CRAIG PAEPRER Chairman

ANTHONY GIANNICO Vice Chairman

BOARD MEMBERS RAYMOND COTE ROBERT FRENKEL VICTORIA CAUSA JOHN NUCULOVIC

TOWN OF CARMEL PLANNING BOARD



60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, New York 10541 Tel. (845) 628-1500 – Ext.190 www.ci.carmel.ny.us MICHAEL CARNAZZA Director of Code Enforcement

RICHARD FRANZETTI, P.E. Town Engineer

TAX MAP # PUB. HEARING MAP DATE COMMENTS

4/28/23

Final Subdivision

PATRICK CLEARY, AICP,CEP, PP, LEED AP Town Planner

PLANNING BOARD AGENDA MAY 11, 2023– 7:00 P.M.

<u>Pl</u>	JBLIC HEARING				
1.	NYCDEP West Branch Auxiliary Dam – 34 Drewville Road	651-5	5/11/23	3/31/23	Site Plan
RE	ESOLUTION				
2.	Platinum Propane – 1035 Route 6	65.10-2-11		3/30/23	Site Plan
<u>SI</u>	TE PLAN				
3.	Willow Wood Country Club, Inc. – 551 Union Valley Road	87.7-1-6, 7 & 1	1	4/28/23	Amended Site Plan
4.	Chang, John – 716 Route 6	76.30-1-26		5/1/23	Amended Site Plan
5.	728 Route 6, LLC – 728 Route 6	76.22-1-54		3/2/23	Amended Site Plan
<u>รเ</u>	JBDIVISION				

76.17-1-17

MISCELLANEOUS

93 Teakettle Spout Road

7. Minutes - 01/25/23, 02/22/23 & 03/22/23

6. ANB Holdings GCCM LLC (Michael Scoca) -



May 1, 2023

Town of Carmel Planning Board 60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, New York 10541

RE: 1035 Rt 6 – Platinum Propane Town of Carmel TM# 65.10-2-11

Dear Chairman Paeprer and Members of the Board:

Please find enclosed the following plans and documents in support of an application for site plan approval for the above referenced project:

- Letter from Platinum Propane, dated April 27, 2023.
- Letter from Stacy Silvers of Hiltz Propane Systems, dated April 27, 2023.

All comments from the Town's consultants to this point have been satisfied.

With regard to comments raised at the public hearing and in letters which we have received, we would offer the following summary responses:

- 1. Though we respect the concerns of the neighboring property owners, this project, as designed, meets the requirements of Town of Carmel zoning, and all NFPA58 safety requirements. The enclosed letter from Stacy Silvers speaks in more detail about the safety requirements and system features.
- 2. With regard to the location of the facility on the site, this is a 1.3 acre development on a 12 acre site. The development is centrally located along the frontage, which provides the maximum distance from the surrounding residential properties. Again, the tanks' distance from the church to the north exceeds requirements (340'+-). It is also approximately 360' from the neighboring property to the south. The tanks sit 120' from the road, and are 450' or more from all other surrounding properties. The residential properties to the east, northeast, and southeast are uphill by 60-80 feet, and separated by forest. The characterization that the surrounding area is densely populated, and that the proposed development is in anyone's backyard is unfounded.
- 3. The New York State Department of Transportation is in the process of reviewing the project relative to the proposed driveway improvements and traffic. Future correspondence will be shared with the Planning Board as the project moves through the process to gain a highway work permit from the NYSDOT.
- 4. Please see the enclosed supplementary letters for specifics on some of the other concerns that have been raised.

Please place the project on the May 11, 2023 Planning Board agenda discussion of the project with the Board. Should you have any questions or comments regarding this information, please feel free to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

INSITE ENGINEERING, SURVEYING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, P.C.

By: Zachary M Pearson, PE

Principal Engineer

ZMP/adt

Enclosures

cc: (All via email only) Joseph Covais, Michael Velardo, Stacy Silvers, Mahopac Fire Department



Millwood, NY 10546 office@goplatinumpropane.com

April 27, 2023

Town of Carmel, NY Attention: Planning Board 60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, NY 10541

Dear Planning Board Members,

We would like to address the concern that was brought up at the previous board meeting by Robin Webb Lopez, regarding Platinum Propane's violations. Our company was founded in 2015 and during the first few years as a young startup company we did receive minor violations that were DOT (Department of Transportation) related to paperwork documentation. Once we received the violations, we took the necessary actions to have those violations corrected.

We take pride in learning from our errors during our beginning years as a young business and can proudly state that as of April 27, 2023, we have no outstanding violations from the various municipalities that we have serviced. We thank the board for their consideration and are hopeful that we will be able to proceed with our project, and conduct business in Carmel.

Should you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact us.

Thank you for your time,

Thank you,

Joseph Covais Michele Velardo

Owners, Platinum Propane 914-666-2323 office@goplatinumpropane.com



Town of Carmel, NY Attention: Planning Board 60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, NY 10541 April 27, 2023

Dear Planning Board Members,

In response to the collective comments from Robin Webb Lopez presented at the April 13, 2023 Planning Board Meeting, I would like to offer some responses and explanations of the proposed propane system.

The site proposed by Platinum Propane was reviewed by our team of engineers who use and consult the NY state adopted 2017 version of the National Fire Protection Association, NFPA 58 code. This code is the industry benchmark for safe LP-Gas storage, handling, transportation and use of propane. Its sole purpose is to mitigate risks and ensure safe installations to prevent failures, leaks and tampering that could lead to fires and explosions. After our team reviewed the proposed system and site features, a complete NFPA 58 Fire Safety Analysis was written for Platinum Propane and submitted to the Town of Carmel Planning Board. All of the responses below are based on this code and how it applies to this specific site and installation.

The proposed propane tank installation uses two new 30,000 gallon, 250 psi, ASME underground propane storage tanks. Because the tanks are underground, NFPA does not require any water availability for container protection. The tanks cannot be damaged by any external fire or heat. There is no water flow rate nor water volume required to cool underground containers exposed to a fire.

The liquid and vapor propane piping for these systems is also designed to follow NFPA 58 codes requiring valves which will automatically shutoff the system in case of thermal actuation. In case of a truck pull-away, breakaway stanchions will be installed which will maintain the integrity of the valves and piping. In other words, the piping is designed to release a minimal amount of propane in the event of any damage or heat placed on the system. This is similar to a gas station, where a pull-away resulting in a break in the hose will only allow the release of the liquid in that hose.

The proposed system is also designed with a manual emergency shutdown system, per NFPA 58. This will allow operators to manually shut down the flow of propane at any time by pressing buttons placed throughout the facility.

Propane is a non-poisonous, non-toxic fuel that will not contaminate soil or groundwater. There is no concern of environmental contamination from the fuel.

Although I do not have official reports to consult on the incident, my understanding of the fires at Barton Orchards were the result of a bobtail delivery driver who may not have shut down the delivery system on a commercial/home-delivery bobtail truck. In addition to the fact that this type of incident may happen at any delivery point, the drivers working at the Platinum Propane proposed site will have a very good understanding of the layout and functions at the site. They will be very familiar with the traffic pattern, safety procedures and overall functions at the site, including emergency shutdown stations.



Sincerely,

tacy librers

Stacy Silvers Director of Projects & Engineering Hiltz Propane Systems 693 West Market Street Marietta, PA 17547



May 1, 2023

Town of Carmel Planning Board 60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, New York 10541

RE: Willow Wood Country Club, Inc. Amended Site Plan Union Valley Road Tax Map No. 87.7-1-6, 7 & 11

Dear Chairman Paeprer and Members of the Board:

Please find enclosed five (5) copies of the following plans and documents in support of an application for Amended Site Plan Approval for the above referenced project:

- Site Plan Drawings (5 sheets total), last revised April 28, 2023.
- Applicant's Memorandum of Law prepared by George Calcagnini dated April 28, 2023
- Letter From Erich Thalheimer to Carmel Planning Board (Willow Wood Amended Site Plan Application Acoustical Responses) dated April 15, 2022
- Opinion of Probable Construction Cost for Restoration Bond Estimate, dated April 28, 2023

With respect to the comments offered by the Building Inspector, Consulting Town Planner and Town Engineer, we offer the following:

Memorandum from Michael G. Carnazza, Director of Code Enforcement, dated February 21, 2023:

- With respect the six (6) items from the Town's noise consultant, Epsilon, please refer to the April 15, 2023 letter from Mr. Erich Thalheimer, responding to each comment.
- Willow Wood Country Club will reach out to the Building Inspector directly to schedule an inspection of the existing sound barriers at the trap field.

Memorandum from Richard J. Franzetti P.E., Town Engineer dated February 16, 2023:

- I. General Comments:
 - 1. Permits

With respect to the permits cited, we offer the following:

- a. It is acknowledged that coverage under NYSDEC General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities, GP-0-20-001 is required as the project is disturbing more than 5,000 square feet but less than 1 acre. As such, all that is required is an erosion control plan. However, based on Town's policy, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) has been provided which provides swale sizing calculations as well as the provision of several rain gardens throughout the property.
- 2. A revised Stormwater Maintenance Agreement has been included as Appendix D in the SWPPP and was included in the previous submission for the Town's review. Included in the Maintenance

3 Garrett Place, Carmel, New York 10512 (845) 225-9690 Fax (845) 225-9717 www.insite-eng.com Agreement is the Schedule A which indicates the stormwater maintenance provisions. The table from the Schedule A can also be found on Drawing SP-2 of the Site Plan Set.

- 3. An Opinion of Probable Construction Cost for Erosion Control Estimate & Drainage Bond has been included for review. The estimate is provided to establish the required performance bond and inspection fee.
- II. Detailed Comments:
 - An additional NYSDEC Wetland Validation field visit was completed with NYSDEC on April 27, 2023 to confirm the extended boundary adjacent to the existing trap field. A DEC Validation map is in the process of being obtained.

Please note the NYSDEC wetland boundary, as it has the potential to impact the proposed improvements, has been survey located and shown on the project drawings since 2019. The additional field visit was to locate the full extents of the NYSDEC Wetland onsite and was done at the request of the neighbors and Town Engineer.

We trust you will find the enclosed information in order and respectfully request this item be placed on your May 11, 2023 Planning Board agenda.

Should you have any questions or comments regarding this information, please feel free to contact our office.

Very truly yours,

INSITE ENGINEERING, SURVEYING & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, P.C.

By: Richard D. Williams, Jr. P.E. Principal Engineer

RDW/jwm Enclosure(s) cc: George J. Calcagnini

Insite File No. 18173.100

ERICH THALHEIMER

INCE BOARD CERTIFIED ACOUSTICAL ENGINEER 27 PETERSON ROAD, NATICK, MA 01760 PHONE: (508) 651-9772, FAX: (508) 315-3510 E-MAIL: <u>THALHEIMER@RCN.COM</u> WEBSITE: <u>WWW.ERICHTHALHEIMER.COM</u>

15 April 2023

George J. Calcagnini Attorney at Law 376 Route 202 Somers, NY 10589

RE: Willow Wood Amended Site Application Acoustical Responses

This letter is intended to respond to an issue recently raised by a Carmel Planning Board member regarding my acoustical report, *Willow Wood Gun Club Community Noise Study*, that was peer reviewed by Epsilon Associates. The question had to do with the locations where I performed my noise measurements, and if those locations were consistent with the Carmel Noise Ordinance.

In response to that request for further information, please be advised that the ambient noise and gunshot noise measurements were performed at or near receiving residential property lines. However, access onto private property was not sought, so the measurements were performed as close as could be obtained on public property. Notably, at those far distances of several thousand feet from the noise source, the difference in noise level would be minimal between the receiving property line and the spot where the noise was actually measured.

That point notwithstanding, there was no need to measure directly on receiving property lines because the gunshot noise measurements were performed at identified locations to be used to calibrate/validate the Cadna-A computer noise model, which was then used to give accurate predictions of noise anywhere in the area.

Based on the noise readings from actual field measurements, and as supported by the Cadna-A computer noise model predictions with the proposed noise mitigation measures in place, gunshot noise levels are expected to fully comply with the Carmel Noise Ordinance at all residential property lines where the noise will be perceived.

Feel free to contact me with any questions or comments.

Professional Certification:

I hereby certify that this plan, specification, or report was prepared or reviewed by me and that I am a duly certified acoustical professional as recognized by the Institute for Noise Control Engineering (INCE).

Eich Thallai

Erich Thalheimer INCE Board Certified No. 20104

PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF CARMEL COUNTY OF PUTNAM : STATE OF NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Application of

WILLOW WOOD COUNTRY CLUB, INC., d/b/a Willow Wood Gun Club,

For an Amended Site Plan.

APPLICANT'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Submitted by:

GEORGE J. CALCAGNINI Attorney for Applicant Office & P.O. Address 376 Route 202 Somers, NY 10589 (914) 277-2255 GCalcagnin@aol.com

Of Counsel:

Charles V. Martabano

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Heading</u>		<u>Page</u>
Table of A	authorities	iii
Introductio	on	1
Point I -	Under The Facts Of This Application, the Appropriate Place To Measure Sound Under the Carmel Noise Ordinance Is Where The Sound Is Perceived	8
Point II -	In The Alternative, If It Was Determined That The Willow Wood Property Line Is The Appropriate Place To Measure Noise, Willow Wood Is Grandfathered In As A Prior Nonconforming Use	11
Point III -	Response To Miscellaneous Allegations Raised In Opposition To The Application	21
	 A. Lead In The Wetlands Is Being Managed And Will Decrease Once The Sporting Clays Course Is Open 	21
	 B. The Fields of Fire Do Not Permit Lead To Leave The Property 	22
	C. Silencers Are Not Permitted	25
	D. The Opposition Has Cited Inapplicable Noise Ordinances	26
	E. Fumes	27

	F.	An Archeological or	
		Historic Resource Survey	
		Was Conducted	
Conclusion	• • • • •		

- iii -

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u> :	Page
<u>Cleere v. Frost Ridge Campground, LLC,</u> 55 Misc. 3d 1206(A) (S. Ct . Genesee Co. 2016)	14
Hunter Sports Shooting Grounds, Inc. v. Foley, 2019 NY Slip Op 33459 (S. Ct. Suffolk Co. 2019)	14, 15
Matter of Borer v. Vineberg, 213 AD 2d 828 (3d Dept. 1995)	12
<u>Matter of Toys "R" Us v. Silva,</u> 89 NY 2d 411 (1996)	
<u>People v. Miller,</u> 304 NY 105 (1952)	13
Town Board of Southampton v. 1320 Entertainment, Inc., 236 AD 2d 387 (2d Dept. 1997)	13
Town of Southampton v. NYS Dept. Of Environmental Conservation, 2023 WL 1824432, 2023 NY Slip Op. 00689 (2/9/23)	11, 12
Willow Wood Rifle & Pistol Club, Inc. v. Town of Carmel, 115 AD 2d 742 (2d Dept. 1985)	2

Statutes:

General Business Law §150	7
Penal Law §265.02 (2)	

Ordinances:

Brookhaven Town Code §85-883(6)	14
Carmel Noise Ordinance	
Article I	
Article II	
Section 104-9	
Section 104-14	

Treatises:

Handbook on Alienation and Conversion of		
Municipal Parkland,		
published by the NYS Office of Parks,		
Recreation and Historic Preservation1	7 (footnote 4),	18

INTRODUCTION

This Memorandum of Law is being submitted on behalf of the the applicant, Willow Wood Country Club, Inc., d/b/a Willow Wood Gun Club (hereinafter referred to as "Willow Wood") in support of its application for an amended site plan to add a sporting clays course to its property.

In 1955 a group of local residents organized a shooting club and purchased the original 11 acres of land that later came to be known as Willow Wood Gun Club. The land was purchased in the name of three members who were New York City policemen (Ernest Kleeber, Vincent Langan and Joseph Volpato) and a few months later was re-conveyed into a newly formed corporation. Many of the original members were New York Police Department Police Officers. They began as a rifle and pistol shooting club and later added trap shooting. The Club was incorporated in 1955 as a Membership Corporation, meaning that the members own the corporation. The Membership Corporation Law has since been repealed and membership corporations are now governed by the Not For Profit Corporation Law.

Once the club was officially formed, the founding members conveyed the 11 acres of land into the new entity, Willow Wood Rifle and Pistol Club, on March 1, 1955. In 1981 the land owned by the Club increased with the purchase of an additional 75 contiguous acres. In 1983 there was litigation with the Town concerning improvements to the Club facilities. The Appellate Division of the Supreme Court resolved that litigation concluding that "Willow Wood's use of the subject property as a gun club is a permitted conditional use under the applicable zoning ordinance provision". <u>Willow Wood Rifle & Pistol Club,</u> <u>Inc. v. Town of Carmel</u>, 115 AD 2d 742 (2d Dept. 1985). The court noted that the ordinance permitting "annual membership clubs, including golf, tennis and swimming clubs are permitted conditional uses" includes gun clubs such as Willow Wood. This use remains a conditional use in the R zone as stated in the current zoning code.

Following the Appellate Division decision Willow Wood formally changed its name in 1986 to Willow Wood County Club, Inc., d/b/a Willow Wood Gun Club.

Conditional Site plan approvals were previously issued in June of 1991 and January 2000. The previous site plans illustrated a main access road, 120 parking spaces, 6 trap shooting ranges, a pistol range, a club house and ancillary site improvements. These improvements were mainly east of the existing access drive. Only the pistol range (which is adjacent to the access drive) and the area for which a tree cutting permit was issued are west of the access drive.

In 2016 Willow Wood obtained an approval from the Town of Carmel Environmental Conservation Board permitted the clearing of about 20 acres west of the previously permitted improvements. This approval is discussed in more detail below.

Willow Wood has now been in continuous operation as a gun club at 551 Union Valley Road since 1955. As set forth above, originally it was rifle and pistol only. Soon after opening, trap shooting was also added to the sporting activities offered at the Club. There are six trap fields in operation as permitted by the previous Town of Carmel Site Plan Approval.

In 1975 sporting clays was introduced to the United States from England. In the late 1970's an informal rudimentary sporting clays course was used intermittently on the hillside where the current sporting clays course is located.

Sometime around 2000 Willow Wood established a five stand field overlaid on two of the trap fields. Five stand is essentially a compact non-walking version of sporting clays.

Sporting Clays has become the most popular shooting sport in the United States. Unlike trap and skeet, where the targets are always exactly the same no matter where you are, with sporting clays the targets are always different. The targets are shot from multiple stations spread out over a large course. Each station consists of multiple mobile trap machines and a wooden cage from which the shooter stands to limit the field of fire. Clay targets are thrown from the trap machines. The target can be thrown at almost any angle or trajectory, including rolling on the ground, at a variety of different speeds. The targets themselves come in a variety of sizes and configurations for different performance characteristics. Targets are released by digital radio signal from the cages and may be released sequentially or simultaneously. Weekly mobile trap locations are reviewed by qualified club personnel and arranged to release targets within the established station limits.

As set forth above, in April 2016 Willow Wood applied for a tree harvesting permit to clear trees from their property, including some areas of what is now the sporting clays course. The permit was issued in July 2016. After the lumber was harvested, the Club mostly used the existing farm roads and skid trails as cart paths for a sporting clays course.

The sporting clays course began operating in its current state in the Fall of 2016. Additional improvements were constructed in order to improve the condition of the course for the benefit of the environment,

- 4 -

sporting clays shooters and neighbors. Many of the existing logging trails were experiencing ongoing erosion. These trails were in part stabilized to provide safer trails for the user and stable surfaces preventing ongoing erosion. Willow Wood is now proposing improvements to the northern portion of the trail. In addition, Willow Wood has proposed additional sound barrier improvements to the course. As designed, the sporting clays course at Willow Wood will be one of the best shooting grounds for recreational and competition shooting of sporting clays in the country.

Each week the course will be reviewed by Chairman of the Sporting Clays Committee, who is a Certified Range Safety Officer and a Level 1 Certified Shooting Instructor, to ensure the field of fire and course arrangement is safe for members. The course will be set weekly by a specific group of designated members responsible for setting the targets. These members are trained in the safety aspects of setting targets on a sporting clays course and in many cases are Certified Range Safety Officers themselves.

The site plan amendment proposed by Willow Wood will continue the current opertations of the trap and five stand fields and seeks to add a sporting clays course. The noise levels will remain exactly the same.

- 5 -

The shooting will simply be added to other areas of the property.

Willow Wood hired the leading experts with respect to outdoor gun ranges. The environmental expert was instrumental in drafting the EPA's Best Practices Management Guide for Outdoor Gun Clubs. He developed an Environmental Stewardship Plan for Willow Wood to manage the environmental issues. The noise expert hired by Willow Wood is renouned for his expertise in dealing with noise from gun clubs. He conducted an extensive study and report on the sound issues. He concluded that with the designated mitigation measures as proposed in the report (which will be implemented as part of the amended site plan approval), the amended site plan will fully comply with the Carmel Noise Ordinance. In his letter dated April 15, 2023 the noise expert, Erich Thalheimer, concluded that:

> Based on the noise readings from actual field measurements, and as supported by the Cadna-A computer noise model predictions with the proposed noise mitigation measures in place, gunshot noise levels are expected to comly with the Carmel Noise Ordinance at all residential property lines where the noise will be perceived.

The following two sections of this memorandum will explain the relevant rules applicable to the present sound issues. Willow Wood is not relying on preemption of the Carmel Noise Ordinance by General Business Law §150 because Willow Wood will be in full compliance with the local ordinance.

<u>Point I</u>

UNDER THE FACTS OF THIS APPLICATION, THE APPROPRIATE PLACE TO MEASURE SOUND UNDER THE CARMEL NOISE ORDINANCE IS WHERE THE SOUND IS PERCEIVED

Under Section 104-14 of the Carmel Noise Ordinance, the

apppropriate place to measure the level of noise may be either the property line:

- 1. Of the property generating the noise, **OR**
- 2. Where the noise is perceived.

Here, for the reasons set forth below, the most appropriate place to measure sound levels is where it the sound is perceived.

In the first instance there exists a very large buffer¹ consisting of heavily forested rugged terrain between Willow Wood and Heritage Hills. That buffer was established as part of the approval process of the Heritage Hills site plan. It was specifically designed to separate the residential condos from the gun club. That buffer is required to remain forever undeveloped. Nobody uses or does anything in the buffer zone. The buffer

¹ The buffer is about 900 feet wide where it is adjacent to the sporting clays course.

area is mostly heavily forested on rugged terrain. Other portions are impenatrable swamplands covered by dense thick vegetation that is impossible to walk through without a machete. On the far side of the buffer people own their individual condo units. The boundary lines of those individual condo units are the appropriate place to measure the sound. In the context of the Carmel Noise Ordinance, noise levels associated with the approval of Willow Wood's amended site plan will in fact comply with levels specified in the Carmel Noise Ordinance at each of those locations where the sound is perceived.²

Another reason that the appropriate location to measure the sound at the location at which it is perceived is because measuring at the property line could result in unintended consequences. For example, if a sound barrier was built on or close to the property line of the noise generating property, the noise reading on the other side of the sound barrier would comply with the Noise Ordinance. However, the sound waves would arc over such a sound barrier. At a distance of several hundered feet from the sound barrier, the receiving property could experience decibel levels higher

- 9 -

² It should further be noted that Willow Wood also complies with the Carmel Noise Ordinance at the property lines for *all* boundary lines other than the southern boundary line.

than permitted by Code. In that instance, if the ordinance was interpreted as requiring readings at the property line, the noise generating property would be in compliance, but the neighbors would experience sound levels at their residences above the Code limits. As in the present case, the neighbors would be better off if the sound was measured for Code compliance at the property where the sound is perceived rather than where the sound is generated. It is respectfully submitted that the drafters of the Carmel Noise Ordinance specifically intended to draft the ordinance to provide flexibility so as to achieve the correct result under the circumstances pertaining to each specific application.

Based on the foregoing, the Willow Wood application is fully compliant with the Carmel Noise Ordiance because the appropriate place of measurement is at the condos where the sound is perceived. As stated on page 2 in the April 26, 2022 cover letter enclosing the noise study report, Willow Wood's noise expert, Erich Thalheimer, stated that:

> With noise mitigation measures in place, full compliance with your self imposed community noise limit, and Chapter 104 of the Town of Carmel Town Code can be demonstrated at all receiving properties.

<u>Point II</u>

IN THE ALTERNATIVE, IF IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE WILLOW WOOD PROPERTY LINE IS THE APPROPRIATE PLACE TO MEASURE NOISE, WILLOW WOOD IS GRANDFATHERED IN AS A PRIOR NONCONFORMING USE

As set forth above, it is a matter of record that Willow Wood has been operating as a gun club continuously since 1955, long before Heritage Hills was built and long before any of the neighbors moved into their homes. The club has also been in existence long before the Town of Carmel adopted a Noise Ordinance. The proposed sporting clays course will not increase the level of noise at all. It will merely move the shooting to a new area of the property. Therefore, even if it was determined that the existing noise levels violate the noise provisions of the Carmel Town Code, then Willow Wood would still be permitted to continue the existing noise levels as a prior nonconfirming use.

The highest court in the State of New York has held that a nonconforming use of real property that exists at the time a restrictive ordinance is enacted is constitutionally protected and will be permitted to continue notwithstanding the contrary provisions of the ordinance. <u>Town of</u> Southampton v. NYS Dept. of Environmental Conservation, 2023 WL

1824432, 2023 NY Slip Op. 00689 (2/9/23). While a municipality may place reasonable restrictions on the prior nonconforming use, it must be placed in reasonable balance with the owner's interest in not having a property investment abruptly altered or terminated, taking into account a town's police power to restrain activity for the sake of public health or safety. Town of Southhampton v. NYS DEC, Id, involved a sand and gravel mine in the Town of Southhampton. It had operated the mine since the 1960s when the zoning code code allowed mining pursuant to a required permit. However, in 1972 the Town banned mining anywhere in the town, but recognized that the subject mine was a prior nonconforming use. In 2014 the mine applied to expand it's mine onto other portions of its property. In its decision, the Court of Appeals recognized the right of the mine to expand consistent with the scope of its prior nonconforming use. In Matter of Borer v. Vineberg, 213 AD 2d 828 (3d Dept. 1995), the Appellate Division held that a prior nonconforming use is permitted to expand notwithstanding a later prohibition on the use. Borer involved a gas station in a residential district that no longer permits gas stations. The court held that the increased hours of the gas station did not constitute at change in the property's use but only on expansion of the permitted prior noncomplying

use, which was permissible.

Applying the above rationales to the present case shows that Willow Wood has rights as a prior nonconforming use to continue shooting and to expand that shooting to its entire property. It is important to note that the noise levels generated by Willow Wood will remain the same as presently existing. Therefore, the reasonable balancing of the owner's interests versus the Town's police powers indicate that Willow Wood may expand its shooting locations on its property. The rules protecting prior conforming uses are not new. In <u>People v. Miller</u>, 304 NY 105 (1952) the Court of Appeals held that it is the law of this State that nonconforming uses in existence when an ordinance is enacted, are, as a general rule, constitutionally protected and will be permitted to continue notwithstanding the contrary provisions of the ordinance. 304 NY 2d 105 at 107.

The Appellate Division, Second Department, dealt with the application of a noise ordinance to a prior nonconforming race track in <u>Town Board of Southampton v. 1320 Entertainment, Inc.</u>, 236 AD 2d 387 (2d Dept. 1997). The Appellate Division denied the Town's motion for a preliminary injunction to force the defendants to cease all racing at the track. While the Town was entitled to impose a reasonable limitations on the days

- 13 -

and hours races were conducted, attempting to close down the racetrack subjected the Town to greater scrutiny. The Town was not permitted to close down the prior nonconforming use.

Noise is protected as a prior nonconforming use. That point was made clear in <u>Cleere v. Frost Ridge Campground, LLC</u>, 55 Misc. 3d 1206(A) (S. Ct . Genesee Co. 2016). Cleere involved a campground that was established in the 1950s. Apparently since early in its existence, the camping ground broadcast music over its public address system. The camp ground also held outdoor concerts featuring musical bands and artists who played live for campers and other patrons. Subsequently, the town passed a noise ordinance prohibiting the outdoor concerts. The court in <u>Cleere</u> upheld the decision of the ZBA that outdoor concerts were a valid prior nonconforming use. As such, the concerts were permitted to continue even though they exceeded what was permitted by the noise ordinance.

Some of the neighbors of Willow Wood have argued that there cannot be prior nonconforming uses when it comes to application of a noise ordinance. They have based that argument on a misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the salient facts and legal issues involving Suffolk County Trap and Skeet in Yaphank on Long Island. The case is an unpublished opinion entitled <u>Hunter Sports Shooting Grounds, Inc. v. Foley</u>, 2019 NY Slip Op 33459 (S. Ct. Suffolk Co. 2019).³ The legal principle, stripped of the extraneous dicta, is that by reason of the specific facts applicable to that case, Hunter Sports clearly was not a prior nonconforming use as pertains to the Town of Brookhaven noise ordinance for reasons specified below.

In <u>Hunter Sports Shooting Grounds v. Foley</u>, <u>Id</u>, the gun range owner sued to attempt to stop enforcement of the Town of Brookhaven noise ordinance against its operation of skeet, trap and sporting clays courses. In part, Hunter Sports argued that it was a prior nonconforming use because the property had first started operating as a gun range in the mid 1960s. However, the Town of Brookhaven enacted a noise ordinance in 1987. Significantly, Hunter Sports did not operate the gun range in 1987. In fact the gun range was closed for a period of five years from 2001 to 2006. The

³ The gun range involved in that litigation was, for lack of a better word, operated in a most unprofessional manner. Most competitive shooters will not go there due to rampant safety violations. The management of that range allow people to go out and shoot on the course with no training or familiarity with firearms. There is no oversight, no safety briefings, no required instruction. Because the facility is so poorly run, it gets little, if any, support from the gun community. Moreover, there is an issue of politics driving the effort to close down the range. The property is owned by the County of Suffolk as parkland within the Town of Brookhaven. However, the Town of Brookhaven has its own gun range that it rents out to responsible gun range operators (the same people who operate Mid Hudson Sporting Clays in New Paltz, NY). The Town of Brookhaven range is in direct competition with the gun range operated by Hunter Sports on the County property. That is the background of that case.

long closure is significant because the law is clear that a substantial discontinueance of an active nonconforming activity forfeits the nonconforming use. <u>Matter of Toys "R" Us v. Silva</u>, 89 NY 2d 411 (1996). Also see Brookhaven Town Code §85-883(6) which provides that substantial discontinuance of a nonconforming use for a period of one year terminate the nonconforming use status.

During the period of time that the County gun range in Yaphank was closed down, people thought that it was permanently closed. As a result, the area was developed with new houses. Now some houses are directly across the street from the gun range property and the sporting clays course is very close to the street. The noise levels at the property line **and** at the houses across the street from Suffolk County Trap and Skeet are well above the noise levels permitted by the Brookhaven noise ordinance. Interestingly, the noise expert who testified for the Town of Brookhaven against Hunter Sports was Erich Thalheimer, the same expert who performed the noise testing for Willow Wood. Therefore, despite admitting that he is an avid competitive shooter, Mr. Thalheimer testified against a shooting range in the Hunter Sports case. This clearly demonstrates that Mr. Thalheimer gives an unbiased professional opinion whichever way it may

fall regardless of his status as an avid competitive shooter.

In 2006 the County signed a revocable license agreement with Hunter Sports permitting it to reopen the gun range.⁴ Hunter Sports entered into the license agreement with full knowledge of the Brookhaven Noise Ordinance. Based on the fact that the gun range had been closed for five years and Hunter Sports commenced its operations thereafter with full knowledge of the Brookhaven Noise Ordinance, it absolutely was not a prior nonconforming use. As such it was not entitled to protection from enforecment of the Brookhaven Noise Ordinance.

The situation with Willow Wood is completely dissimilar to the situation pertaining to Hunter Sports. Unlike Hunter Sports, Willow Wood has been in *continuous* operation for decades prior to the enactment of the Carmel Noise Ordinance. Furthermore, Willow Wood does have constitutionally protected interests as the title owner of the subject property. In contrast, Hunter Sports did not own the property involved in that case. It was only a licensee whose right to occupy the property could be unilaterally terminated by the County of Suffolk at anytime. Counties rarely issue leases

⁴ Due to the property being County parkland, the Anti-Alienation of Parkland Rule precludes the County from issuing a lease to Hunter Sports. See the Handbook on Alienation and Conversion of Municipal Parkland published by the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.

to businesses operating in parks due to the general prohibition against alienation of parkland. For a county to lease parkland, it actually requires legislation from the State authorizing the lease. (See Chapter 2, page 12 of the Handbook on Alienation and Conversion of Municipal Parkland published by the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation.)

Because Hunter Sports was not a prior nonconforming use, there was no need to engage in the balancing test that is required in order to evaluate whether a public safety regulation can be enforced against a prior nonconforming use. However, even if it was determined that Willow Wood was not in full compliance with the Carmel Noise Ordinance, then Willow Wood clearly has prior nonconforming use status. Applying a balancing test to Willow Wood shows that it is entitled to protection from the application of the Carmel Noise Ordinance. The factors in favor of Willow Wood include the facts that:

Willow Wood has been in continuous operation since 1955

 and yet there has not been a single prosecution or notice of
 violation by the Carmel enforcement officer for a noise
 violation during that entire time;

- The sound measurements where the sound is perceived at each and every resident surrounding Willow Wood are lower than the levels permitted by the Carmel Noise Ordinance;
- There was no noise ordinance in the Town of Carrmel when Willow Wood started;
- 4. Every person who spoke in opposition to the Willow Wood sporting clays application admitted that they moved in long after Willow Wood had been in existence. Most of them actually knew of the operation of Willow Wood before they moved in; and
- 5. Willow Wood provides numerous benefits to the community, including but not limited to:
 - a. It provides a unique recreational asset;
 - b. It provides a training ground for competitive shooters;
 - c. It provides community members, particularly young people with gun safety training;
 - d. It is one of the few private gun clubs that welcome women members;

- e. It provides youth training programs for firearms and archery;
- f. It prepares young shooters for collegiate shooting teams;
- g. It performs various charitable endeavors including
 - providing veterans with a free Veterans Day shoot and lunch ;and
 - ii. conducts a Christmas fund raiser for a local church food pantry.

In summary, based on the facts of this matter, even if the

Planning Board were to disagree with Willow Wood that the appropriate place to measure noise is the lot line of the individual condo units, Willow Wood would still be entitled to approval of it application for an amended site plan because it will not exceed current levels of noise, which are grandfathered in as a prior nonconforming use.

<u>Point III</u>

RESPONSE TO MISCELLANEOUS ALLEGATIONS RAISED IN OPPOSITION TO THE APPLICATION

A. Lead In The Wetlands Is Being Managed And Will Decrease Once The Sporting Clays Course Is Open

Many of the objections submitted in opposition to the application by Willow Wood do not actually pertain to the sporting clays course. For example, the environmental objection that Willow Wood is shooting lead into the wetlands actually pertains only to the existing five stand and trap fields. Willow Wood has been shooting at those locations for decades. The lead is not considered a pollutant because it has not been abandoned and is actively being managed. Lead is inert at a neutral PH. Willow Wood manages the PH levels by applying lime to the soil, thereby rendering the lead inert. Moreover, a formal Environmental Stewardship Plan will be adopted upon approval of the amended site plan, thereby ensuring that Willow Wood will remain environmentally responsible.

It should be noted that there are no wetlands on the sporting clays course. Once the sporting clays course is open, the five stand shooting

will be relegated to bad weather shooting or to members who don't have enough time to shoot a round of sporting clays. It is therefore likely that the number of shots fired on the five stand will decrease by abount ninety (90%) percent. If a person was genuinely concerned about the environmental impacts of shooting at Willow Wood, he or she should welcome the sporting course and the resultant reduction of shot going out into the wetlands. Furthermore, it is the intention of Willow Wood upon completion of the sporting course to apply to the Carmel Environmental Conservation Board (ECB) for a permit to reclaim the lead in stages from the fields of fire on five stand and trap fields.

B. The Fields of Fire Do Not Permit Lead To Leave The Property

It is clear that none of the people in opposition to the Willow Wood application showed any understanding of the ballistics of shotguns versus rifles and pistols. They consistently confused range design guidelines for rifles and pistols and even for skeet and trap ranges to argue that the criteria for those different sports should be applied to sporting clays courses. The applicable ballistics and course design principles are distinctly different for each of those sporting activities. The Willow Wood course is very safe
and well designed using the natural terrain as backstops to capture the shot.

The fact that the neighbors do not understand what sporting clays is all about was demonstrated by the one gentlemen who suggested that Willow Wood should be required to build an indoor sporting clays range. That assertion displays a profound lack of understanding was to what sporting clays is all about. While it is common to have indoor pistol ranges, it would be virtually impossible to have an indoor sporting clays course due to the required size of a sporting clays course.

Some residents of Heritage Hills asserted that the guidelines regarding downrange control for pistols is 1.5 miles and 3.5 miles for high powered rifles. There is no need to dispute those figures with a proper berm design. However, it is clearly improper to suggest that those same downrange control requirements should apply to shotguns. No matter what you do, you cannot get #7 1/2 shot fired from a shotgun to travel more than 208 yards and less than 200 yards for #8 shot. With proper berms or hillsides such as exist at Willow Wood, you can have much shorter fields of fire completely consistent with all safety guidelines.

The opposition has come up with outrageous and completely unsupportable allegations about the fields of fire at Willow Wood. What they fail to acknowledge is that the Willow Wood sporting clays course is not flat. Rather, the terrain at Willow Wood has significant elevation differences that have been incorporated into the design of the sporting clays course to act as backstops to stop the shot.

For about two years the sporting clays course was open and operated without incident and without any shot from the course leaving the Willow Wood property. The opposition claims that shot will leave the Willow Wood property from Stations 6. They are mistaken. Station 6 is elevated above the ground in the field of fire. The shots are fired in a downward trajectory and go directly into the ground, not off the property. Shots fired from other stations go into hillsides.

With respect to the existing five stand field, the neighbors have submitted satelite photos on which they have drawn what they claim are the fields of fire. Those fields of fire do not remotely reflect the actual fields of fire. Those neighbors are claiming that the shots from the five stand field are leaving the Willow Wood property and going into the Somers Land Trust property, which is simply not true. The shots all stay on Willow Wood property (including the 15 acre shot fall easement). The Supervisor of the Town of Somers has also claimed that he discovered shot on a ¹/₂ acre portion of the Somers Land Trust property. However, based on his description of where he was when he found the lead shot, it seems that he was actually trespassing on Willow Wood property.

C. Silencers Are Not Permitted

One resident of Heritage Hills suggested that Willow Wood should buy 23 shotguns and equip them with "silencers" and then mandate that members use those guns. Again we are dealing with somebody who clearly knows nothing about guns. First of all, "silencers" are illegal in New York. Even if you were able to obtain the required federal license to possess a "silencer", possession would still be a felony in this State. Penal Law §265.02 (2) makes possession of a "firearm silencer" a class D felony. Therefore, members of Willow Wood could not legally use "silencers". Second, despite my long-term active professional participation in the sport, I have never seen a "silencer" for a shotgun and do not believe that they would be feasible because the bore of a shotgun is so large, a "silencer" would have to be very bulky and heavy. The bulk and weight would make it very difficult to use a suppressed shotgun for sporting clays. I should also add I have no idea where the resident from Heritage Hills came up with the

number of 23 suppressed shotguns that Willow Wood should purchase for its members use. And finally, "silencers" are more properly referred to as "suppressors" because they merely reduce noise. They do not make a gun silent. However, even our state legislature uses the wrong term, so perhaps I should overlook the incorrect terminology.

D. The Opposition Has Cited Inapplicable Noise Ordinances

One resident of Heritage Hills submitted the noise ordinance from the Town of Putnam Valley as grounds to oppose the Willow Wood application. Clearly the noise ordinance adopted by the Town of Putnam Valley has no bearing on the instant application.

Opponents from Heritage Hills have also mistakenly argued that Article I of the Carmel Noise Ordinance should be applied to deny Willow Wood's application. However, Article I of the Carmel Noise Ordinance only deals with sound amplifying and reproducing equipment. Article I expressly only applies to devices on which sounds are carried, reproduced, amplified or increased in volume or intensity. Carmel Town Code §104-3. It does not apply to the noise of a shotgun. The Willow Wood application is governed by Article II of the Carmel Noise Ordinance. For the reasons set forth above, the Willow Wood application is in complete compliance with that code.

Another neighbor submitted an article written by somebody named David Farrington, whose only credentials are that he is an NRA certified instructor. He apparently has no actual scientific training or credentials. A review of the report in context shows that the report is actually about shots fired from pistols and rifles, not shotguns. (Example, his reference to typical gunfire noise as having sound pressures of 150 to 175 dB is clearly referencing pistols and rifles, not shotguns.) Also, the author of the article has made no attempt to validate his lay opinions by references to actual scientific peer reviewed articles or studies. Furthermore, even if the article was written by a person with proper qualifications and was supported by valid scientific studies, it would have no bearing on the present application because the Willow Wood application complies with the Caramel Noise Ordinance.

E. Fumes

One of the residents of Heritage Hills urged the Planning Board to deny Willow Wood's application based on fumes. He asserted that fumes

- 27 -

from the shots somehow settle to the ground and then pollute the earth. This argument has absolutely no scientific basis. I have been involved in shooting sports for a very long time and have never heard any such claim of pollution at outdoor shooting ranges even by the most ardent environmentalist or anti-gun proponents.⁵ The neighbor alleging pollution from fumes did not provide a shred of evidence to support his claims. Moreover, if his argument was accepted, then logically every single outdoor gun range in the country would have to be closed, which is the real goal of the anti-gun movement. Fortunately, the applicable laws in this country still do permit outdoor gun ranges.

F. An Archeological or Historic Resource Survey Was Conducted

The residents of Heritage Hills also submitted a letter by Sarah Kautz that falsely claimed that no archaeological or historic survey had ever been conducted at the subject property. Miss Kautz is mistaken. An archaeological review was performed in connection with Willow Wood's

⁵ Indoor shooting ranges do need to vent large amounts of air rapidly to the outside because people indoors could potentially breathe lead fumes in confined spaces. There are no such concerns for outdoor ranges were the smoke from fired shots quickly dissipates.

application for a tree harvesting permit. The archaeologist concluded that there was nothing of archaeological or historical value on the land where the sporting clays course is proposed.

In summary, all of the arguments raised in opposition to the sporting clays course are devoid of merit.

CONCLUSION

The application by Willow Wood Gun Club for an amendment

of its site plan to add the sporting clays course should be approved in all

respects.

Dated: April 28, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

grin

GEORGE J. CALCAGNINI Attorney for Applicant Office & P.O. Box Address 376 Route 202 Somers, NY 10589 (914) 277-2255 GCalcagnin@aol.com

Of Counsel: CHARLES V. MARTABANO Office & P.O. Box Address 9 Mekeel Street Katonah, NY 10536 (914) 242-6200

OPINION OF PROBABLE COSTS BY: JWM JOB #: 18173.100			INS ENGINEERING, S LANDSCAPE ARCHI 3 Garrett Place, Carme	J T SURVEX TECTUR	ГЕ YING & E, P.C. rk 10512		
JOB DESCRIPTION: Willow We	ood Country Club		Tel: (845) 225-9690; F	ax: (845) 2	225-9717		
TYPE OF ESTIMATE: EROSION	I CONTROL & DRAINAGE BOND ESTIMATE			. ,			
Section	Description	Unit	Quantity	U	nit Price (\$)	An	nount (\$)
EROSION CONTROL	Silt Fence	LF	200	\$	5.00	\$	1,000.00
	Stabilized Construction Entrance	EA	1	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
	Strip & Stockpile Topsoil	SY	1,600	\$	0.50	\$	800.00
	Seed & Mulch	SY	1,600	\$	0.50	\$	800.00
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	12" Drainage Pipe	LF	60	\$	35.00	\$	2,100.00
	12" End Section	EA	2	\$	500.00	\$	1,000.00
	Sump / Flow Spreader	EA	3	\$	1,000.00	\$	3,000.00
	Rip Rap Pads	EA	2	\$	150.00	\$	300.00
	Existing Swale Stabilized with Rip Rap	LF	250	\$	15.00	\$	3,750.00
	Rip Rap Swale	LF	850	\$	25.00	\$	21,250.00
	Rain Garden	EA	1	\$	10,000.00	\$	10,000.00
					TOTAL	\$	45,500.00













A description and location of any stormwater discharges associate ndustrial activity other than construction at the site: There are industrial stormwater discharges present or proposed at the site.

Identification of any elements of the design that are not in conformance with the technical standard. Yver York Standards and Specifications for Erabin and Sediment Contriv." All proposed elements of this SMPP has been designed in accordance with the Yver York Standards and Specifications for Eraels and Sediment Control."

- g. Site map / construction drawing: This plan serves to satisfy this SWPPF requirement. The dimensions, mate and maintenance reg practices: The detail and Sediment Control reguirement. naterial specifications, installation details, and operation requirements for all erasion and sediment control letails, Erasion and Sediment Control Notes, and Erasion tool Mohtemang Charles serve to artificit this SBBDB requirement. An inspection schedule: inspections are to be performed twice weekly on by a qualified professional as required by the General Permit GP-0-20-001. In addition the NYSDEC Trained Contractor shall perform interactions as children in the Section Control interactions and in the Section Technic

EROSION & SEDIMENTATION CONTROL NOTES: The sener's field representative (0.F.R.) will be responsible for the implementation and maintenance of erosion and sediment control measures on this site prior to and during construction.

practical period activity in that

All construction activities involving the removal or disposition of soil are to be provided with appropriate protective measures to minimize reason and contain eachiment disposition within, thinking and enables on a settlenet control measure there that standards and Specifications for Ereakon and Sediment Control," lette enfinite.

Wherever feasible, notural vegetation should be reliabled and protected. Disturbance shall be minimized in the areas required to perform construction. No more than 5 acres of unprotected soit shall be exposed of any one time.

All construction vehicles shall be kept clear of the watercourses and wetland control areas outside the areas of proposed development. Sit fence and arange construction fence shall be installed in the areas where the grading is in close proximity of the watercourses or wetland control areas.

The stabilized construction entrances, silt fence, and orange construction fence shall be installed as shown on the plans prior to beginning any clearing, grubbing or earthwork.

7. All topsoil to be stripped from the area being developed shall be stocippled and immediately seeded with Lalium perenne arbitatum or Lalium perenne multiflorum for temporary stabilitation. Lalium perenne arbitatum shall be used for white seeding and Lalium perene multiflorum shall be used for going and summer seeding.

Colin preven another to be used to grady and ansmer seeds. A sported many standard to first behaviors or consolvation to the shift and a standard to the standard to the standard to an another standard standard

Grass seed mix may be applied by either mechanical or hydroseeding methods. Hydroseeding shall be performed in accordance with the current edition of the WYSDDT Standard Specification, Construction and Materials, Section 610–3.02, Method No. 1⁻¹

12. Paved roadways shall be kept clean at all times.

Cut or Bi slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized immediately after grading with Curiex I Single Net Erasion Control Blanket, or approved equal.

13. The site shall at all times be graded and maintained such that all starmwater runofi is diverted to soil erosion and sediment control facilities.

15. Stormeater from disturbed areas must be passed through erasion control barriers before discharge beyond disturbed areas or discharged into other drainage systems.

Dust shall be controlled by sprinkling or other approved methods as necessary, or as directed by the O.F.R.

18. Cut and file shall not endanger adjoining property, nor divert water onto the property of others. All fills shall be placed and compacted in 6^{*} lifts to provide stability of material and to prevent settlement.

The O.F.R. shall inspect downstream conditions for evidence of sedimentation on a weekly basis and after rainstorms.

21. As warranted by field conditions, special adultional erasion and sediment control measures, as specified by the site engineer, the Weldands Inspector, the Town Engineer and/or NYCOLP shall be installed by the contractor.

. 22. Erosion and sediment control measures shall remain in place until all disturbed areas are suitably stabilized.

a. Background information: The project consists of permitting an existing sporting clay course and stabilizing an existing trail.

b. Site map / construction drawing: These plans serve to satisfy this SWPPP requirement.

REQUIRED EROSION CONTROL SWPPP CONTENTS: Pursuant to the INSEC "SPRES General Permit for Stormweter Discharges from Construction Activity" (DP-0-2-02), of Stormweter Discharges Form International Permit Permit

6. Dealor and administ control measures and be happended and modelshale or a data part of the data of the data

All storm drainage outlets shall be stabilized, as required, before the discharge points become operational.

iand is exposed during development, the exposure shall be kept to the shortest ical period of time, but is no case more than 7 days after the construction by in that portion of the site has ceased. Disturbance shall be minimized in the resulted to cerform construction.

- f. Temporary and permanent soll stabilization plan: The Sedimentation and Erosion Control Notes and Details provided heron identify temporary and permanent stabilization measures to be employed with respect to specific elements of the problem. and at the various stables of development.
- Contruction parking Jan / sequence of operations: The Construction Sequence and photog found on these pines provide the required photogra-contruction Sequence and Poston and Sections: Control Molechanose controlled Arean outline a general sequence of operations: a sequence contained Arean outline a general sequence of operations for the proposed project. In general directions and addiministication (Colline and the installer price to communication the the anticipation of the sections of the section of the section of the section of the proceed project. Description of erosion and sediment control practices: This plan, and details / notes shown hereon serve to satisfy this SWPPP requirement.
- Description of the solite present of the site. Onsite solit located within the proposed limits of disturbances consist of Clariton the sondy from (DD C) clariton to the solit disturbance of the clariton the sondy from the claritor to compare the clariton of the clariton (high clariton the Sol Conservation Service Neo Sol Survey. These soil types belong to the hydrologic Solit Comp (B), "C" and "D." 4. WOTH - 12 FOOT MINIMUM, BUT NOT LESS THAN THE FULL WOTH AT POINTS WHERE INGRESS OF EGRESS OCCUR. 5. FLITER CLOTH – WUL BE PLACED OVER THE ENTRE AREA PRIOR TO PLACING OF STONE PLIER CLOTH WILL NOT BE REQUIRED ON A SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE LOT.

STATION ID PLACAR

- INSTALLATION NOTES LENGTH - AS REQURED, BUT NOT LESS THAN 50 FEET (EXCEPT ON A SINGLE RESIDENCE LOT WHERE A 30 FOOT MINIMUM LENGTH WOULD APPLY.) 3. THICKNESS - NOT LESS THAN SIX (6) INCHES.
- START AT DOST. PAVEMENT PLAN

(N.T.S.)

STA. #

PROPOSED SOUND BARRIER BY OTHERS









STONE CHECK DAM (TYP) LIMITS OF







EVERGREEN TREE REPLACEMENT AND LANDSCAPE BERM DETAIL

(N.T.S.)

PROJECT 18173.100 PROJECT NUMBER 18173.100 PROJECT MANAGER DATE 12-26-18 DRAWN

SCALE

AS SHOWN CHECKED

R.D.W

_____ D-1

2" x 4"-





May 1, 2023

Craig Paeprer, Chairman and Members of the Carmel Planning Board 60 McAlpin Ave Mahopac, NY 10541

RE: Amended Site Plan, John Chang 716 Route 6 TM#: 76.30-1-26

Dear Mr. Paeprer and the Members of the Carmel Planning Board,

The following is my response to Mike Carnazza's memo dated 1/10/23:

- 1. The floor plan has been revised to show all room dimensions and the fixed seating area for the chapel.
- 2. The North arrow has been added.
- 3. Attached are the previous variances granted.
- 4. The parking calculations for each floor have been added to the Site Plan.
- 5. I reviewed the building code. Please note the following:

aTherefore, a sprinkler system is not required.

b Based on the above, a second means of egress is not required. c fire alarm system exists.

dThe two existing bathrooms will remain and one handicapped bathroom will be installed.

The following is my response to Richard Franzetti's memo dated 1/6/23:

- 1. The plantings as shown on the Site Plan exist. All the curbing exists.
- 2. There will be no site disturbance at all.
- 3. I will forward a water/wastewater report to the NYCDEP.

The following is my response to Patrick Cleary's memo dated 1/12/23:

- 1. A list of all the variances has been added to the Site Plan.
- 2. A detailed analysis of the parking requirements for each floor has been added to the Site Plan.
- 3. It should also be noted that services will be held at the chapel Wednesday, Friday, and Saturday from 7 PM 9 PM, and Sunday from 4 PM 6 PM.
- 4. The deli hours are 6 AM 6 PM Monday Friday, and Saturday & Sunday from 7 AM 3 PM.



- 5. Based on numbers 3 & 4 above, the deli and the church services will never overlap. Although, our application to the ZBA will request a variance based on the full parking requirements for the deli and chapel.
- 6. Bells, clarions, & other amplified sounds have never and will never be part of this chapel.
- 7. The existing sign will remain and will be added to the Site Plan.
- 8. Since the second floor chapel is a place of public assembly, all required building and fire code regulations will be adhered to.
- Based on the 2020 N.Y.S. Building Code, if the occupancy of the second floor is 49 people or less, no sprinklers system, second means of egress, or 2 Handicap rest rooms are required

Very truly yours,

Joel Greenberg, AIA, NACRB

PROPERTIES WITHIN 500':

76.30-1.47 Brinden Louihan 1 Baldwin St Mahopie, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-111 Elizabeth Baker 149 East Lake Bivel Unit D-2 Mahopar, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-112 Lolo Molopai Units Realty FO BOX 2058 National Beach, CA 92659 75.30-1-61 Marsel Martins I Overhill Rd Mahonar, NY 10541 7630-1-15-113 Loke Molopee Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92059 76.30-1-41 Joseph Russo 10 Overhill Rd Molocese, NY 10 76.30-1.42 Anthorp Pagliese 12 Harbor Lu Melycov, NV 10141 76.30-1-89 Devid Laman 127 Lalowiew Dr Mabonac, NY 1054 7630-1-15.-114 Lake Mahoper Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.20-1-15.-115 Lirke Mahopac Units Really PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-1 Victor Amisucci 851 Haywarkut Pi Fart Mill, SC 29708 76.30-1-15.-116 Basel of Monagers of the 1 PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92055 76.30-1-21.-114 Michaile Seymour 141 East Laka Blvd Age E Milware, NY, 10141 76.30-1-15.-117 Lake Mahopac Units Resity PO BOX 2058 Newcort Boach, CA 92659 76:30-1-15.-118 Lolo Mahopar Units Realty PO BOX 2058 7630-1-21,-101 Artar Blazzczyk 141 Ent Lake Blvd Unit A-Melopie, NY 10541 76:30-1-21.-121 Louis Paleri 141 East Lake Blvd Unit G-3 Mahamar, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-119 Melium Frace 23 Samyridge Rd Katoush, NY 10535 76.30-1-15-122 Laka Mabopa: Uaits Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-(21.-123 Francis Republis 1-41 Ewit Lake Blod Unit H-5 Mahrana, NV 10541 76:30-1-21.-125 Nikki Groebewski 120 Upland Rd Yorkhown Heirbn, NY 105 76.30-1-15.-123 Loke Maloopee Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newsort Beach, CA 92659 76.3)-1-15.-121 Like Malopse Units Besly PO BOX 2058 Network Besch, CA 92659 76.30-1-21 Main The Water Club at Laka Ma 60 Mcalpin Ave Moloree, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-113 Thomas Carroll 141 East Lake Blvd E-Mahapie, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-116 Helen Musiler I Ichibed Lu Ousining, NY 10563 76.30-1-21.-124 Beyan Mack 141 East Lake Blvd H-3 Mahopac, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-123 Lake Mahopac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.38-1-15.-124 Lina McCorranck 149 East Lake Blod 149 East Lake Blod Mithipper, NY 1054 76.30-1-15-125 Hilds Colosy 149 East Eaks Blvd Usir 3 Melopes, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-131 Nichael Cirecce 141 East Lake Blvd L Mahonae, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-132 DMAM Enterprises, LLC 26 Averill Dr Mahopac, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-126 Lake Mahapac Units Rads PO BOX 2058 Nearast Beach: CA 92655 76.30-1-15-128 Equity Trast Company 6 Brontwood Ct Mt Kinco, NY 10549 76.30-1-21.-134 The Water Club at Laka 5 141 East Lake Bird M1 Michael M2 1964 76.38-1-15.-127 Rip Adiletta 149 Rast Lake Blvd Unit J-3 Milman, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-135 Stephen Toscano 141 East Lake Bird Mi Mahopar, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-303 Meide R Seneceno Supplemen 141 East Lake Bited Unit A-3 Mebogen, NY 10541 7630-1-21.-102 William Pres 141 East Lake Blvd Unit A2 Milliams NY 10541 36.30-1-15.-129 Laka Mahoper Units Roalty PO BOX 2058 Newsort Beach, CA 92659 76.34-1-15.-130 George Ryder 2723 Qualor: Church Rd Yorkown Heighta, NY 10 76.30-1-15-131 Anits Stone 149 East Lake Blvd Unit L-1 Mahonac, NY 16541 76.30-1-15-132 Lake Mahopac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newnort Beach, CA 92629 76.30-1-21.-104 Robert Nazzei 162 Geymar Dr Mahopae, NY 1054 76.30-1-21.-105 Anthony Savastano 2797 Hyutt St Yorktown Heiahts, NY 1 76.30-1-21.-106 William Gescia 141 East Lake Blvd Unit B-3 Mahonas, NY 10561 76.96.1-15.-133 LakeMabapac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newjort Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-15-134 Lalos Mahopac Units Rorltz PO BOX 2058 Novpert Beach, CA 925.59 76.30-1-21.-107 Diana Cirocco 12 Robocca La Carmel, NY 10512 76.30-1-21.-108 Elizabeth Hanigsberg 141 East Lake Blvd C2 Mehenar, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-109 Mortin Klajban 141 Bast Lako Bivel Unit C-Mohamer NY, 19511 -76:30-1-15.-135 Josseen Delmonizo 149 Enst Lake Blvd Unit M-Mahonar: NY 16541 76.36.1-15.-136 Lobr Mahapat Units Reall PO ROX 2058 Newlard Beach, CA 03655 76.30-1-63 John Posinsto 15 Harbor Ln Mabopeo, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-110 Sinn Poljak 13365 William Myer Ct Palm Beach Gardena, FL 334 7638-1-13 Geneter Mahapac Post 549 PO BOX 132 Mahapac, NY 10541 7622-1-1 Main 155 EastLakeAnaoc 40 McAlpin Ave Mahapac, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-111 Robert Seconve 141 East Lake Blod Unit 2-5 Mahopeo, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-112 Rita Ballato 141 Esst Lalor Bivd Unit D-Mahopac, NY 10541 76.93.1-2 Mahspac Ridge Bch In PO BOX 952 Mahspac, NY 10541 76.30-1-14 DikJ East Lake Realty C-H 69 Bioemer Rd Browster, NY 10509 76.22-1-3 Three Star Realty, Inc. 205 East Lake Blvd Apt Mabapae, NY 10541 76.22-1-60 161 East Lake LLC 168 East Lake Blod Mobepor, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-115 Active Bofferdi 141 East Lake Bited Unit E Mehopee, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-117 Jana Rodina, Trustee 857 Berkshine Rd Winglule, NY 12534 76.30-1-21.-118 Datiel Liberino 141 East Lake Blvd Unit P Mahopac, NY 10541 76.22-1-4 Lakoview Realty 168 LLC PO BOX 635 Melogue, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-119 Daniel Cooke 141 Enet Laks Blvd Unit Gi Malopac, NY 10541 76.22-1-3 Hilliop Manor Realty Corp PO BOX 636 Maturate, NY, 18561 76.22-1-59 Mothew Spaillea 165 East Lake Bled Mahopac, NY 10561 76.30-1-21.-120 David Ranani 380 RJ. 202 Somers, NY 1058 76.30-1-21.-122 Ryun Muringh 141 East Laks Rivel Unit H-Mehrman, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-126 Dawn Ann Schaefer 141 East Lake Blvd Unit. Makopac, NY 10541 76.22-1-58 Joseph Spatline 169 East Lake Blvd Milcone, NY 10541 76.30-1-38 Donnis Reyes 2 Baldwin St Mahopas, NY 1054 76.5-1-53 Power Repairs Inc I Marina Dr I Marina Dr Makapan, NY 10541 76:30-1-21,-127 Rose Rostucci Rovoc Living 4 Somerset Dr Somers, NY 10589 7630-1-21.-128 Daniel Cooka 141 Eest Lake Blvd Unit Ki Mahamar NY 10141 76.30-1-40 Jose Villelobos 2 Overhill Rd Meliopee, NY 10541 75.30-1-66 Dumion Patrignelli 30 Maidatore Dr Makapae, NY 1054 76.30-1-34 Apple 65 Corp 708 Lydig Ave Ste 208 Brone, NY 10462 76.30-1-21.-129 26 Hantington Corp 48 Luke View Ter Mahonae, NY 10541 76.30-1-21.-130 Devid Ranasi 380 BJ 202 Somers, NY 10589 76.30-1-21.-133 Stan Poljak 13366 William Myer Ci Palm Beach Gardeen, FL 334 76.30-1-21.-136 Kristina Aztanik 141 East Lako Bivel Ur Mehemer, NY 10541 76.30-1-65 James Romer 66 Heather Dr Mahopac, NY 1054 76.30-1-23 OBC Malopuz LLC 135 Madison Ave F1 New York, NY 10016 76.30-1-22 Brimat Realty LLC 692 R1 6 Mahepac, NY 10541 76.30-1-19 Bare Cotaj 34 Kayla Lu Malacene NY 1014 76.30-1-39 Eddie Holmes 143 Sea Ava Mehonen, NY 19541 75.30-1-34 Moraco Realty Ma 276 Busisholdow R 276 Busisholdow R Mahopac, NY 1054 76.35-1-64 Vincent Missaro 70 Hasher Dr Mahopac, NY 10541 76.30-1-35 Town Of Cannel 60 Molipin Ave Mahopac, NY 10541 76.30-1-4 Nicole Stern 888 Rr 6 Melicope, NY 10541 76.30-1-18 Pocket Aces, LLC 950 South Lake Blvd Mithanar, NY 10541 76.30-1-16 Lake Mahopac Units Realty Co PO BOX 2058 Numeri Basels CA (2008) 76.30-1-25 Timothy Buyer 710 Raute 6 Mahopas, NY 10541 76.38-1-31 Frank Franke Iso PO BOX 102 PO BOX 102 Mahapan, NY 105-76.30-1-30 Mahapac Central Soli 178 Enst Lake Blod Mahapas, NY 10541 76.30-1-5 Bore Cataj 34 Kaylo Lu Mabapac, NY 10541 76.30-1-15 Main The Lake Maho 60 McAlpine Ave Mahonas, NY 10561 76.30-1-15-101 Lake Mahopse Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92559 76.30-1-15.-102 George Daly 149 East Lake Blvd Unit A2 Mahopae, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-103 Lake Mahopac Units Rashy PO BOX 2058 Newsort Banch, CA 92655 76.30-1-26 Luna One Management Corp 2675 Cacillo Dr Yorkizwa Heigitz, NY 1059 76.30-1-29 Town Of Carmel 60 Moslpin Ave Mahopae, NY 10541 76.36-1-28 Joseph Scallan PO BCIX 78 Maliopes, NY 10541 76.30-1-15-104 Samer Abu-Mallouh 149 East Lake Ebvd Unit B-Mahanae, NY 11561 76.30-1-15.-137 Loke Mahopee Units Real PO BOX 2058 Newport Bench, CA 92655 75.30-1-15.-138 Lake Mahepac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Baach, CA 92639 76.30-1-15-139 Lake Mahopee Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newpart Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-15.-105 Brahim Roshopi PO BOX 620 Amzwelk, NY 10501 2630-1-15-106 Jean DeCease PO BOX 819 Maherary NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-107 Lake Mahopac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Neurost Reach, CA 83688 76.30-1-15-140 Lake Mahopac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-15-141 Lake Mahopac Units Realty PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92659 76.30-1-15-108 Lake Mahopac Units Re PO BOX 2058 Neurost Reach. CA 93 76.30-1-15.-109 Lako Mohopoc Units Realt PO BOX 2058 Newport Beach, CA 92655 76.30-1-15.-110 Mohumed Mastafa Aba-Mal 149 Esst Lake Blwd Unit D-1 Mahopaz, NY 10541 76.30-1-15.-142 Mark Lakness 722 Rt 6 Unit F Millense, NY 10541 CA 9369 76.30-1-15.-143 Viseman Moschette 722 Rr 6 - Unit G Matepec, NY 10541 75.30-1-15.-144 Kevin McGeeser 85 Lois De Pearl River, NY 10965 76.301-15-145 Melian Waigurt 23 Sateyridge Rat Katoath, NY 10536 16.33-1-15.-146 Elizabeth Proce R.R. #2.PO BOX 38 PO BOX 38 Katutah, NY 10536 76:30-1-27 las W. Rasby, LLC 725 Rt 6 725 Rt 6 Mahopee, NY 10541 76.221-54 728 Rose 6 LLC 168 Rat Loke Blvd Mabrae, NY 10541 76.22-1-35 Mahapao Central School Dia 178 East Lake Bivel Mahapat, NY 10541 76.22-1-30 Meheper Volasteer Fir PO BOX 267 Melazer, NY 10541 7630(-37 Christipher Rush 79 Higher Dr Miltops, NY (054) 76.22-1-28 Melopic Voluptour Fir PO BOX 267 Malonae, NY 10541 76.22-1-2 Knights of Columbus 5743 PO BOX 66 PO BOX 66 Melogue, NY 10541 76.301-3 Mein VaterClubConde 60 McAlpin Ave Mehope, NY 10541 75.35-1-20 Bore Dotaj 34 Kayla La Milezaz, NY 10541 36.30-1-6 Board of Managers of the Lake PO BOX 2058 Names of Barch Cit (2000) 76.300-7 Box Gegi 34 Keja Lu Mahone, NY 10541 76.30-1-8 Lake Mekopee Units Realty Cor PO BOX 2058 Newport Boach, CA 92659 64.20-1-5 State Of New York 40 Glescide Ave Control NY 10511







" CHAPEL: 1 SPOT/3 SEATS " DELI: 1 SPOT/200 SF



Image: provide state s	(<u>enditive terran</u>	
	Image: Size LEbration	Australia Construction Australia Australia

RAYEX DESIGN GROUP

PLANNING CONSTRUCTION DESIGN **266 SHEAR HILL ROAD** MAHOPAC, NEW YORK 10541 845-621-4000 RAYEXDESIGN@GMAIL.COM **ROY A. FREDRIKSEN, PE**

Date: April 20, 2023

728 ROUTE SIX, LLC 728 US Route six, Mahopac NY 10541 Tax Map # 76.22-1-54

NARRATIVE REPORT FOR BUILDING WATER USAGE

1.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this narrative is to specify water usage to determine sewage disposal capacity.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

Existing is a commercial building that contains food service establishments and food retail stores in the process of obtaining approval to construct a small addition to take out restaurant (125 s.f.) property has private water well.

3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

Currently the building has two restaurants and a food retail store, takeout only. Also proposed is a takeout only dumpling restaurant.

4.0 HISTORY

This building had a sit-down restaurant and bar establishment with a catering hall. The building has been renovated and divided into the above-mentioned establishments.

5.0 WATER USAGE CALCULATIONS

These calculations of water usage are based on NYS DESIGN STANDARDS FOR INTERMEDIATE SIZED WASTEWATER TREATEMENT SYSTEMS Dated March 5, 2014 on pages B-19 and B-20

BELLA CUCINA Food Retail 1,250 s,f, store @ .1 gal/s.f. plus 15 Gal/ employee/ dav = 155 gal/day.

DIM SUM Food Retail 785 s,f, store @ .1 gal/s.f. plus 15 gal/emp./day= 169 gal/day. PHO sit-down restaurant 52 seats @ 35 gal/seat/ day= 1,820 gal/day.

THE PATRON sit-down restaurant 36 seats @ 35 gal/seat/ day= 1,260 gal/day.

Total water usage is 3,404 gallons/day

If I could be of further assistance, please don't hesitate to call.

Sincerely

Roy A. Fredriksen, PE





SITE PLAN APPLICATION



Per Town of Carmel Code - Section 156 - Zoning

SITE IDENTIFICA	TION INFORMATION
Application Name:	Application # Date Submitted:
128 Koute 6 LLC	-23-0003 4/14/23
She Address: Reula (la l
Remetry Street: 1. DUFP. 6 H	amlet: MAHUPAC
Property Location: (Identify landmarks, distance from	n intersections, etc.)
728 US ROUTE 6 ACROSS FIRE	OM FIRE DEPT (MAHOPAC)
Section 76.22 Block Lot(s) 54	Zoning Designation of Site:
Property Deed Recorded in County Clerk's Office Date Liber Page	Liens, Mortgages or other Encumbrances
Existing Easements Relating to the Site	Are Easements Proposed?
No" Yes Describe and attach copies:	(No) Yes Describe and attach copies:
Have Property Owners within a EAN Dedius of the	
Yes No Attached List to this Aco	Site Been Identified?
APPLICANDO	MAILER MECHANICA
Property Owner:	Phone #: All Cal Adda Enally
TZE ROUTE SIX, LLC	Fax#: 414-725-2470 Email:
Owners Address:	CODICOSOFAUC
VO. 168 Street: EAST LAKE BLVD TO	WN: MAHOPAC State NV7in / DSUI
Applicant (If different than owner):	Phone #: 9/4 320 4999 Email:
WILLIAM BESHAPAT	Fax#: 845 628 7812 RAYENDESIGN @GMAN
Vo. 266 Street: SHEAR HILL 12d Tou	WIN: MAHOPAC State: NYZINIOS41
ndividual/ Firm Responsible for Preparing Site	Phone #: 845-621-4000 Email: 02454 DE 646-1
Tan: RAYEX DESIGN	Fax#: 865 628-7812 @C.MAIL. CAM
Adrees	E E State I E State I E State I State
VO.266 Street SHEAR WILL Qd	MALLADAL
Other Representatives:	Bhono # State: UY Zip: /054/
	Farz Email:
Wners Address:	D GEARY?
lo. Street: Tow	Wh: State Zin
PROJECTO	ESCRIPTION
escribe the project, proposed use and operation t	hereof:
PAREFUL PARTIE	Conversion in the state of the state of the
GAISTING PADERING	AND UTILITIES WILL REMAIN UNCHANGED
PROPOSED ADDITION TO EXISTING	RETAIL STORE TO ALIGN
FRONT DOOR WITH THE REST	OF THE DOORS TO OTHER
COMMERCIAL SPACES, ADDITIO	IS 125 S.F. AND WILL
DE LISED FOR DICK_IIP DREA	FOR PROPOSED THEF-OUT
BE USU TOT FIGEUT HEAT	PILACE ETO PEIL
FOOD SERVICE SLOKE (DUM	FUNCA STOKEJ
AND THE THE PARTY OF THE AND THE ADDRESS OF THE ADD	

G:\Engineering\Planning Board\01 - Application info\Final Site and Subdivision\06-10-15 Site Plan Application Form v3.docx

TOWN OF CARMEL SITE PLAN APPLICATION

PROJECT INF	ORMATION
Lot size: Squa	are footage of all existing structures (by floor):
Acres: 654 Square Feet: 23262 611	50 S.F.
# of existing parking spaces: 37 # of	proposed parking spaces: 37
# of existing dwelling units: 🏘 # of	proposed dwelling units Ø
Is the site served by the following public utility infr	astructure:
 Is project in sewer district or will private se If yes to Sanitary Sewer answer the following 	ptic system(s) be installed? <u>Mahopace Sewer</u> ng:
 Does approval exist to conn Is this an in-district connect What is the total sewer capa What is your anticipated ave For Town of Carmel Town Engineer What is the sewer capacity	ect to sewer main? Yes: I No: I fon?Out-of district connection? city at time of application? rage and maximum daily flow _3, 404 $\square BD \ 4 \ 13 \ 0 \ 28 \ 0 \ 100 \ 0 \ 100 \ 0 \ 100 \ 0 \ 100 \ 0 \ $
Water Supply Well Yes:	
If Yes:	ect to water main? Yes: No:
Storm Sewer Yes: I	3 No: 🗆
Electric Service Yes:	3 No: 🗆
Gas Service Yes: E	No: 🗆
Telephone/Cable Lines Yes:	I No: D
For Town of Carmel Town Engineer	
Water Flows Pop Pop 4/08/23	
Town Engineer: Date	
What is the predominant soil type(s) on the What	is the approximate depth to water table?
site? N/A	4
Site slope categories: 5 % 15-25% 0 %	25-35% 0 % >35% 0 %
Estimated quantity of excavation: Cut (C.Y.)	Fill (C.Y.)
Is Blasting Proposed Yes: No:	Unknown:
Is the site located in a designated Critical Environm	ental Area? Yes: 🛛 No: 🖾
Does a curb cut exist on the Are new curb cuts	proposed? What is the sight distance?
site? Yes: No: Ves: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: No: Yes: Yes: Yes: Yes: Yes: Yes: Yes: Yes	LeftRight
is the site located within 500' of:	
• The boundary of an adjoining city, town or villag	ye Yes: □ No: ☑
• The boundary of a state or county park, recreati	on area or road right-of-way 🛛 Yes: 🗖 No: 🗹
 A county drainage channel line. 	Yes: 🗆 No: 🗹
• The boundary of state or county owned land on	which a building is located Yes: 🗹 No: 🗆

TOWN OF CARMEL SITE PLAN APPLICATION

Is the site listed on the State or Federal Register of Historic Place (or substantially contiguous)				
Is the site located in a designated floor	dnlain?			
Yes: No: 7	uprantit			
Will the project require coverage unde	r the Current NYSDE	C Stormwater Regula	ations	
			1	
			Yes: 🛛 No: 🖉	
Will the project require coverage under		D 04		
win the project require coverage unde	r the Current NTCD	P Stormwater Regula	ations	
			Vaci II No. II	
			163. LI NO. LI	
Does the site disturb more than 5,000 s	sq ft	Yes: D No: D		
Does the site disturb more than 1 acre		Yes: D No: D		
Deep the site of the sector	1.0			
Vegi Contain freshwater wetlan	nds?			
Iurisdiction:				
NVSDEC: D Town of Carm				
If present the wetlands must be delineat	ed in the field by a M	latland Professional	nd ourses loopted an	
the Site Plan.	cu ili the held by a w	elianu Froiessional, a	no survey located on	
Are encroachments in regulated wetlar	nds or wetland buffe	rs proposed? Yes	S' D No: DL	
Does this application require a	referral to the	Environmental Yes:		
Conservation Board?		103.		
Does the site contain waterbodies, stre	ams or watercourse	s? Yes: No		
		0.00.000.000		
Are any encroachments, crossings or a	alterations proposed	I? Yes: 🛛 No	: 🕅	
Is the site located adjacent to New Yor	k City watershed lan	ds? Yes: 🛛 No		
Is the project funded, partially or in tota	al, by grants or loan	s from a public sourc	e?	
Yes: LI No: 124				
Will municipal or private solid waste di	sposal be utilized?			
Public: U Private: U				
has this application been referred to th	ie Fire Department?	Yes: LI No	: 🕅	
What is the estimated time of construct	tion for the project?	An and the second s		
that is the bolinated time of construct	tion for the project r			
ZONING	COMPLIANCE INFO	RMATION		
Zoning Provision	Required	Existing	Proposed	
Lot Area	4 14		riouoseu i	
Lot Covorago	40,000	23 262	rioposed	
Lot Coverage	40,000	23,262		
Lot Width	40,000 30 % 200	23 262		
Lot Width Lot Depth	40,000 30% 200 200	23,262 27% 150 153		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard	40,000 30 % 200 200 300	23,262 27% 150 153 (5		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard	40,000 30% 200 200 200 30	23,262 27% 150 153 7.3 (5		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard Rear Yard		23, 262 27% 150 153 (5 47.3 41.3		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard Rear Yard Minimum Required Floor Area		23, 262 27% 150 153 (5 47.3 (5 47.3 (15) 47.3 (1807)25		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard Rear Yard Minimum Required Floor Area Floor Area Ratio		23,262 27% 150 153 (5 47.3 (5 47.3 6180+125 48		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard Rear Yard Minimum Required Floor Area Floor Area Ratio Height		23,262 27% 150 153 (5 47.3 47.3 413 6180+125 40 30		
Lot Width Lot Depth Front Yard Side Yard Rear Yard Minimum Required Floor Area Floor Area Ratio Height Off-Street Parking	40,600 30'/0 200 200 30 30 5000 35 35 34	23, 262 27% 150 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153		

TOWN OF CARMEL SITE PLAN APPLICATION

Will variances be required? Yes: □ No: ⊠	If yes, identify variances:
PROPO	DSED BUILDING MATERIALS
Foundation	CONC.
Structural System	WOOD
Roof	ASPHALT
Exterior Walls	HARDI-PLANK SIDING
APPLIC	ANTS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
Applicants Name Sworn before me this	Applicants Signature day of



TOWN OF CARMEL SITE PLAN COMPLETENSS CERTIFICATION FORM



All Site Plans submitted to the Planning Board for review shall include the following information and details, as set forth in Section 156-61 B of the Town of Carmel Zoning Ordinance.

Requirement Data To Be Completed Waived by the by the Applicant Town 1 Name and title of person preparing the site plan 2 Name of the applicant and owner (if different from applicant) Original drawing date, revision dates, scale and 3 D Π north arrow Tax map, block and lot number(s), zoning district 4 All existing property lines, name of owner of each 5 П property within a 500' radius of the site 6 Contour lines at two-foot intervals, grades of all P П roads, driveways, sanitary and storm sewers The location of all water bodies, streams, 7 D Π watercourses, wetland areas, wooded areas. rights-of-way, streets, roads, highways, railroads, buildings, structures The location of all existing and proposed 8 M Π easements 9 The location of all existing and proposed М П structures, their use, setback dimensions, floor plans, front, side and rear elevations, buildable area. 10 On site circulation systems, access, egress ways M and service roads, emergency service access and traffic mitigation measures Sidewalks, paths and other means of pedestrian 11 \square circulation On-site parking and loading spaces and travel 12 D aisles with dimensions 13 The location, height and type of exterior lighting fixtures 14 Proposed signage 9 15 For non-residential uses, an estimate of the number of employees who will be using the site, description of the operation, types of products 30 sold, types of machinery and equipment used

This form shall be included with the site plan submission



TOWN OF CARMEL SITE PLAN COMPLETENSS **CERTIFICATION FORM**



	Requirement Data	To Be Completed by the Applicant	Waived by the Town
16	The location of clubhouses, swimming pools, open spaces, parks or other recreational areas, and identification of who is responsible for maintenance	NIA	
17	The location and design of buffer areas, screening or other landscaping, including grading and water management. A comprehensive landscaping plan in accordance with the Tree Conservation Law	EXISTING	
18	The location of public and private utilities, maintenance responsibilities, trash and garbage areas		
19	A list, certified by the Town Assessor, of all property owners within 500 feet of the site boundary	Ø	
20	Any other information required by the Planning Board which is reasonably necessary to ascertain compliance with this chapter	Ø	

Applicants Certification (to be completed by the licensed professional preparing the site plan:

I <u>ROY A. PREDRIKSEN, PE</u> hereby certify that the site plan to which I have attached my seal and signature, meets all of the requirements of §156-61B of the Town of Carmel Zoning Ordinance:

Signature - Applicant

Date



Professionals Seal

Signature - Owner

Date

2 of 3



SITE PLAN COMPLETENSS CERTIFICATION FORM



Town Certification (to be completed by the Town)

hereby confirm that the site plan meets all of the requirements of §156-61B of the Town of Carmel Zoning Ordinance:

Signature - Planning Board Secretary

Signature - Town Engineer

Date

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information		
Name of Action or Project:		and the second
728 Route 6 LLC		
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):		
728 Roule 6, Mahopac, NY 10541		
Brief Description of Proposed Action:		
Renovate existing building. No site work.		
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	T	alam an aina an aina an a
	Telephone: 914-523-9450	0
728 Route 6 LLC	E-Mail: guo16838@aol c	xom
Address:		
168 East Lake Btvd		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
	New York	10541
 Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, loca administrative rule, or regulation? 	l law, ordinance,	NO YES
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the e	nvironmental resources the	at CI CZ
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to ques	tion 2.	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	er government Agency?	NO YES
and the second of the second of approval.		
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	0.56 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	0 acres	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	0.56 acres	
	acres	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action:		
5. Urban 🔲 Rural (non-agriculture) 🔲 Industrial 🖌 Commercia	1 🔲 Residential (suburt	ban)
Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other(Spec	ify):	
Parkland		

5. Is the proposed action,	[NO	STOR	127/4
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		IES	N/A
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
		M	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural land	dscape?	NO	YES
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental A	Irea?	NO	VES
If Yes, identify:			
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?		N	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the propo action?	sed		
Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:	ŀ	NU	YES
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:	ľ	1	
Well		\square	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing westernative a			
and proposed totion connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site or	district	NO	TTO
which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the	-	NU	YES
State Register of Historic Places?	on the	N	
b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?			
 a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, conta wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal state or local agency? 	in	NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically after or encroach into an agency?			
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in an and for waterbody?	[$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	
s and a state of a sta	[T	

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on or are likely to be found and		
Shoreline Forest Agricultural (crossing to be found on the project site. Check all that appl	y:	
Wetland Ditter Place		
Urban Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats listed by the Santa		
Northern I one second Bat	NC) YE
		117
10. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?		
	NO	YES
	\checkmark	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non maint and and	NO	VEC
If Yes,	NO	TES
8. Will storm water discharges and it		
the storm water discharges now to adjacent properties?	17	
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyence systems (and the stablished		
If Yes, briefly describe: (runoif and storm drains)?		
	t la st	
18 Dres the man 1 it is	1 84	1.5
or other liquids (e.g. retention and must be a start of water	NO	VEO
If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:	NO	IES
and a memphaniment.		
19. Has the site of the proposed action of an adjoining proposed have the		
management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (angoing an		
If Yes, describe:	NO	YES
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	
I CEPTIEV THAT THE AT THE AT	Luncard	
MY KNOWLEDGE	STOF	
	OI OI	1
Applicant/sponsor/name: William Beshavat		
Signature: 11 Jale: 692412	1020	
Title: AGENT		
		-

PRINT FORM

EAF Mapper Summary Report

Wednesday, June 24, 2020 3:07 PM



Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area]	No	
Part 1 / Question 12a [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	No	
Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites]	Yes	\bigcirc
Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAE Workbook	
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal]	Yes	0
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal - Name]	Northern Long-eared Bat	C.
Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain]	No	
Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site]	No	

1



NOTES:

- OTES: THIS SITE PLAN IS FOR THE PURPOSE OF USE CHANGE AND RENOVATION OF EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING. NO CHANGE IN SQUARE FOOTAGE. ORIGINAL USE OF THE BUILONG WAS RESTAURANT AND CATERING HALL WITH SEATING CAPACITY OF 240 SEATS. THE PROPOSED USE IS 5 INDIVIDUAL SPACES TO BUISED AS RETAIL AND SMALL RESTAURANT. ORIGINAL RESTAURANTBARBANQUET BUSINESS HAS A SEATING CAPACITY OF 140 SEATS CATERING, BOSCATS RESTAURANT AND 20 SEATS BAR. SEEWAGE FLOW FOR ORIGINAL USE WAS 4.600 GALLON PER DAY AND THE PROPOSED USE WILL HAVE 30TO GALLON PER DAY. THE SITE IS SERVED WITH EXISTING MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION AND PRIVATE WELL 1. 2.
- 3
- 4.
- 5.
- PRIVATE WELL. 6. 7
- PRIVATE WELL. NO ADDITIONAL IMPERVIOUS AREA IS PROPOSED. PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR ORIGINAL USE WAS 80 PARKING SPACES AND NEW USE WILL REQUIRE ONLY 34 PARKING SPACE OF WHICH 31 SPACES EXISTING. (FROM ORIGINAL USE)

TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PREPARED BY:

Link Land Surveyors P.C. 21 Clark Place, Suite 1-8 Phone 845-628-5857 Mahopac N.Y. 10541 Fax 845-621-0013

DATED NOVEMBER 19, 2020

ZONING TABLE

O O MINIE TO ME				
С	REQUIRED	PROVIDED	ADDITION	VARIANCE REQ
MIN. LOT AREA	40,000 SQ. FT.	23,262 SQ. FT.		GRANTED
MIN. YARDS:				
FRONT	40 FT	15 FT		GRANTED
SIDE	25 FT	17.3 FT		GRANTED
REAR	30 FT	47.3 FT		
MIN. FRONTAGE	200 FT	155 FT		
MAX. BLDG. HEIGHT	35 FT	30 FT		
MIN. LOT WIDTH	200 FT	150 FT		GRANTED
MIN. LOT DEPTH	200 FT	153 FT		GRANTED
MIN. REQ. FLOOR AREA	5000 SQ FT	6.180 FT		
MAX. BLDG. COVERAGE	30%	27.4%	27.6%	
MIN. DRIVEWAY WIDTH	12 FT (ONE WAY)	12 FT (ONE WAY)		GRANTED
PARKING REQ. FOR	34 PARKING SPACES	35 SPACES (9'X18') PLUS 2 H.C. SPACE TOTAL 37 SPACES		VARIANCE FOR ADDITIONAL 80 PARKING SPACES GRANTED

PARKING CALCULATIONS

CONTRACTO

PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL SPACES OF THIS BUILDING. PARKING RE-QUIKEMENTS FOR ALL SPACES OF THIS BUILDING. PHO Vietnames Restaurant The Patron Restaurant Din Sum Dumping takeout (aston use area) Bolla Cucina Italian retail (auton use area) Bella Cucina Italian retail (auton use area) S13 st./Z00= 2.6 required 3 parking spaces Total parking spaces provided 37 parking spaces



DATE: MARCH 2, 2023

REVISIONS:

LAKE











REVISIONS:



BUILDING FLOOR PLAN

SCALE 3" = 1'-0"

DATE: 2/27/2@23 REVISIONS:

SHEET TITLE: FLOOR PLANS

76.22-1-54

April 28, 2023

Mr. Richard J. Franzetti, P.E. Town Engineer / Town of Carmel NY 60 McAlpin Avenue Mahopac, NY 10541



Re: 93 Teakettle Spout Road

Dear Mr. Franzetti,

Enclosed please find the following re-submittal documents for the proposed site development project at 93 Teakettle Spout Road in Mahopac, New York:

- ✓ Review Memorandum by Richard Franzetti, P.E./Town Engineer dated March 3, 2023 x 1
- ✓ Short Environmental Assessment Form x 1
- ✓ Revised SWPPPP dated April 23, 2023 (including civil plans) x 1

In order to facilitate the review of this application, below is the list of comments received in your review memorandum, along with their respective response shown in **bold**:

- I. General Comments
- 1. The following referrals would appear to be warranted:
- a. The Town of Carmel Environmental Conservation Board
- b. Putnam County Department of Planning (GML 239n referral; proximity to County highway)
- c. Town of Carmel Highway Department
- d. Mahopac Fire Department

Acknowledged – all of the above.

- 2. The following regulatory permits will be required for the application:
- a. New York State Department of Conservation (NYSDEC) Stormwater Permit;
- b. Putnam County Department of Health
- i. Water Supply Permit
- ii. Septic Permits
- c. The Town of Carmel Environmental Conservation Board

d. Town of Carmel Highway Permit

Acknowledged – all of the above.

3. The area of disturbance for the work has been provided as 0.7 acres. The threshold criteria of disturbances for the NYSDEC stormwater regulation are between 5,000 square feet and one (1) acre and over one (1) acre. The project will require coverage under the NYDSEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity (GP-0-20-001) and the development of Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that has erosion and sediment controls. Acknowledged.

The applicant has provided a SWPPP. Initial comments are as follows:

• The infiltration rates are based on test records certified by the DOH in 1999. The applicant will need to perform percolation tests, as per NYSDEC guidelines, in the area where the stormwater management practices are proposed. **Percolations tests were performed at the site**

on 4/22/2023 at the location of the proposed infiltration practices. The SWPPP has been updated accordingly.

• A custom soil report has been provided. This report should be narrowed down to the sitespecific soils and not include the larger surrounding area. A revised soil report has been prepared focusing at the subject site and is included in the updated SWPPP.

• Standard soil and erosion sediment controls are provided as part of the SWPPP. There is an extensive list of practices provided (e.g. buffer strips, coffer dams, compost filter sock etc.). This list should be narrowed down to the site-specific practices. The SWPPP has been updated to only include SESCs that are pertinent to the project.

• Standard inspection checklists controls are provided as part of the SWPPP. There is an extensive list of practices provided (e.g. buffer strips, coffer dams, compost filter sock etc.). This list should be narrowed down to the site-specific practices. The SWPPP has been updated to only include checklists on the proposed stormwater management systems.

• There is insufficient detail on how the stormwater management practices (SMPs) will be protected during construction. Details should be provided. A note has been added to Sheet C-200 to require the proposed location of the retention systems to be protected during construction using construction fencing; construction fencing detail is shown on Sheet C-601 Construction Details.

• Drainage areas must be provided/shown on drawings. Drainage areas have been included on the plans – see Sheet DA-100

• Location of the proposed swales should be provided. Swale locations are shown on Sheet C-300 Drainage and Grading Plan.

• The SWPPP should be a standalone document and include applicable drawings and details. The SWPPP is presented as a standalone document. Civil engineering plans are included under Attachment K of the SWPPP.

4. The applicant has indicated that there are easements related to the site. The narrative of these easement should be provided and the easements must be shown on the drawings

5. Applicant has indicated that 10% open space will be set aside. This area must be identified and noted on the drawing

6. If necessary the applicant will be required to supply a stormwater maintenance agreement and maintenance guarantee per Town Code (§156-85 and §156-87 B respectively) to assure long-term maintenance of all stormwater management practices (SWMP) proposed for the site. Acknowledged; a maintenance agreement and maintenance guarantee will be executed prior to construction.

7. Should any public improvements be deemed necessary as part of the development of the tract, a Performance Bond and associated Engineering Fee must eventually be established for the work. The applicant should note that a Performance Bond and associated Engineering fee is minimally required for the stormwater management practices, erosion and sediment control drainage features, landscaping etc. installed on the site. Please see §156-61 J and K of the Town Code for additional information. Acknowledged; a performance bond in the amount necessary to cover the proposed soil erosion and sediment control and stormwater management improvements will be provided prior to construction.

- II. Detailed Comments
- 1. Provide scale on cover sheet. **Scale has been added.**
2. Provide a legend on all drawings. Legends have been added.

3. Provide an electronic version of the SEA http://www.dec.ny.qov/permits/6191.html ; http://www.dec.ny.gov/eafmapper/ A short Environmental Assessment Form is included with this comment response letter.

4. Sheet C-100:

a. The drawing appears to be a copy of the original survey map that had wetland flags from 2022 identified. Yes, this sheet shows the original survey with the mapped wetland flags.

b. Provide a scale on the drawing ; scale is shown.

5. Drawing C-200 -

a. The wetland area as identified on Drawing C-100 in the south east portion of the site is identified on this drawing as a center of a dry bed. This should be clarified and corrected on all drawings.

6. Drawing C-300-

a. All re-grading required to accomplish the intended development should be provided. It is unclear from the drawings provide the extent of cut and fill proposed for the site. **Proposed contours are shown more clearly on the revised plans and limits of site disturbance are now shown.**

b. The amount of fill, if any, being brought to the site should be provided. Fill will not be imported to the site; this has been noted on the plan.

c. All fill brought to the site must be certified per NYSDEC regulations and manifests/certification of the fill material being delivered should be provided. Add note to drawing. **Note added, in case fill needs to be brought to the site, although none is anticipated.**

7. Drawing C-400-

a. This will need to be reviewed and approved by the PCDOH Acknowledged

b, The applicant should note that there are no Town related water and sewer utilities at this site. **Understood.**

c. Access lanes should be identified to denote a traversable path to the intended septic locations, for maintenance purposes. **Maintenance access is now shown on the plan.**

d. Any existing PCDOH approvals for either lot should be submitted, for the Board's records. There are no current PCDOH approvals for the site.

8. Drawing C-500-

a. Provide details and add note on drawing that the areas to be used for the infiltration SMP areas are to be protected during construction **Note added**

b. Show silt and construction fencing These details have been duplicated to show on this sheet also.

c. Construction sequence should be provided in this drawing **Construction Sequence has been** added to Sheet C-001 Project Notes and Specifications

d. Area of disturbance is identified as 30,990 sf, the SWPPP uses 42,940 sq ft this should be clarified **The SWPPP uses the entire lot size for stormwater modeling purposes, which is different from the site disturbance area. Leaders have been added to clarify site disturbance versus lot size.**

9. Drawings C-600 and 601 -

a. Additional details should be provided as to the underdrains of the cultic systems No underdrains are proposed under the Cultecs. The upstream structure functions as a flow diverter in the design.

b. The locations of the erosion control blankets and stone check dam should be provided on the drawings Erosion control blankets have been removed as found not to be required upon further evaluation. The drainage swales to be equipped with stone check dams as a temporary erosion control is shown on Sheet C-500

c. Provide Driveway Cross Section. The proposed driveway section is shown on Sheet C-601.

10. A landscaping plan for the property building should be provided.

11. All planting should be verified by the Town of Carmel Wetlands Inspector and a note added to the drawing

12. All plantings shall be installed per g142 of the Town of Carmel Town Code and a note added to the drawing.

13. Available sight distances at each driveway location should be specified on plan. Any clearing along the edge of the roadway R.O.W. that may be necessary to assure appropriate sight distances are provided, should be identified. Sight distances are shown on the Sheet C-200; clearing a the right-of-way is not required.

14. Slopes at the entrance way need to be defined. It is suggested that slopes of less than 6% be used for the first 20 feet of entry and that slopes of no greater than 8% be used entering the site. The proposed grading plan yields a maximum slope of 5.56% along the proposed driveway. An arrow reading "6% Slope Max" was added to Sheet C-300 Drainage and Grading Plan.

15. All utilities must be buried. Details for trenching must be provided. A pipe/utility trench detail is shown on Sheet C-600 Construction Details.

16. Information regarding topographic contours should be provided on all drawings. Contours are now shown on all pages. Proposed contours are shown on Sheet C-300 Drainage and Grading Plan.

We trust this resubmittal will be effective in addressing all of your review comments. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (646) 705 6664.

Thank you,

Alvaro Alfonzo-Larrain, P.E., M.Eng. A2L Engineering, PLLC President

CC: Michael Scocca (Owner)

MAHOPAC HOUSE AT 93 TEAKETTLE SPOUT ROAD MAHOPAC, NY 10541



SITE LOCATION MAP

DRAWING LIST

C- 001 PROJECT NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS C- 100 EXISTING CONDITIONS C- 200 SITE PLAN C- 300 DRAINAGE AND GRADING PLAN C- 400 WATER WELL AND SEPTIC SYSTEM PLAN C- 500 SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS C- 600 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS C- 601 CONSTRUCTION DETAILS DA-100 DRAINAGE AREA MAPS



FEBRUARY 2023



















