure, building or establishment in the Town of Carmel a police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices with an external audible and/ or visible alarm unless said police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices has an automatic cutoff system or feature which terminates the audible and/or visible alarm within 15 minutes after it has been activated.

## § 37-8. False alarms. [Amended 1-19-1994 by L.L. No. 1-1994; 5-15-1996 by L.L. No. 2-1996]

A. The Police Chief of the Town of Carmel shall cause to be kept an up-to-date and accurate log of all false alarms occurring in the Town and shall transmit a report of such false alarms to the permitting authority and the Town Board on a monthly basis or, in the Police Chief's discretion, on a more frequent basis. Any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity who has installed or maintains a police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices in a structure, building or establishment in the Town of Carmel shall pay to the permitting authority, upon demand, a fine for each and every false alarm originating from their structure, building or establishment in any alarm permit year in accordance with a schedule of fines which shall be established annually by resolution of the Town Board of the Town of Carmel and shall be on file in the office of the Town Clerk.
B. Any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity who wishes to appeal the fines imposed pursuant to Subsection A of this section may do so in writing to the Alarms Appeal Board within 30 days of the notification of the imposition of said fines. The Alarms Appeal Board shall consist of three members appointed by the Town Board to serve at its pleasure. One member shall be an active member of one of the Fire Departments which service the Town and the other two members shall be representatives of the general public. The Alarms Appeals Board, upon appeal, shall have the authority to affirm, amend, modify or waive the fines imposed by the permitting authority. All Board decisions shall be in writing and shall be filed with the Town Clerk. [Amended 8-12-1998 by L.L. No. 7-1998]
C. Any failure by such person, business, firm, corporation or other entity to pay the aforementioned fines within 30 days of the demand therefore, provided an appeal to the Alarms Appeal Board has not been filed, shall be deemed a violation of this chapter and shall be punishable in accordance with the provisions of $\S 37-14$ of this chapter. If an appeal has been filed with the Alarms Appeal Board, then the 30 days shall commence upon filing of the decision of the Board with the Town Clerk.

## § 37-9. Powers of permitting authority.

The permitting authority is hereby authorized to grant alarm permits pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.

## § 37-10. Collection and disposition of fees and charges.

All permit fees and false alarm charges shall be collected by the permitting authority.

## § 37-11. Duties of the permitting authority.

It shall be the duty of the permitting authority to maintain a record of all alarm permits issued and to make a monthly report of same to the Town Board and Police Chief.

## § 37-12. Implementation.

In order to provide for the orderly institution of the requirements of this chapter, any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity which has a police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices in use on the effective date of this chapter shall obtain an alarm permit no later than 60 days from the effective date of this chapter. Any police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices currently in use which would be prohibited under the provisions of this chapter must be removed no later than 60 days from the effective date of this chapter.

## § 37-13. Exceptions.

None of the provisions of this chapter shall apply to a police and/or fire alarm device, devices or system of police and/or fire alarm devices installed in any Town-owned building, Fire Department-owned building or Volunteer Ambulance Corps-owned building. None of the provisions of this chapter apply to public or private school buildings.

## § 37-14. Penalties for offenses.

Any person, business, firm, corporation or other entity who does not pay any fee or charge established in this chapter or who violates any provisions of this chapter shall be subject to a fine not to exceed $\$ 250$ for each offense. Each week of continuing violation shall constitute a separate additional violation.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pretreatment will be provided through the use of a hydrodynamic separator based on the 1-year storm peak flow.
    ${ }^{2}$ The storage volume is calculated as the volume between the bottom of practice to the overflow weir elevation on the outlet structure. Refer to the Stage-Area-Storage Tables contained in Appendix C.

[^1]:    If any rare plants or animals are documented during site visits, we request that information on the observations be provided to the New York Natural Heritage Program so that we may update our database.

    Information about many of the listed animals in New York, including habitat, biology, identification, conservation, and management, are available online in Natural Heritage's Conservation Guides at www.guides.nynhp.org, and from NYSDEC at www.dec.ny.gov/animals/7494.html.

