# **APPROVED**

CRAIG PAEPRER Chairman

ANTHONY GIANNICO Vice Chairman

BOARD MEMBERS
DAVE FURFARO
CARL STONE
KIM KUGLER
RAYMOND COTE
ROBERT FRENKEL

TOWN OF CARMEL PLANNING BOARD



60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, New York 10541 Tel. (845) 628-1500 – Ext.190 www.ci.carmelny.ny.us MICHAEL CARNAZZA

Director of Code

Enforcement

RICHARD FRANZETTI, P.E. Town Engineer

> PATRICK CLEARY AICP,CEP,PP,LEED AP Town Planner

### PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

**AUGUST 14, 2019** 

PRESENT: CHAIRMAN, CRAIG PAEPRER, VICE CHAIRMAN, ANTHONY GIANNICO, DAVE FURFARO, CARL STONE, KIM KUGLER, RAYMOND COTE, ROBERT FRENKEL

APPLICANT	TAX MAP #	PAGE	TYPE	ACTION OF THE BOARD
Taco Bell	55.11-1-3	2-7	A. Site Plan	Public Hearing Scheduled.
Baker Residential (Countryscapes)	65.5-1-38	7	Bond Return	Removed from Agenda.
Homeland Towers Lake Casse	65.19-1-43	7-33	P.H.	Public Hearing Left Opened.
Homeland Towers Dixon Lake	541-6	33-40	P.H.	Public Hearing Left Opened.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Rose Trombetta

THE ATTACHED TRANSCRIPTON WAS PREPARED BY JENNIFER NICOLE FRENCH, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER FROM VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

	Page 1
1	TOWN OF CARMEL: PLANNING BOARD
2	
3	COUNTY OF PUTNAM
4	х
5	
6	60 McAlpin Avenue
7	Meeting Room #1
8	Mahopac, New York 10541
9	August 14, 2019
10	7:00 p.m.
11	
12	x
13	
14	
15	
16	Craig Paeprer, Chairman
17	Anthony Giannico, Vice Chairman
18	Michael Carnazza, Director of Code Enforcement
19	Richard Franzetti, P.E. Town Engineer
20	Patrick Cleary, Town Planner
21	Dave Furfaro, Board Member
22	Carl Stone, Board Member
23	Kim Kugler, Board Member
24	Raymond Cote, Board Member
25	Robert Frenkel, Board Member

	Page 2
1	MR. PAEPRER: Good evening,
2	everybody. Taco Bell amended site plan?
3	MR. DIMANCE: We're here.
4	MR. CARNAZZA: I checked on their
5	signs, and they are inclined. I have no
6	further comments on this action.
7	MR. PAEPRER: Rick?
8	MR. FRANZETTI: With regards to a
9	performance bond, race track, and sizing,
10	and for the requirement of permits, they are
11	all good to go.
12	MR. FRANZETTI: And since that last
13	date, the architectural consultant had
14	revisions made for the plan, including
15	information of some of the objectionable
16	architectural features. And I think the
17	architect can speak to that to you tonight,
18	and, I think, speak to the public hearing.
19	MR. DIMANCE: Good evening, Mr.
20	Chairman and members of the Board. My name
21	is Paul Dimance(ph). Since we were last
22	before you, we made a submission to the town
23	engineer with the architectural consultant.
24	Just to summarize that, it was to
25	revise the accent trims, and the details of

Page 3 1 the proposals. And we made very minor 2 revisions as to the sidewalk with the new 3 door locations. We have submitted a sign package, detailing signage for the project. 5 And as it has been indicated, it complies 6 with the town code. 7 Also as you can see here, showing to 8 the Board, showing the proposed paint 9 colors, and also have an example of the 10 stone that will be on the tower. 11 We had an opportunity to meet this 12 afternoon, with the samples in person. And 13 noted, they are acceptable to him and issues 14 in the email, this afternoon, Mr. Chairman. 15 So, at this time, we are happy to 16 answer any questions you have. And look 17 forward to advancing the process. 18 MR. PAEPRER: Questions from the 19 board? 20 MR. GIANNICO: I guess I have 21 questions with regards to the finishes, 22 because the memo we have is that they have 23 been submitted to him electronically. But 24 never got to actually physically --25 MR. DIMANCE: We noticed that in the

	Page 4
1	initial submission memo. And we had a
2	meeting with him this afternoon, and brought
3	the samples. He issued a memorandum late
4	this afternoon, in email. And I believe he
5	copied myself and Mr. Chairman on that
6	email. But he did have an opportunity to
7	review those samples.
8	MR. GIANNICO: Okay. And the other
9	question I have, is that with regard to the
10	accent, the mural, that's
11	MR. DIMANCE: That's gone. We have
12	removed that.
13	MR. GIANNICO: Thank you. Thanks for
14	all of your responses. It's very helpful.
15	MR. PAEPRER: Other questions?
16	MR. GIANNICO: Mr. Chairman, I have a
17	question.
18	MR. PAEPRER: Okay.
19	MR. GIANNICO: Last time you were
20	before this board, there were comments on
21	issues. But I specifically hoped to focus
22	on how we liked the building, liked the look
23	of the building. And I see you have
24	fulfilled a rendition totally disregarding
25	it. Obviously it has to, at some point, fit

Page 5 the needs of Taco Bell. But what I see is a 1 2 blatant disregard of that. 3 MR. DIMANCE: Understood. And we 4 touched upon this at the last meeting, as 5 well. The architecture, as well. However it was not an option for our clients, or 7 Taco Bell, to keep that existent roof line. 8 However, I feel that the plan that is before 9 you balances both sides of it to the best 10 that we can. 11 MR. GIANNICO: I respect your point, but it doesn't balance both sides. 12 13 balances Taco Bell's needs. It's a very 14 nice modern-looking building. But since it 15 sits next to the new gas station, to me it 16 looks out of place. We have captured some 17 of the roofline --18 MR. DIMANCE: Understood, understood. 19 We did, with the roofline, put that out of 20 the question from our perspective. We did 21 try to take some of the other elements from 22 those projects, the gas station, and tried 23 to incorporate some of the materials.

> MR. PAEPRER: Did you discuss some of Anthony's questions with Taco Bell?

24

	Page 6
1	MR. DIMANCE: Yeah. I do have with
2	me tonight, Eric Leeman's (ph), the architect
3	on the project, he might be able to better
4	explain the considerations that came into
5	our design, if that will help.
6	MR. PAEPRER: Sure, please.
7	MR. LEEMAN: Hi, my name is Eric
8	Leeman(ph). With regard to the existing
9	building, most of the Friendly's were built
10	in that direction. In the eyes of Taco
11	Bell, and in the case of modifying that
12	roofline the way it is, is that there is no
13	modifying. It would be a complete
14	rebuilding of it. Even if so, I think there
15	is a balance between the existing
16	surroundings, as well as any
17	recommendations. And I think I can bear
18	witness.
19	MR. GIANNICO: My comments were not
20	to modify. It was to observe it, and bring
21	your color schemes to work with that
22	existing
23	MR. PAEPRER: One of the other
24	questions from our architect, on item number
25	ten, the drive-through menu details were

	Page 7
1	submitted. As provided, the frame is black.
2	And there are no dark brown features on the
3	design. Could this be all black to match
4	the design elements?
5	MR. LEEMAN: Yes, it can.
6	MR. PAEPRER: Any other questions
7	from any other board members? If not, is
8	there a motion to send this to a public
9	hearing?
10	MR. GIANNICO: I make a motion to set
11	this to a public hearing.
12	MR. PAEPRER: All in favor?
13	THE BOARD: Aye.
14	MR. PAEPRER: All opposed?
15	THE BOARD: (No verbal response.)
16	MR. PAEPRER: Number two on the has
17	been removed from tonights agenda.
18	The next one, Lake Casse, 254 Croton
19	Falls Road. I am anticipating some
20	questions from the public tonight. I ask
21	when you come, please state your name. We
22	have a court stenographer here tonight. We
23	anticipate there be a large turnout.
24	Please, clearly state your name. This is
25	also on the zoning board agenda for a week

	Page 8
1	from tomorrow.
2	MR. CORMIOSA: Good evening. Thank
3	you Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board.
4	Robert Cormiesa(ph), Homeland Towers LLC,
5	and Verizon Wireless.
6	MR. PAEPRER: Mike, comments?
7	MR. CARNAZZA: My only comment is
8	that they still need from the zoning board,
9	they are on the agenda for a week from
10	tomorrow. Once that is done, everything is
11	set for me.
12	MR. PAEPRER: Rich?
13	MR. CLEARY: The applicant has been
14	addressed. There are a couple of items that
15	they still need to look at. But nothing
16	that would stop the public hearing.
17	MR. PAEPRER: Pat?
18	MR. CLEARY: This is on for tonight
19	for a public hearing, and I think we should
20	keep that in mind.
21	MR. PAEPRER: I think we would like
22	to go in order. I will go through the
23	audience. If you would like to come up,
24	we'll start in the front row. Just state
25	your name clearly, so the court stenographer

Page 9 can capture it for the record. 1 2 MR. CLEARY: I think the applicant 3 would like to make a presentation. MR. PAEPRER: I think we want to hear 5 from the public tonight. MR. CORMIOSA: I will try to keep it 7 brief tonight. This is a permit and site 8 plan for a Verizon Wireless communication 9 facility at 254 Croton Falls Road, in the 10 Town of Carmel. It was originally filed on 11 August 2, 2018. It included a 180-foot cell tower, which has now been reduced to a 12 13 height of 120 feet. 14 As part of the application over the 15 past year, we have submitted a number of 16 documents, including a site plan application 17 form, disclosure statements investigating 18 the needs of the property, as well as 19 restrictions and the site plan certification 20 form. We submitted the assessment form, as 21 well as from the assessor, if all goes well. 22 Included with that are letters to the US 23 Fish and Wildlife Service in DC, noting

threatened and endangered species, including

bats. That tree cutting should be limited

24

Page 10

from October first to March 31st, during that time.

We submitted the proper certification letter. The tower will be constructed in accordance with all of the structural standards, and specific procedures. We submitted a radio frequency report, and we did a worst case scenario report. Between the carriers, it would actually be at 1.76 That's 55 times below the federal percent. warrant. We submitted an RF radio frequency investigational report, including a service plan, which I will get to in a moment. As far as that, we showed different gaps based on different frequency plans. We showed that in the 700 megahertz frequency gap, which is the larger gap, there is approximately 788 residents, as well, in that area.

We actually did a bypass back on February third, of this year. And maintained property, and tested at multiple heights. Tested the facility at multiple heights. 160 feet, and it's at 140 feet, the current proposal. We also did KPI, as

1

23

24

well as number of dropped calls and failures. We submitted an FAA opinion letter, from the FAA opinion on the tower. And we submitted a co-location letter. We submitted a letter from Elocal Solutions.

We do have an application we are going to file this week, based on the board to the ECB. There are two wetlands in the area, running utilities in the access drive. They will be approximately 84 feet from the wetland. We submitted a letter from the DEC confirming there are no violations on the property. We submitted a property value appraisal report, from 15 different existing towers in the past five years, both with a view and without a view of the existing facility, and determining there was no declination in property values. We submitted a visual analysis, including six balloon tests over the non-leaf foliage time of the year. And a rendering now, of 40 feet, at this time.

As far as the site plan, the facility is centrally located on the property. There is a proposed eight-foot-tall fence. The

24

height of the standing tower is six feet.

That also has privacy slots. The Verizon equipment in the compound, is a ten-foot by 12-foot pad.

In addition, we are proposing to plant trees, and twelve 20-foot spruces. were planning on using the existing access road until all of the setbacks are met. far as offsite presences, the code requires 200 feet, and we have approximately 270 feet. Going back to the locational priority, if it's possible for us to go back to the lights in the front, last year we had submitted a radio frequency report assessed by the consultant. As far as that report, we showed a couple of maps. This is a map overlaid on it's own map. And this rounding of the dots are the Verizon Wireless existing sites. In fact, they are co-located in the green area that is shown in the covering from those sites. If we had shown the 21 megahertz, this is actually the best case scenario. All of the co-location opportunities, the structure opportunities, and all of the zone opportunities, are shown

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13 as being used. This is in the residential 1 2 zone this is in. In addition, I would be 3 happy to answer any questions from the 4 board. 5 MR. PAEPRER: Any questions from the 6 board? MR. STONE: Do you happen to have a 7 8 similar coverage map that would show the 9 change in coverage from the cell tower? 10 MR. CORMIOSA: Yes, we do. This is a 11 700-megahertz band. In the full report we 12 have all various heights, all the various 13 frequency bands, at best-case scenario. 14 This is the coverage at a 136-foot sima 15 line, maximum height 40 feet. As you can 16 see, it does, thus far, cover the gap area as on the outline. 17 18 MR. PAEPRER: Thank you. Just for 19 everyone that is going to speak tonight, 20 we're getting some feedback. Just speak 21 into the microphone within three to four 22 inches. I personally would like to hear 23 from the public. 24 Keep in mind, the first tower is the 25 Croton Falls tower. Would anybody like to

Page 14 1 be heard on the Croton Falls Road tower? 2 anybody would like to be heard on the Croton 3 Falls Road tower, please come up. MR. STONE: I would make a motion to 4 5 open a public hearing in regards to the 254 6 Croton Falls Road Tower. 7 MR. PAEPRER: I'll second. All in 8 favor? 9 THE BOARD: Aye. 10 MR. PAEPRER: Okay, 254. 11 MS. DONELLY: Hi, my name is Emily 12 Donelly(ph). I am not sure that people at 13 home can hear right now. I am not sure that 14 anybody can hear what's going on. They can 15 see it, but they are not hearing anything. 16 MR. PAEPRER: No, he just gave the 17 thumbs up. 18 MS. DONELLY: I mean, like, they are 19 seeing it but not hearing anything. 20 So, I had a couple of things. One 21 thing was that the last thing they discussed was the possibility of them putting up 22 23 poles. As far as running everything 24 underground, I don't want seven telephone 25 poles on my property.

MR. PAEPRER: At the last meeting, we did address that. We were told there would be no telephone poles.

MS. DONELLY: Okay. And another thing, I would like to see a maintenance agreement. I know they did it in Philipstown, in which Homeland would take care of the property in the easement of what they would be accessing. It was also agreed upon that they pave the entire location they would be digging. As well as the fact when the Deals did construct the entire house, they did not meet the site mandate required. So, I would like that taken care of. Their drainage issue has become my drainage issue.

Additionally, in regards to the maintenance agreement, I am now the only person taking care of that entire easement area. If they are going to be putting this on their property, I would like some sort of help from Homeland. Another thing I would like to add, is that what was put upon for Philipstown, was that they put up cedar fencing. And they would agree to be in charge of dismantling it. And I would hope

for that in this scenario.

Additionally, I know they had discussed in that last meeting, that they had tried to alter the cell tower in some way that they would be able to do that potentially. I would like to be able to know now, before they do that now, what the next proper procedure would be. For example, if they would like to increase height by ten to 20 feet, I would like to be aware as a landowner, and also the public, what the next steps would be. Those were a couple of my main concerns. And that was another item on the Philipstown. Those were some of the main issues.

In regards to Homeland, I would like to be paid, since they are going to be digging everything up. I would like some sort of drainage issue fixed that the Deals did not do, that was site-mandated when they constructed the property. Again I would like everything to be underground, no poles, and the other issue being the drainage.

MS. FIARA: My name is Gail
Fiara(ph), and I live at 301 Croton Falls

Road.

There is a problem with all of the applications, because I have a letter from the New York State Parks and Recreation Historical Society, from William Krattinger(ph). Where I live, we have property next door, 292 to 200.

Specifically 294 was a home that Richard Yates, the famous author, lived in. And he wrote a book in the well house.

So I did, I think my first letter to the Board was in December 2018, explaining this. And I just now got this letter, I would like to read it. They are, all of Homeland's applications are going to need to be redone. This is from the well house, and the fountain house, number 294 Croton Falls Road. And it's Richard Yates, related for the state eligibility. The state has a register.

And they wrote, dear Mrs. Fiara(ph), thank you for taking the time, for taking the time to meet with us and my colleagues, in July, on the property. And we appreciated the opportunity to see the

Page 18

former Putnam Playhouse property, and particularly the town in which the Yates family resided between 1956 and 1961. And as well as the well house, in which Mr. Yates wrote often, Revolutionary Road, which was later made into a movie. The work published in 1961 is today viewed as one of the more important works of contemporary American literature, and which received acclaim along Tennessee Williams and Kurt Vonnegut.

There has been a careful assessment of these resources, and we have concluded that they have satisfied the criteria. More specifically, a property appears to satisfy criteria in the area of literature for it's direct and familial association with the Yates, all while the Yates family resided there. The writer and novelist, the name of the author, he resided on the Croton Falls Road property. He resided on the property following his family's relocation to the Mahopac area. The property contains the dwelling where the Yates family resided, 294 Croton Falls Road. We have tenants living

in there for 15 years now.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In regards to the outbuilding, a well house, he used it for a small but comfortable writing space. It was there that Yates authored Revolutionary Road, which was chosen as a finalist for the National Book Award in 1962. Both the cabin and the well house survive today, and maintain a historic interrelationship with one another. The larger setting is largely intact today as at the time, so far as the various playhouse cabins where the some more stock theater was housed. This is all recognized for years in the Putnam Historical. They have the landscape terraces, along with natural landscape features, and round-out the setting. These features collectively provide a historically accurate sense of place, relative to the Yates's occupancy period in which he offered the work, and placed his name among the leading American authors of America. Little changed from the time that Yates used it as a small, secluded work space.

It provides an authentic glimpse into

Page 20

the writing of Revolutionary Road, for which he is best known. This determination of his eligibility is his listing on the SNRHP, which takes some time to complete, in terms of compliance, Section 1409 of the New York State Historical Preservation Act of 1980, and Section 106 of the Historical Preservation Act of 1986.

And it states, there is no distinction between determinability, and actual listing on the registered. And as such, eligibility in relation to the Yates-related sources should be taken into consideration relative to any action that triggers these historical preservation laws. Eligibility will be noted, information systems shortly. Thank you for your interest in pursuing this.

And he says if I have any other recommendations, to call him. And anybody who would like a copy of this, I would be glad to give them a copy of it.

MR. PAEPRER: I think the Board would like a copy of it.

MS. FIARA: Okay. And the other

thing, it's number five, as we all know on the one through six. When Mr. Deal, I know he said he was going to build his retirement home, he said there would be zero impact on his neighbors. And no one knew he had this in mind. But because of the way the public was notified, we didn't know right away. But, thank you God, we did find out about it. We can give this all we got. I also have the DEC report. I have all kinds of reports I have read thoroughly. They all say it's not in contingence to any historical place which is even being considered. So, I would believe these applications would all have to be altered.

I have copies, and says is it in within contingence? Is it within a place being considered? And he always wrote no.

And he has to say yes, because no is not the truth. And this is a similar situation to the Agar(ph) property. I think it was in 2015, they tried to put a tower on. And they will always be recognized also as historic, but they are not listed. So I know there is no difference if you are

Page 22

listed, or as Mr. Krattinger(ph) said. And I will be happy to give anyone a copy. And I also have the FCC form 620. That also has the same thing, that needs to be changed to state it.

My house is 497 feet from this tower.

My son and daughter-in-law live near the

294, where Yates lived. And it's all you

can see right back there. It's not that

far. And my daughter and her husband live

at 310, and my three grandchildren. And I

know you can't bring up any health things

with all of this, but I don't know why

anyone would want this, who cares about

their families. Especially children.

Just one other thing. They already were issued the one variance when they constructed their retirement home, so now would need three or four more variances.

MR. PAEPRER: We would be happy to take any documentation you have.

MS. FIARA: All of these other ones with how it was being considered, I think you all have a lot of that. I will give you this final letter, where they really

Page 23 1 accepted it. MR. PAEPRER: Thank you. 3 MS. FIARA: Thank you. 4 MR. PAEPRER: Would anyone else like 5 to speak on behalf of Croton Falls Road? 6 MS. SWANSON: Good evening, my name is Emily Swanson(ph). And this is my 8 partner. We are located within 1,000 feet 9 within Croton Falls Road. We are first-time 10 homeowners. 11 We thought our biggest troubles would 12 be moving in and maintaining character of 13 our historic house, which was built decades 14 before cell towers even existed. Instead it 15 has been an enigma, and a source of anxiety, 16 making us revisit our plans of whether or 17 not we plan to continue to live in this 18 area, in which I was born and raised. Our 19 home has been a major investment, like many 20 folks who are here today. But it seems like 21 one neighbor has another plan for us, so 22 where is our break? 23 We are not prepared to have our home 24 value adversely affected, so others could

collect a check. Is the town prepared to

Page 24

1 give everybody within 1,000 feet a tax 2 break? Or maybe we can take our check in 3 the form of a share from Verizon? yet, a check by Homeland Towers, fueled by 5 greed? Because hey, they don't have to live 6 next it. Commercial activity of this scale 7 and nature has no place in residential 8 areas. Thank you. 9 MR. PAEPRER: Anyone else like to be

MR. PAEPRER: Anyone else like to be heard?

MR. LEAVENAR: Thank you. Ron Leavenar(ph), resident of Stacey Lane.

I believe our home is either the closest home to the actual site of the tower, or very nearly the closest home to the site. I wanted to just touch on a couple of questions that I am hoping the Planning Board and Homeland Towers could respond to.

First I want to highlight and come back to the point that Gail made. When the property in question was originally subdivided, the property owner came before the town and asked for a variance. And presented various arguments in favor of the

25

10

11

12

13

23

24

25

variance. And also made no mention
whatsoever of the current proposed use. And
it really wasn't very long ago. So, it
strikes me as strange that someone could
come before the town, and fail to tell the
truth.

I don't know whether the homeowner at the time didn't have these plans, I can't say. But in any case, even if it was the truth at the time, the idea that the town authorities would grant the variance on those grounds, that would end up being simply not true. And when you ask to grant another variance for the additional uses, and couldn't, or wouldn't take that change into account, just seems strange to me. If there is a reason why that's okay, or if there is a reason why it shouldn't be taken into account, or if it has been taken into account, or if there is a determination it doesn't make a difference, I would like to get an explanation. And I am guessing other members of the public would as well.

The other point I am hoping to touch on, goes to the analysis that Homeland

provided. They mentioned today, and provided documentation around analysis regarding loss of property value. provided analysis around a sufficiency of coverage. And they provided analysis, that I would understand to be an effort to show they complied with the prioritization efforts of our local code. I certainly don't have the technical knowledge to judge the quality of the analysis with respect to coverage sufficiency, or with respect to the other potential sights. But with respect to loss of property value, I certainly have an insight on the topic. And I think anyone who has been a homeowner in this town, or really anywhere else, has a feel for the likelihood that that analysis is really thorough and unbiased.

And it calls into question for me, and perhaps other members of the public, and hopefully the Board, whether the other two analyses are thorough and objective. Or whether they are irretrievably motivated by the desire to get the application accepted.

So the question I would appreciate

24

getting an answer to from Homeland, what

sort of insurance could they provide us with

respect to the analysis? What can they tell

us about the team that performed those

analysis? And especially whether they would

be willing to boost the credibility, to have

independent experts to provide analysis, and

provide those to the Board.

MS. SIMON: I'm sorry, I came late.

MS. SIMON: I'm sorry, I came late So, I apologize if I repeat anything.

Jennifer Simon(ph). I live at Two Weber Hill Road. So, I live right next to the property that this tower is proposed on.

And I have a few questions regarding a couple of things that have been probably stated.

First, one of the things that has come up a few times was that the site that was chosen by the Homeland Towers is fifth on the priority list location-wise, on the town code. And the last hearing, they still hadn't answered whether or not they had evaluated, and why they had chosen something so far down on the priority list. I want to know what that answer is. It seems to me a

residential site second to last on the priority list seems to be a chosen zone at random.

Second, I know that Homeland Towers stated that they have lots of studies showing that home values increase within a radius of a tower. The science says otherwise. And I would just like to know who conducted those studies, and if they were going to be made available to us to use, as well.

I would also to know if they used special economic analysis, or what they used for that study. Because according to the National Association of Realtors, and economics and finance, and also the National Association of Appraisal Institute, and the National Institute for Public Policy, that's not true. According to them, there is a significant overcost. And declining values of two and a half percent, on average. And for homes within view of those towers, the decline is 9.7 percent. So the three most reputable real estate and public policy institutes in the country, and globally, say

Page 29

otherwise. So, I would like to know where they got this information.

And if this does go through, I would like to know how the properties directly abutting this, whether they would be compensated for this loss. There is other loss in other towns, and other counties, across the United States. I would like to know if I am going to be compensated at all for the loss of value of my home.

MR. PAEPRER: Thank you. Show hands, would anyone else like to speak? Please.

MR. DRIVSKOSKI: My name is Drev

Drivskoski(ph). We live at 24 Stacey Lane,
so actually very close to mine. Just a
couple of observations.

Where is the value? I am sure most of you shopped and purchased a home before. If had you a choice for the cell tower for the same price, I am telling you which one you would buy? Somebody tells you otherwise, look at those analyses. I am sure someone did analyses in the real estate values, the market, home values, the market is climbing. Not back to what it was, but

Page 30 1 two or three percent higher. So, if you 2 still have some kind of appreciation in 3 value. Second one, variance. Did somebody say what variance needs to be cleared? Can 6 somebody say what variances need to be 7 cleared before this is approved? 8 MR. PAEPRER: It's on the zoning 9 board agenda, a week from tomorrow. 10 MR. DRIVSKOSKI: I read some 11 information. It was from 180 to 160? 12 MR. PAEPRER: Now 140. 13 MR. DRIVSKOSKI: And I think I saw 14 somewhere, that the permit requires, and the 15 zoning is 78? 16 MR. PAEPRER: 75. 17 MR. DRIVSKOSKI: So, 100 percent 18 higher than what is allowed by zoning? 19 MR. PAEPRER: That is correct. 20 MR. DRIVSKOSKI: I see that some were 21 looking at one neighbor to another neighbor, 22 and there was no exchange here. They didn't 23 like the window looking from the other 24 house, because it was against the zoning. 25 Obviously, the zoning board didn't approve

	Page 31
1	of it. And it was not nearly close to the
2	height of the tower.
3	And last of all, the health. I know
4	there is no proof that cell phones, which we
5	are all attached to, are any kind of health
6	hazard. You know what? 20 years ago, they
7	said aspirin is the best thing you can have.
8	And if you look at the reports from the
9	government, you are not going to last long.
10	You know?
11	MR. PAEPRER: Anyone else wish to be
12	heard on Croton Falls Road?
13	MS. DONELLY: I am not speaking
14	again, but I am still letting you guys know
15	there is still no sound. Just keeping you
16	updated so you are all aware.
17	MR. GIANNICO: I would like to make a
18	motion to keep this open.
19	MR. CLEARY: Mr. Chairman, identify
20	the date for this public hearing? That
21	would be September 11th?
22	MR. PAEPRER: When we come back here,
23	it goes to the zoning board eight days from
24	now. And comes back to us, the planning
25	board.

	Page 32
1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: And when do you
2	make a final decision?
3	MR. PAEPRER: After we consult with
4	them.
5	AUDIENCE MEMBER: After September
6	11th?
7	MR. PAEPRER: It's a public hearing
8	on the 11th. And you can still make written
9	comments if you like.
10	MR. CORMIOSA: The only thing was
11	that we didn't get the letter that was read
12	before. If I can also have a copy of
13	anything else submitted to the record? And
14	just note, we have permits of the EAF with
15	regard to August of last year.
16	MS. MONROE: My name is Linda Monroe,
17	and I live on Eleanor Drive. I want to
18	know, the height of the tower went from 180
19	to 140, and Dixon is 110. Can I get an
20	explanation why it's 140?
21	MR. PAEPRER: That will be discussed
22	at zoning board. This is about coverage.
23	There is adequate coverage at Dixon Road,
24	and it's all about coverage. What we want
25	to try to avoid is having several.

	Page 33
1	MS. MONROE: Maybe you can. Maybe we
2	don't have to have a monster, and have one a
3	little bit down the road. And we don't have
4	to be the one to take care of everybody.
5	MR. PAEPRER: We will discuss that at
6	the next board.
7	MR. GIANNICO: I make a motion to
8	open item number four, 36 Dixon Road.
9	MR. PAEPRER: I will second that.
10	MR. CORMIOSA: Good evening, again.
11	For the record, Robert Cormiosa(ph), with
12	the law firm of Schneider and Schneider, on
13	behalf of Verizon Wireless.
14	MR. PAEPRER: So, I am getting a
15	feedback that the people with Verizon TV are
16	getting it okay. Possibly feedbacks on
17	different networks, we don't know.
18	MR. PAEPRER: Any comments on Dixon
19	Road?
20	MR. CARNAZZA: Next for the height,
21	the driveway, and the
22	MR. CLEARY: Final memo. Still
23	comments in there to be addressed so the
24	applicants are aware of those comments, and
25	we will address them.

Page 34

MR. CLEARY: I just want to point out that we have a court reporter taking down every comment. We understand there are some complications here today.

MR. PAEPRER: That's actually why, we anticipated, we wanted to capture properly.

MR. CORMIOSA: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Again, in light of the prior
presentation during the civil comments, this
is an application for a site plan permit
approved through the planning board. This
is an application for 36 Dixon Road, that
originally included a 157-foot tower.

We, again, did submit all the of the various materials, deeds, and restrictions.

We submitted the environmental assessment form, and certification letter. We also submitted a radio frequency exposures report, license carriers with letters, 3.34 of the allowable one percent notation, approximately 25 times below the FCC unit.

Again, we did receive the radio frequency report. The service plan, submitted back on August 2, 2018, it did include a full analysis. We submitted with that a radio

Page 35

frequency coverage report, approximately 949 residences within that gap area. We submitted the drive tests an April 22nd.

150 feet, 130, and 110 for Verizon Wireless. We submitted the drop call and success failure data. We submitted, FAA writing and walking. We submitted a co-location. And also property appraisal, at that time. 50 studies, in a five-year period. That study does include all of the data, doesn't include the square footage.

That property, basically the data was right there for everyone to look at. And that's on record. That methodology is on numerous accusations in various courts. In Philipstown, and the town of Kent, that's that methodology. We did submit, based on the ballon and crane test, equal analysis, the balloon test.

Again, this site plan includes utilities, an eight-foot fence, antennas.

The equipment that, in this case, opens the existing access drive. And proposing an access drive to approve that path, we agreed to abide by the town. 35 trees and spruces,

Page 36

as well as the additional spruces. As far as the setbacks and all of the setback requirements, as far as an outside presence, requires offsite. Code requirement is 225 feet. We have approximately 375 feet.

MR. PAEPRER: Thank you. At this time, I want to hear from the public.

MR. MONTANARA: My name is Robert

Montanara(ph). I live at 30 Brittany Lane,
within a few hundred feet of this proposed
tower. This tower proposed 30-some-odd-feet
from the property line.

I represent a group of people here.

And much of what I talk about, you will be receiving in a formal brief from my attorney. And interesting of it, a ten acre parcel they have chosen. I have heard about Homeland and their attorney making claims of no property values being damaged.

Just on the rare instance of an attorney for a for-profit corporation, whether giving misleading data or making a mistake, we went out and did our homework. We went out and spoke to a dozen local realtors. They make their money, make a

Page 37

percentage. You would think they wanted a cell phone tower in everybody's front yard. What did we find? We found quite the opposite. They agreed it decreases. What they could not agree upon was the percentage. We have percentages by as much as a ten percent decrease in the adjacent surrounding homes. Up to 30 percent.

I took a ride around, and I counted

30 homes between Angelo, Dixon, Brittany, and Bianca, roughly affected by this 150-foot Homeland tower. Those 30 homes range in value from \$400,000, to an excess of \$700,000. It's a conservative estimate of half a million dollars, and multiply it That's \$15 million in home values. by 30. I took the realtor percent, and 20 percent of \$15 million in home values? \$3 million. Those same 30 homes pay close to \$500,000. Let me say that again, \$500,000 in real-estate and school taxes to this town every year. These numbers are staggering, and are certainly large enough to be considered for a litigation.

When you receive our brief, you will

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 38

also get various esthetic letters from our homeowners, that it has destroyed the beauty of where they live. To add insult to injury, the rent for this cellphone tower is going to go to a private individual, instead of looking for alternate sites in the town, where the town would be the landlord, collect the money, and perhaps use that money to hold over the property taxes that all of the people in this town are paying.

I have seen bad deals. But I would describe this as a bad, bad deal. And I would summarize it as being bad planning. So, we implore this board to turn down the variances. We will gladly walk you through Angelo, Dixon, Brittany, around all properties. We can walk you around these sights that are non-intrusive, and can bring money around the town if a tower is even needed in this area. When you see bad data being brought to you on one point, you should be considering all of the data you are being given.

With all due respect, I implore this Board to recommend these variances are not

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 39

granted, and this tower not be built.

MR. PAEPRER: Thank you. Anyone else on Dixon Road like to be heard? Please.

M. GUERRA: My name is David Guerra(ph), 34 Brittany Lane.

Most of what I wanted to say has been stated and covered. But I myself have three letters from realtors, saying exactly what Rob had said. So if, in fact, the data collected by Schneider and Schneider and Homeland Towers is different than the information we are finding in town, is different, I think we should all take a look at the percentages. I think it's up to the town, the Board, to do that research on all of that information. And, at least, give us the benefit of the doubt. And take a look at all of that information to be sure that their information is credible. We are all homeowners here. We have all worried about property values. I think we are all in agreeance with that.

MR. PAEPRER: Anyone else like to be heard on Dixon Road? So, just like Croton Falls Road, this will be on for a week from

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 40
1	tomorrow.
2	MR. GIANNICO: And I make a motion to
3	keep the public hearing open. Make a motion
4	to
5	MR. PAEPRER: Until September 11,
6	2019?
7	MR. GIANNICO: Sorry. Keep the
8	public meeting until September 11, 2019.
9	MR. PAEPRER: All in favor?
10	THE BOARD: Aye.
11	MR. GIANNICO: I will make a motion
12	to adjourn the meeting.
13	MR. PAEPRER: All in favor.
14	THE BOARD: Aye.
15	MR. PAEPRER: Thank you.
16	(Time Noted: 7:40 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

# 1 CERTIFICATE 2

3

I, Jennifer Nicole French, Certified Court Reporter, before whom this proceeding was taken, do Hereby state on the Record:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

5

6

This to be a true and accurate transcript of the aforesaid proceeding and that due to the Interaction in the spontaneous discourse of the proceedings, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method for a Court Reporter's transcription of proceedings, and that the dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript;

14

15

16

17

That any words and/or names which could not Be verified through reference material have

been denoted with the parenthetical "(ph)."

18

19

20

21

22

23

JENNIFER NICOLE FRENCH

24

	21 12.22	70 20 15	11 1 0 1 1
1	<b>21</b> 12:22	<b>78</b> 30:15	addressed 8:14
1 1:7	<b>225</b> 36:4	<b>788</b> 10:18	33:23
<b>1,000</b> 23:8 24:1	22nd 35:3	<b>7:00</b> 1:10	adequate 32:23
<b>1.76</b> 10:9	<b>24</b> 29:14	<b>7:40</b> 40:16	adjacent 37:7
<b>100</b> 30:17	<b>25</b> 34:21	8	adjourn 40:12
<b>10541</b> 1:8	<b>254</b> 7:18 9:9 14:5	<b>84</b> 11:10	advancing 3:17
<b>106</b> 20:7	14:10	9	adversely 23:24
11 40:5,8	<b>270</b> 12:10		aforesaid 41:8
<b>110</b> 32:19 35:4	<b>292</b> 17:7	9.7 28:23	afternoon 3:12,14
11th 31:21 32:6,8	<b>294</b> 17:8,17 18:24	949 35:1	4:2,4
<b>12</b> 12:4	22:8	9844 41:21	agar 21:21
<b>120</b> 9:13	3	a	agenda 7:17,25
130 35:4	3 37:18	abide 35:25	8:9 30:9
<b>136</b> 13:14	<b>3.34</b> 34:19	able 6:3 16:5,6	ago 25:3 31:6
<b>14</b> 1:9	<b>30</b> 36:9,11 37:8,10	abutting 29:5	agree 15:24 37:5
<b>140</b> 10:24 30:12	37:12,16,19	accent 2:25 4:10	agreeance 39:22
32:19,20	<b>301</b> 16:25	acceptable 3:13	agreed 15:9 35:24
<b>1409</b> 20:5	<b>310</b> 22:11	accepted 23:1	37:4
<b>15</b> 11:14 19:1	31st 10:1	26:24	agreement 15:6,17
37:16,18	<b>34</b> 39:5	access 11:9 12:7	allowable 34:20
<b>150</b> 35:4 37:12	<b>35</b> 35:25	35:23,24	allowed 30:18
<b>157</b> 34:13	<b>36</b> 33:8 34:12	accessing 15:9	alter 16:4
<b>160</b> 10:24 30:11	<b>375</b> 36:5	acclaim 18:10	altered 21:15
<b>180</b> 9:11 30:11	4	account 25:16,19	alternate 38:6
32:18		25:20	amended 2:2
<b>1956</b> 18:3	40 11:21 13:15	accurate 19:19	america 19:22
<b>1961</b> 18:3,7	<b>400,000</b> 37:13	41:7	american 18:9
<b>1962</b> 19:7	<b>497</b> 22:6	accusations 35:15	19:22
<b>1980</b> 20:6	5	acre 36:16	analyses 26:22
<b>1986</b> 20:8	<b>50</b> 35:8	act 20:6,8	29:22,23
2	<b>500,000</b> 37:19,20	action 2:6 20:14	analysis 11:19
<b>2</b> 9:11 34:24	<b>55</b> 10:10	activity 24:6	25:25 26:2,4,5,10
<b>20</b> 12:6 16:10 31:6	6	actual 20:11 24:14	26:17 27:3,5,7
37:17	<b>60</b> 1:6	add 15:22 38:3	28:13 34:25 35:18
<b>200</b> 12:10 17:7	<b>620</b> 22:3	addition 12:5 13:2	angelo 37:10
<b>200</b> 12:10 17:7 <b>2015</b> 21:22		additional 25:14	38:16
<b>2018</b> 9:11 17:12	7	36:1	answer 3:16 13:3
34:24	<b>700</b> 10:16 13:11	additionally 15:16	27:1,25
<b>2019</b> 1:9 40:6,8	<b>700,000</b> 37:14	16:2	answered 27:22
2017 1.7 40.0,0	<b>75</b> 30:16	address 15:2	antennas 35:21
		33:25	
	** * * *	al Solutions	

[anthony - cell]

anthony 1:17	arguments 24:25	balloon 11:20	hwools 22,22 24.2
anthony's 5:25	asked 24:24	35:19	break 23:22 24:2
anticipate 7:23	aspirin 31:7	4.02	<b>brief</b> 9:7 36:15
anticipated 34:6	assessed 12:14	band 13:11	37:25
anticipated 34.0 anticipating 7:19		bands 13:13	bring 6:20 22:12
	assessment 9:20	based 10:14 11:7	38:18
anxiety 23:15	18:12 34:16	35:17	brittany 36:9
anybody 13:25	assessor 9:21	basically 35:12	37:10 38:16 39:5
14:2,14 20:20	association 18:17	bats 9:25	<b>brought</b> 4:2 38:21
apologize 27:10	28:15,17	bear 6:17	brown 7:2
appears 18:15	attached 31:5	beauty 38:2	<b>build</b> 21:3
applicant 8:13 9:2	attorney 36:16,18	behalf 23:5 33:13	<b>building</b> 4:22,23
applicants 33:24	36:21	believe 4:4 21:14	5:14 6:9
application 9:14	audience 8:23	24:13	<b>built</b> 6:9 23:13
9:16 11:6 26:24	32:1,5	<b>bell</b> 2:2 5:1,7,25	39:1
34:10,12	august 1:9 9:11	6:11	<b>buy</b> 29:21
applications 17:3	32:15 34:24	bell's 5:13	bypass 10:20
17:15 21:15	authentic 19:25	benefit 39:17	c
appraisal 11:14	author 17:9 18:20	best 5:9 12:23	
28:17 35:8	authored 19:5	13:13 20:2 31:7	c 41:1,1
appreciate 26:25	authorities 25:11	better 6:3 24:3	cabin 19:7
appreciated 17:25	authors 19:22	bianca 37:11	cabins 19:12
appreciation 30:2	available 28:10	biggest 23:11	call 20:20 35:5
approve 30:25	avenue 1:6	bit 33:3	calls 11:1 26:19
35:24	average 28:21	black 7:1,3	<b>capture</b> 9:1 34:6
approved 30:7	avoid 32:25	blatant 5:2	captured 5:16
34:11	award 19:7	board 1:1,21,22	care 15:8,14,18
approximately	aware 16:11 31:16	1:23,24,25 2:20	33:4
10:18 11:10 12:10	33:24	3:8,19 4:20 7:7,13	careful 18:12
34:21 35:1 36:5	aye 7:13 14:9	7:15,25 8:3,8 11:7	cares 22:14
april 35:3	40:10,14	13:4,6 14:9 17:12	carl 1:22
architect 2:17 6:2	b	20:23 24:18 26:21	carmel 1:1 9:10
6:24		27:8 30:9,25	carnazza 1:18 2:4
architectural 2:13	back 10:20 12:11	31:23,25 32:22	8:7 33:20
2:16,23	12:12 22:9 24:21	33:6 34:11 38:14	carriers 10:9
architecture 5:5	29:25 31:22,24	38:25 39:15 40:10	34:19
area 10:19 11:9	34:23	40:14	case 6:11 10:8
12:20 13:16 15:19	<b>bad</b> 38:11,12,12	<b>bond</b> 2:9	12:23 13:13 25:9
18:16,23 23:18	38:13,20		35:22
35:2 38:20	<b>balance</b> 5:12 6:15	book 17:10 19:7	casse 7:18
areas 24:8	balances 5:9,13	boost 27:6	cedar 15:23
artas 24.0	<b>ballon</b> 35:18	born 23:18	cell 9:11 13:9 16:4
			23:14 29:19 31:4
		ral Calutions	

Page 2

[cell - destroyed] Page 3

37:2	collectively 19:18	consult 32:3	criteria 18:14,16
cellphone 38:4	color 6:21	consultant 2:13,23	croton 7:18 9:9
centrally 11:24	colors 3:9	12:15	13:25 14:1,2,6
certainly 26:8,13	come 7:21 8:23	contains 18:23	16:25 17:17 18:20
37:23	14:3 24:20 25:5	contemporary	18:25 23:5,9
certification 9:19	27:18 31:22	18:8	31:12 39:24
10:3 34:17	comes 31:24	contingence 21:12	current 10:25 25:2
certified 41:5	comfortable 19:4	21:17	cutting 9:25
chairman 1:16,17	comment 8:7 34:3	continue 23:17	
2:20 3:14 4:5,16	comments 2:6	copied 4:5	d
8:3 31:19 34:8	4:20 6:19 8:6 32:9	copies 21:16	damaged 36:19
change 13:9 25:15	33:18,23,24 34:9	copies 21.16 copy 20:21,22,24	dark 7:2
changed 19:23	commercial 24:6	22:2 32:12	dashes 41:10,13
22:4	communication	cormiesa 8:4	data 35:6,10,12
changes 41:11	9:8	cormiosa 8:2 9:6	36:22 38:20,22
changes 41.11 character 23:12	compensated 29:6	13:10 32:10 33:10	39:9
charge 15:25	29:9	33:11 34:7	date 2:13 31:20
check 23:25 24:2,4	complete 6:13	corporation 36:21	daughter 22:7,10
checked 2:4	20:4	correct 30:19	dave 1:21
children 22:15	compliance 20:5	cote 1:24	david 39:4
choice 29:19	complications	counted 37:9	days 31:23
chosen 19:6 27:19	34:4	counties 29:7	dc 9:23
27:23 28:2 36:17		STATE OF THE STATE	deal 21:2 38:12
civil 34:9	complied 26:7	country 28:25	deals 15:12 16:19
claims 36:18	complies 3:5	county 1:3	38:11
cleared 30:5,7	compound 12:3 concerns 16:13	<b>couple</b> 8:14 12:16 14:20 16:13 24:17	dear 17:21
clearly 7:24 8:25	concluded 18:13	100-10-101 (100-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	dec 11:11 21:10
clearly 7:24 8:25	concluded 18:13	27:15 29:16 court 7:22 8:25	decades 23:13
8:18 9:2 31:19			december 17:12
33:22 34:1	confirming 11:12	34:2 41:5,12	decision 32:2
clients 5:6	conservative 37:14	courts 35:15	declination 11:18
8001980C01P132V1C0034V000 M8003C13V1	consideration	cover 13:16	decline 28:23
climbing 29:25 close 29:15 31:1	5-95 D2 25-31 P5	coverage 13:8,9,14	declining 28:20
98889-38 St. 1888	20:14	26:5,11 32:22,23	decrease 37:7
37:19	considerations 6:4	32:24 35:1	decreases 37:4
closest 24:14,15	considered 21:14	covered 39:7	deeds 34:15
code 1:18 3:6 12:9	21:18 22:23 37:24	covering 12:21	denoted 41:18
26:8 27:21 36:4	considering 38:22	craig 1:16	describe 38:12
colleagues 17:23	construct 15:12	crane 35:18	design 6:5 7:3,4
collect 23:25 38:8	constructed 10:4	credibility 27:6	desire 26:24
collected 39:10	16:21 22:18	credible 39:19	destroyed 38:2
			***

[detailing - fiara] Page 4

detailing 3:4	door 3:3 17:7	enigma 23:15	fact 12:19 15:11
details 2:25 6:25	dots 12:18	entire 15:10,12,18	39:9
determinability	doubt 39:17	environmental	fail 25:5
20:10	dozen 36:24	34:16	failure 35:6
determination	drainage 15:15,15	equal 35:18	failures 11:2
20:2 25:20	16:19,23	equipment 12:3	falls 7:19 9:9
determining 11:17	drev 29:13	35:22	13:25 14:1,3,6
difference 21:25	drive 6:25 11:9	eric 6:2,7	16:25 17:17 18:20
25:21	32:17 35:3,23,24	especially 22:15	18:25 23:5,9
<b>different</b> 10:14,15	driveway 33:21	27:5	31:12 39:25
11:14 33:17 39:11	drivskoski 29:13	estate 28:24 29:23	familial 18:17
39:13	29:14 30:10,13,17	37:21	families 22:15
digging 15:11	30:20	esthetic 38:1	family 18:3,18,24
16:18	drop 35:5	estimate 37:14	family 18.3,18,24 family's 18:22
dimance 2:3,19,21	dropped 11:1	evaluated 27:23	famous 17:9
3:25 4:11 5:3,18	due 38:24 41:8	evening 2:1,19 8:2	far 10:14 11:23
6:1	dwelling 18:24	23:6 33:10	12:9,15 13:16
direct 18:17		everybody 2:2	14:23 19:11 22:10
direction 6:10	e	24:1 33:4	27:24 36:1,3
directly 29:4	e 41:1,1	everybody's 37:2	favor 7:12 14:8
director 1:18	eaf 32:14	exactly 39:8	24:25 40:9,13
disclosure 9:17	<b>easement</b> 15:8,18	example 3:9 16:9	fcc 22:3 34:21
discourse 41:9	ecb 11:8	excess 37:13	features 2:16 7:2
discuss 5:24 33:5	economic 28:13	exchange 30:22	19:17,18
discussed 14:21	economics 28:16	existed 23:14	february 10:21
16:3 32:21	effort 26:6	existent 5:7	federal 10:10
dismantling 15:25	efforts 26:8	<b>existing</b> 6:8,15,22	feedback 13:20
disregard 5:2	eight 11:25 31:23	11:14,16 12:7,19	33:15
disregarding 4:24	35:21	35:23	feedbacks 33:16
distinction 20:10	either 24:13	experts 27:7	feel 5:8 26:16
dixon 32:19,23	eleanor 32:17	explain 6:4	feet 9:13 10:24,24
33:8,18 34:12	electronically 3:23	explaining 17:12	11:10,22 12:1,10
37:10 38:16 39:3	elements 5:21 7:4	explanation 25:22	12:11 13:15 16:10
39:24	eligibility 17:19	32:20	22:6 23:8 24:1
documentation	20:3,12,16	exposures 34:18	35:4 36:5,5,10,11
22:21 26:2	elocal 11:5	eyes 6:10	fence 11:25 35:21
documents 9:16	email 3:14 4:4,6	f	fencing 15:24
dollars 37:15	emily 14:11 23:7		fiara 16:24,25
donelly 14:11,12	endangered 9:24	f 41:1	17:21 20:25 22:22
14:18 15:4 31:13	enforcement 1:18	faa 11:2,3 35:6	23:3
unter demonstration par la manufactura de la Video de Carte de 1977	<b>engineer</b> 1:19 2:23	facility 9:9 10:23	
		11:17,23	

[fifth - house] Page 5

<b>fifth</b> 27:19	frenkel 1:25	16:17 17:15 21:3	help 6:5 15:21
file 11:7	frequency 10:7,11	28:10 29:9 31:9	helpful 4:14
<b>filed</b> 9:10	10:15,16 12:14	38:5	hey 24:5
final 22:25 32:2	13:13 34:18,22	<b>good</b> 2:1,11,19 8:2	<b>hi</b> 6:7 14:11
33:22	35:1	23:6 33:10	higher 30:1,18
finalist 19:6	friendly's 6:9	government 31:9	highlight 24:20
finance 28:16	front 8:24 12:13	grandchildren	hill 27:12
<b>find</b> 21:8 37:3	37:2	22:11	<b>historic</b> 19:9 21:24
finding 39:12	fueled 24:4	grant 25:11,13	23:13
finishes 3:21	fulfilled 4:24	granted 39:1	historical 17:5
<b>firm</b> 33:12	full 13:11 34:24	greed 24:5	19:15 20:6,7,15
first 10:1 13:24	furfaro 1:21	green 12:20	21:13
17:11 23:9 24:20	further 2:6	grounds 25:12	historically 19:18
27:17	g	group 36:13	hold 38:9
<b>fish</b> 9:23	gail 16:24 24:21	guerra 39:4,5	home 14:13 17:8
<b>fit</b> 4:25	gan 10:24 24:21 gap 10:16,17	guess 3:20	21:4 22:18 23:19
five 11:15 21:1	13:16 35:2	guessing 25:22	23:23 24:13,14,15
35:9	gaps 10:14	guys 31:14	28:6 29:10,18,24
<b>fixed</b> 16:19	gaps 10.14 gas 5:15,22	h	37:16,18
focus 4:21			homeland 8:4 15:7
foliage 11:20	<b>getting</b> 13:20 27:1 33:14,16	half 28:21 37:15	15:21 16:16 24:4
folks 23:20	giannico 1:17 3:20	hands 29:11	24:18 25:25 27:1
following 18:22	4:8,13,16,19 5:11	happen 13:7	27:19 28:4 36:18
<b>foot</b> 9:11 11:25	6:19 7:10 31:17	happy 3:15 13:3	37:12 39:11
12:3,4,6 13:14	33:7 40:2,7,11	22:2,20 hazard 31:6	homeland's 17:15
34:13 35:21 37:12	give 20:22 21:9	health 22:12 31:3	homeowner 25:7
footage 35:11	22:2,24 24:1	31:5	26:15
form 9:17,20,20	39:16	hear 9:4 13:22	homeowners
22:3 24:3 34:17	given 38:23	14:13,14 36:7	23:10 38:2 39:20
<b>formal</b> 36:15	giving 36:22	heard 14:1,2 24:10	homes 28:22 37:8
former 18:1	glad 20:22	31:12 36:17 39:3	37:10,12,19
forward 3:17	gladly 38:15	39:24	homework 36:23
<b>found</b> 37:3	glimpse 19:25	hearing 2:18 7:9	hope 15:25
fountain 17:17	globally 28:25	7:11 8:16,19 14:5	hoped 4:21
four 13:21 22:19	go 2:11 8:22,22	14:15,19 27:21	hopefully 26:21
33:8	12:12 29:3 38:5	31:20 32:7 40:3	hoping 24:17
frame 7:1	god 21:8	height 9:13 12:1	25:24
<b>franzetti</b> 1:19 2:8	goes 9:21 25:25	13:15 16:10 31:2	house 15:12 17:10
2:12	31:23	32:18 33:20	17:16,17 18:4
french 41:4,22	going 11:7 12:11	heights 10:23,24	19:3,8 22:6 23:13
	13:19 14:14 15:19	13:12	30:24
	15.17 11.17 15.17	10.14	

housed 19:13	interrelationship	known 20:2	list 27:20,24 28:2
hundred 36:10	19:9	kpi 10:25	listed 21:24 22:1
husband 22:10	intrusive 38:18	krattinger 17:6	listing 20:3,11
i	investigating 9:17	22:1	literature 18:9,16
idea 25:10	investigational	kugler 1:23	litigation 37:24
identify 31:19	10:12	kurt 18:10	little 19:22 33:3
impact 21:4	investment 23:19	1	live 16:25 17:6
implore 38:14,24	irretrievably		22:7,10 23:17
important 18:8	26:23	lake 7:18	24:5 27:11,12
inches 13:22	issue 15:15,15	landlord 38:7	29:14 32:17 36:9
inclined 2:5	16:19,23	landowner 16:11	38:3
include 34:24	issued 4:3 22:17	landscape 19:15	lived 17:9 22:8
35:10,11	issues 3:13 4:21	19:16	living 18:25
included 9:11,22	16:15	lane 24:12 29:14	llc 8:4
34:13	item 6:24 16:14	36:9 39:5	local 26:8 36:24
includes 35:20	33:8	large 7:23 37:23	located 11:24
includes 33.20 including 2:14	items 8:14	largely 19:10	12:20 23:8
9:16,24 10:12	j	larger 10:17 19:10 late 4:3 27:9	location 11:4
11:19	jennifer 27:11		12:23 15:10 27:20
incorporate 5:23	•	law 22:7 33:12	35:7
increase 16:9 28:6	41:4,22	laws 20:15	locational 12:11
independent 27:7	judge 26:9	leading 19:22 leaf 11:20	locations 3:3
indicate 41:10,14	july 17:24		long 25:3 31:9
indicated 3:5	k	leavenar 24:11,12 leeman 6:7,8 7:5	look 3:16 4:22
individual 38:5	<b>keep</b> 5:7 8:20 9:6	leeman's 6:2	8:15 29:22 31:8
information 2:15	13:24 31:18 40:3	left 41:14	35:13 39:13,17
20:16 29:2 30:11	40:7	letter 10:4 11:3,4	looking 5:14 30:21
39:12,16,18,19	keeping 31:15		30:23 38:6
initial 4:1	kent 35:16	11:5,11 17:3,11,13 22:25 32:11 34:17	looks 5:16
injury 38:4	kim 1:23	letters 9:22 34:19	loss 26:3,13 29:6,7
insight 26:14	<b>kind</b> 30:2 31:5	38:1 39:8	29:10
instance 36:20	kinds 21:10		lot 22:24
institute 28:17,18	knew 21:5	letting 31:14 license 34:19	lots 28:5
institutes 28:25	know 15:6 16:2,7	light 34:8	m
insult 38:3	21:1,2,7,25 22:12	lights 12:13	m 39:4
insurance 27:2	22:13 25:7 27:25	liked 4:22,22	PRODUCT PRODUCTOR
intact 19:11	28:4,8,12 29:1,4,9	likelihood 26:17	mahopac 1:8 18:23
interaction 41:9	31:3,6,10,14 32:18	limited 9:25	N) NEC 10 10 10 10 10 10
interest 20:18	33:17	linda 32:16	main 16:13,15
interesting 36:16	knowledge 26:9	line 5:7 13:15	maintain 19:9
interesting 50.10		36:12	maintained 10:22
		30.12	

maintaining 23:12	michael 1:18	nature 24:7	october 10:1
maintenance 15:5	microphone 13:21	near 22:7	odd 36:11
15:17	mike 8:6	nearly 24:15 31:1	offered 19:20
major 23:19	million 37:15,16	need 8:8,15 17:15	offsite 12:9 36:4
making 23:16	37:18,18	22:19 30:6	okay 4:8,18 14:10
36:18,22	mind 8:20 13:24	needed 38:20	15:4 20:25 25:17
mandate 15:13	21:6	needs 5:1,13 9:18	33:16
mandated 16:20	mine 29:15	22:4 30:5	once 8:10
map 12:16,17 13:8	minor 3:1	neighbor 23:21	ones 22:22
maps 12:16	misleading 36:22	30:21,21	open 14:5 31:18
march 10:1	mistake 36:23	neighbors 21:5	33:8 40:3
market 29:24,24	modern 5:14	networks 33:17	opens 35:22
match 7:3	modify 6:20	never 3:24	opinion 11:2,3
material 41:17	modifying 6:11,13	new 1:8 3:2 5:15	opportunities
materials 5:23	moment 10:13	17:4 20:5	12:24,24,25
34:15	money 36:25 38:8	nice 5:14	opportunity 3:11
maximum 13:15	38:9,19	nicole 41:4,22	4:6 17:25
mealpin 1:6	monroe 32:16,16	non 11:20 38:18	opposed 7:14
mean 14:18	33:1	notation 34:20	opposite 37:4
meet 3:11 15:13	monster 33:2	note 32:14	option 5:6
17:23	montanara 36:8,9	<b>noted</b> 3:13 20:16	order 8:22
meeting 1:7 4:2	motion 7:8,10 14:4	40:16	originally 9:10
5:4 15:1 16:3 40:8	31:18 33:7 40:2,3	noticed 3:25	24:22 34:13
40:12	40:11	notified 21:7	outbuilding 19:2
megahertz 10:16	motivated 26:23	noting 9:23	outline 13:17
12:22 13:11	movie 18:6	novelist 18:19	outside 36:3
member 1:21,22	moving 23:12	number 6:24 7:16	overcost 28:20
1:23,24,25 32:1,5	multiple 10:22,23	9:15 11:1 17:17	overlaid 12:17
members 2:20 7:7	multiply 37:15	21:1 33:8	owner 24:23
8:3 25:23 26:20	mural 4:10	numbers 37:22	p
memo 3:22 4:1	n	numerous 35:15	<b>p.e.</b> 1:19
33:22	name 2:20 6:7	0	<b>p.m.</b> 1:10 40:16
memorandum 4:3	7:21,24 8:25	objectionable 2:15	package 3:4
mention 25:1	14:11 16:24 18:19	objective 26:22	pad 12:4
mentioned 26:1	19:21 23:6 29:13	observations	pau 12.4 paeprer 1:16 2:1,7
menu 6:25	32:16 36:8 39:4	29:16	3:18 4:15,18 5:24
met 12:8	names 41:16	observe 6:20	6:6,23 7:6,12,14
method 41:12	national 19:7	obviously 4:25	7:16 8:6,12,17,21
methodology	28:15,16,18	30:25	9:4 13:5,18 14:7
35:14,17	natural 19:16	occupancy 19:20	14:10,16 15:1
	17.10	17.20	20:23 22:20 23:2
	Veritevt Lea	1011	-0.20 22.20 23.2

23:4 24:9 29:11	perspective 5:20	potential 26:12	27:13 35:8,12
30:8,12,16,19	<b>ph</b> 2:21 6:2,8 8:4	potentially 16:6	36:12,19 38:9
31:11,22 32:3,7,21	14:12 16:25 17:6	<b>prepared</b> 23:23,25	39:21
33:5,9,14,18 34:5	17:21 21:21 22:1	presence 36:3	proposal 10:25
36:6 39:2,23 40:5	23:7 24:12 27:11	presences 12:9	proposals 3:1
40:9,13,15	29:14 33:11 36:9	presentation 9:3	proposed 3:8
<b>paid</b> 16:17	39:5 41:18	34:9	11:25 25:2 27:13
paint 3:8	philipstown 15:7	presented 24:25	36:10,11
parcel 36:17	15:23 16:14 35:16	preservation 20:6	proposing 12:5
parenthetical	phone 37:2	20:8,15	35:23
41:18	phones 31:4	price 29:20	provide 19:18
parks 17:4	phrases 41:14	prior 34:8	27:2,7,8
<b>part</b> 9:14	physically 3:24	prioritization 26:7	<b>provided</b> 7:1 26:1
particularly 18:2	place 5:16 19:19	priority 12:12	26:2,4,5
partner 23:8	21:13,17 24:7	27:20,24 28:2	provides 19:25
pat 8:17	placed 19:21	privacy 12:2	<b>public</b> 2:18 7:8,11
path 35:24	<b>plan</b> 2:2,14 5:8 9:8	private 38:5	7:20 8:16,19 9:5
patrick 1:20	9:16,19 10:13	probably 27:15	13:23 14:5 16:11
paul 2:21	11:23 23:17,21	problem 17:2	21:6 25:23 26:20
pauses 41:11	34:10,23 35:20	procedure 16:8	28:18,24 31:20
pave 15:10	planner 1:20	procedures 10:6	32:7 36:7 40:3,8
pay 37:19	<b>planning</b> 1:1 12:7	proceeding 41:5,8	published 18:7
paying 38:10	24:18 31:24 34:11	proceedings 41:10	purchased 29:18
people 14:12	38:13	41:13	pursuing 20:18
33:15 36:13 38:10	plans 10:15 23:16	process 3:17	put 5:19 15:22,23
percent 10:10	25:8	<b>profit</b> 36:21	21:22
28:21,23 30:1,17	plant 12:6	<b>project</b> 3:4 6:3	putnam 1:3 18:1
34:20 37:7,8,17,17	playhouse 18:1	projects 5:22	19:14
percentage 37:1,6	19:12	proof 31:4	putting 14:22
percentages 37:6	please 6:6 7:21,24	<b>proper</b> 10:3 16:8	15:19
39:14	14:3 29:12 39:3	41:12	q
performance 2:9	point 4:25 5:11	properly 34:6	
performed 27:4	24:21 25:24 34:1	properties 29:4	
<b>period</b> 19:20 35:9	38:21	38:17	<b>question</b> 4:9,17 5:20 24:22 26:19
<b>permit</b> 9:7 30:14	poles 14:23,25	property 9:18	26:25
34:10	15:3 16:22	10:22 11:13,13,18	questions 3:16,18
permits 2:10	policy 28:18,24	11:24 14:25 15:8	3:21 4:15 5:25
32:14	possibility 14:22	15:20 16:21 17:7	
person 3:12 15:18	possible 12:12	17:24 18:1,15,21	6:24 7:6,20 13:3,5
personally 13:22	possibly 33:16	18:21,23 21:21	24:17 27:14
	957	24:22,23 26:3,13	quite 37:3
		5.005	

r	regards 2:8 3:21	respect 5:11 26:10	round 19:17
r 41:1	14:5 15:16 16:16	26:11,12 27:3	rounding 12:17
race 2:9	19:2	38:24	row 8:24
AND SECURITY OF SECURITY SECUR	register 17:20	respond 24:19	running 11:9
radio 10:7,11	registered 20:11	response 7:15	14:23
12:14 34:18,22,25 radius 28:7	related 17:18	responses 4:14	
raised 23:18	20:13	restrictions 9:19	S
random 28:3	relation 20:12	34:15	samples 3:12 4:3,7
range 37:13	relative 19:19	retirement 21:3	satisfied 18:14
range 37.13	20:14	22:18	satisfy 18:15
DE TOTAL PERSONNELLE PERSONNEL	relocation 18:22	review 4:7	saw 30:13
raymond 1:24 read 17:14 21:11	removed 4:12 7:17	revise 2:25	saying 39:8
30:10 32:11	rendering 11:21	revisions 2:14 3:2	says 20:19 21:16
real 28:24 29:23	rendition 4:24	revisit 23:16	28:7
37:21	rent 38:4	revolutionary	scale 24:6
really 22:25 25:3	repeat 27:10	18:5 19:5 20:1	scenario 10:8
26:16,17	report 10:7,8,12	<b>rf</b> 10:11	12:23 13:13 16:1
realtor 37:17	11:14 12:14,15	rich 8:12	schemes 6:21
realtors 28:15	13:11 21:10 34:19	richard 1:19 17:8	schneider 33:12
36:25 39:8	34:23 35:1	17:18	33:12 39:10,10
reason 25:17,18	reporter 34:2 41:5	rick 2:7	school 37:21
rebuilding 6:14	reporter's 41:12	ride 37:9	science 28:7
receive 34:22	reports 21:11 31:8	right 14:13 21:7	secluded 19:24
37:25	represent 36:13	22:9 27:12 35:13	second 14:7 28:1,4
received 18:9	reputable 28:24	road 7:19 9:9 12:8	30:4 33:9
receiving 36:15	required 15:13	14:1,3,6 17:1,18	section 20:5,7
recognized 19:14	requirement 2:10	18:5,21,25 19:5	see 3:7 4:23 5:1
21:23	36:4	20:1 23:5,9 27:12	13:16 14:15 15:5
recommend 38:25	requirements 36:3	31:12 32:23 33:3	17:25 22:9 30:20 38:20
recommendations	requires 12:9	33:8,19 34:12	
6:17 20:20	30:14 36:4	39:3,24,25	seeing 14:19 seen 38:11
record 9:1 32:13	research 39:15	<b>rob</b> 39:9	send 7:8
33:11 35:14 41:6	resided 18:3,18,20	robert 1:25 8:4	senu 7:8 sense 19:19
recreation 17:4	18:21,24	33:11 36:8	september 31:21
redone 17:16	residences 35:2	ron 24:11	32:5 40:5,8
reduced 9:12	resident 24:12	roof 5:7	service 9:23 10:12
reference 41:17	residential 13:1	<b>roofline</b> 5:17,19	34:23
regard 4:9 6:8	24:7 28:1	6:12	set 7:10 8:11
32:15	residents 10:18	<b>room</b> 1:7	setback 36:2
regarding 26:3	resources 18:13	roughly 37:11	setbacks 12:8 36:2
27:14			12.0 JU.2
	Veritevt Lea	10.1.2	

setting 19:10,17	son 22:7	stop 8:16	talk 36:14
seven 14:24	sorry 27:9 40:7	strange 25:4,16	
share 24:3	sort 15:20 16:19	strikes 25:4	talkovers 41:11 tall 11:25
shopped 29:18	27:2	structural 10:5	tan 11:25 tax 24:1
shopped 29.18 shortly 20:17	sound 31:15	structural 10:3	
shortly 20.17 show 13:8 26:6	source 23:15		taxes 37:21 38:9
29:11	sources 20:13	studies 28:5,9 35:9	team 27:4
showed 10:14,15		study 28:14 35:9	technical 26:9
12:16	space 19:4,24	subdivided 24:23	telephone 14:24
showing 3:7,8	speak 2:17,18	submission 2:22	15:3
28:6	13:19,20 23:5 29:12	4:1	tell 25:5 27:3
AND SECURITY		submit 34:14	telling 29:20
shown 12:20,22,25	speaking 31:13	35:17	tells 29:21
sides 5:9,12 sidewalk 3:2	special 28:13	submitted 3:3,23	ten 6:25 12:3
	species 9:24	7:1 9:15,20 10:3,7	16:10 36:16 37:7
sights 26:12 38:18	specific 10:6	10:11 11:2,4,5,11	tenants 18:25
sign 3:3	specifically 4:21	11:13,19 12:14	tennessee 18:10
signage 3:4	17:8 18:15	32:13 34:16,18,23	terms 20:4
signature 41:21	spoke 36:24	34:25 35:3,5,6,7	terraces 19:16
significant 28:20	spontaneous 41:9	success 35:5	test 35:18,19
signs 2:5	spruces 12:6 35:25	sufficiency 26:4	tested 10:22,23
sima 13:14	36:1	26:11	tests 11:20 35:3
similar 13:8 21:20	square 35:11	summarize 2:24	thank 4:13 8:2
simon 27:9,11	stacey 24:12 29:14	38:13	13:18 17:22 20:17
simply 25:13	staggering 37:22	sure 6:6 14:12,13	21:8 23:2,3 24:8
site 2:2 9:7,16,19	standards 10:6	29:17,23 39:18	24:11 29:11 34:7
11:23 15:13 16:20	standing 12:1	surrounding 37:8	36:6 39:2 40:15
24:14,16 27:18	start 8:24	surroundings 6:16	thanks 4:13
28:1 34:10 35:20	state 7:21,24 8:24	survive 19:8	theater 19:13
sites 12:19,21 38:6	17:4,19,19 20:6	<b>swanson</b> 23:6,7	thing 14:21,21
sits 5:15	22:5 41:6	systems 20:17	15:5,21 21:1 22:4
situation 21:20	stated 27:16 28:5	t	22:16 31:7 32:10
six 11:19 12:1 21:2	39:7	t 41:1,1	things 14:20 22:12
sizing 2:9	statements 9:17	taco 2:2 5:1,7,13	27:15,17
slots 12:2	states 20:9 29:8	5:25 6:10	think 2:16,18 6:14
small 19:3,24	station 5:15,22	take 5:21 15:7	6:17 8:19,21 9:2,4
snrhp 20:3	stenographer 7:22	22:21 24:2 25:15	17:11 20:23 21:21
society 17:5	8:25	33:4 39:13,17	22:23 26:14 30:13
solutions 11:5	steps 16:12	taken 15:14 20:13	37:1 39:13,14,21
somebody 29:21	stock 19:13	25:18,19 41:6	third 10:21
30:4,6	stone 1:22 3:10	takes 20:4	thorough 26:18,22
	13:7 14:4		
Veritext Legal Solutions			

thoroughly 21:11	town 1:1,19,20	united 29:8	36:7
thought 23:11	2:22 3:6 9:10 18:2	updated 31:16	wanted 24:16 34:6
41:11	23:25 24:24 25:5	use 25:2 28:11	37:1 39:6
threatened 9:24	25:10 26:15 27:21	38:8	warrant 10:11
three 13:21 22:11	35:16,25 37:21	uses 25:14	way 6:12 16:5
22:19 28:23 30:1	38:6,7,10,19 39:12	utilities 11:9 35:21	21:6
39:7	39:15	v	weber 27:11
thumbs 14:17	towns 29:7		week 7:25 8:9 11:7
time 3:15 4:19	track 2:9	value 11:13 23:24	30:9 39:25
10:2 11:20,22	transcript 41:8,15	26:3,13 29:10,17	went 32:18 36:23
17:22,23 19:11,23	transcription	30:3 37:13	36:24
20:4 23:9 25:8,10	41:13	values 11:18 28:6	wetland 11:11
35:8 36:7 40:16	tree 9:25	28:20 29:24,24	wetlands 11:8
times 10:10 27:18	trees 12:6 35:25	36:19 37:16,18	
34:21	tried 5:22 16:4	39:21	whatsoever 25:2
today 18:7 19:8,11	21:22	variance 22:17	wildlife 9:23
23:20 26:1 34:4	triggers 20:15	24:24 25:1,11,14	william 17:5
told 15:2	trims 2:25	30:4,5	williams 18:10
tomorrow 8:1,10	troubles 23:11	variances 22:19	willing 27:6
30:9 40:1		30:6 38:15,25	window 30:23
FC 50 809 S \$18558	true 25:13 28:19	various 13:12,12	wireless 8:5 9:8
tonight 2:17 6:2	41:7	19:12 24:25 34:15	12:18 33:13 35:4
7:20,22 8:18 9:5,7	truth 21:20 25:6	35:15 38:1	wise 27:20
13:19	25:10	verbal 7:15	wish 31:11
tonights 7:17	try 5:21 9:6 32:25	verified 41:17	witness 6:18
topic 26:14	turn 38:14	verizon 8:5 9:8	words 41:14,16
totally 4:24	turnout 7:23	12:2,18 24:3	work 6:21 18:6
touch 24:16 25:24	tv 33:15	33:13,15 35:4	19:21,24
touched 5:4	twelve 12:6	vice 1:17	works 18:8
tower 3:10 9:12	two 7:16 11:8	view 11:16,16	worried 39:20
10:4 11:3 12:1	26:21 27:11 28:21	28:22	worst 10:8
13:9,24,25 14:1,3	30:1	viewed 18:7	writer 18:19
14:6 16:4 21:22	u	violations 11:12	writing 19:4 20:1
22:6 24:15 27:13	unbiased 26:18	visual 11:19	35:6
28:7 29:19 31:2	underground	SCOCIARION CONTROL   No SECRET CONTROL	written 32:8
32:18 34:13 36:11	14:24 16:22	vonnegut 18:11	wrote 17:10,21
36:11 37:2,12	understand 26:6	W	18:5 21:18
38:4,19 39:1	34:3	walk 38:15,17	X
towers 8:4 11:15	AA 989 SAL A COLOR	walking 35:7	
23:14 24:4,18	understood 5:3,18	want 9:4 14:24	x 1:4,12
27:19 28:4,22	5:18	22:14 24:20 27:24	
39:11	unit 34:21	32:17,24 34:1	
		~	
	Varitant I am		

[yard - zoning] Page 12

yard 37:2 yates 17:9,18 18:2 18:5,18,18,24 19:5 19:23 20:13 22:8 yates's 19:20 yeah 6:1 year 9:15 10:21 11:21 12:13 32:15 35:9 37:22 years 11:15 19:1 19:14 31:6 york 1:8 17:4 20:5

Z

zero 21:4 zone 12:25 13:2 28:2 zoning 7:25 8:8 30:8,15,18,24,25 31:23 32:22